

STUDIA ISLAMIKA

INDONESIAN JOURNAL FOR ISLAMIC STUDIES

Volume 19, Number 3, 2012



GENDER AWARENESS IN ISLAMIC EDUCATION:
THE PIONEERING CASE OF INDONESIA
IN A COMPARISON WITH PAKISTAN

Ann Kull

DENIAL, TRIVIALIZATION AND RELEGATION OF PLURALISM:
THE CHALLENGES OF MANAGING DIVERSITY
IN MULTI-RELIGIOUS MALAYSIA AND INDONESIA

Azhar Ibrahim

INDONESIA'S DEMOCRATIC VENTURE:
HISTORY, PRACTICE AND THE CHALLENGE AHEAD

Bahtiar Effendy & Mutiara Pertiwi

STUDIA ISLAMIKA

STUDIA ISLAMIKA

Indonesian Journal for Islamic Studies
Vol. 19, no. 3, 2012

EDITORIAL BOARD:

M. Qunaish Shihab (UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta)
Taufik Abdullah (LIPI Jakarta)
Nur A. Fadbil Lubis (IAIN Sumatra Utara)
M.C. Ricklefs (Australian National University, Canberra)
Martin van Bruinessen (Utrecht University)
John R. Bowen (Washington University, St. Louis)
M. Kamal Hasan (International Islamic University, Kuala Lumpur)
Virginia M. Hooker (Australian National University, Canberra)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Azyumardi Azra

EDITORS

Saiful Mujani
Jamhari
Jajat Burhanudin
Oman Fathurahman
Fuad Jabali
Ali Munhanif
Saiful Umam
Ismatu Ropi
Dina Afrianty

ASSISTANT TO THE EDITORS

Testriono
Muhammad Nida' Fadlan

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ADVISOR

Melissa Crouch
Simon Gladman

ARABIC LANGUAGE ADVISOR

Nursamad

COVER DESIGNER

S. Prinka

STUDIA ISLAMIKA (ISSN 0215-0492) is a journal published by the Center for the Study of Islam and Society (PPIM) UIN Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta (STT DEPPEN No. 129/SK/DITJEN/PPG/STT/1976). It specializes in Indonesian Islamic studies in particular, and Southeast Asian Islamic Studies in general, and is intended to communicate original researches and current issues on the subject. This journal warmly welcomes contributions from scholars of related disciplines.

All articles published do not necessarily represent the views of the journal, or other institutions to which it is affiliated. They are solely the views of the authors. The articles contained in this journal have been refereed by the Board of Editors.

STUDIA ISLAMIKA has been accredited by The Ministry of Education and Culture, Republic of Indonesia as an academic journal (SK Dirjen Dikti No. 56/DIKTI/Kep/2012).

© Copyright Reserved

Editorial Office:

STUDIA ISLAMIKA, Gedung Pusat Pengkajian
Islam dan Masyarakat (PPIM) UIN Jakarta,
Jl. Kertamukti No. 5, Pisangan Barat, Cirendeu,
Ciputat 15419, Jakarta, Indonesia.
Phone: (62-21) 7423543, 7499272, Fax: (62-21) 7408633;
E-mail: studia.islamika@uinjkt.ac.id
Website: www.ppim.or.id

Annual subscription rates from outside Indonesia,
institution: US\$ 75,00 and the cost of a single copy is US\$
25,00; individual: US\$ 50,00 and the cost of a single copy
is US\$ 20,00. Rates do not include international postage
and handling.

Please make all payment through bank transfer to:
PPIM, Bank Mandiri KCP Tangerang Graha Karnos,
Indonesia, account No. **101-00-0514550-1 (USD),**
Swift Code: bmriidja



Harga berlangganan di Indonesia untuk satu tahun,
lembaga: Rp. 150.000,-, harga satu edisi Rp. 50.000,-;
individu: Rp. 100.000,-, harga satu edisi Rp. 40.000,-.
Harga belum termasuk ongkos kirim.

Pembayaran melalui **PPIM, Bank Mandiri KCP**
Tangerang Graha Karnos, No. Rek: 128-00-0105080-3

Table of Contents

Articles

- 397 *Ann Kull*
Gender Awareness in Islamic Education: The Pioneering
Case of Indonesia in a Comparison with Pakistan
- 437 *Azhar Ibrahim*
Denial, Trivialization and Relegation of Pluralism:
The Challenges of Managing Diversity
in Multi-religious Malaysia and Indonesia
- 477 *Bahtiar Effendy & Mutiara Pertiwi*
Indonesia's Democratic Venture:
History, Practice and the Challenge Ahead
- 513 *Ismail Marzuki*
Al-Siyāṣah al-Qanūnīyah li Ḥizb al-'Adālah wa al-Rafāhīyah
(Partai Keadilan Sejahtera/PKS) fi al-Fatrah 1998-2004
al-Milādī: Dirāsah li Qaḍiyat Qānūn Idārat al-Zakāh
- 555 *Suprpto*
[*Kitab Rahasia Hari dan Bintang*]:
'Ilm al-Nujūm 'inda al-Muslimīn min Qabīlah Sasak

Book Review

- 597 *Oman Fathurahman*
Memahami Pribumisasi Islam
Melalui *Kitab Seribu Masalah*

Document

- 611 *Ismatu Ropi*
Regulating Religion in Southeast Asia and the Pacific

Azhar Ibrahim

Denial, Trivialization and Relegation of Pluralism: The Challenges of Managing Diversity in Multi-religious Malaysia and Indonesia

Abstract: *This article attempts to discuss the various societal responses to religious diversity and pluralism in Malaysia and Indonesia. Its focus is on the Muslim-majority nations of Indonesia and Malaysia, where the idea of religious pluralism among Muslims has taken various shapes. While the state's management of pluralism is so far characterized by politics of expediency and accommodation to ensure stability, law and order, and harmony, it is the societal or community responses that matter most. Advancing and nurturing the ideas of religious pluralism in social and religious discourse requires commitment in persistency and planning. This, in turn, calls for the need to know how the ideas of pluralism and religious diversity have been understood in society. The challenge of nurturing a substantive pluralism in society warrants recognition and support. This can be made in the realms of theological discourse, political will, educative approach, as well as institutional support.*

Key words: religious pluralism, theology, reformism, politics of contestation, state and religion.

Abstrak: Artikel ini membahas beragam respons masyarakat terhadap keragaman agama dan pluralisme di Malaysia dan Indonesia. Fokus artikel ini adalah pada mayoritas Muslim di Indonesia dan Malaysia, di mana gagasan tentang pluralisme agama di kalangan Muslim mengambil bentuk yang beragam. Sementara pengelolaan negara terhadap pluralisme dibentuk oleh politik akomodasi untuk menjamin stabilitas, hukum dan ketertiban, serta harmoni, namun respons-respons dari masyarakatlah yang banyak berperan. Meningkatkan dan menjaga gagasan-gagasan pluralisme agama di dalam diskursus agama dan sosial membutuhkan komitmen yang gigih dan perencanaan. Hal ini pada gilirannya mengundang kebutuhan untuk mengetahui bagaimana ide-ide pluralisme dan keragaman agama dipahami dalam masyarakat. Tantangan mempertahankan pluralisme yang substantif dalam masyarakat menuntut adanya pengakuan dan dukungan, dan ini bisa diperoleh melalui diskursus teologis, kehendak politik, pendekatan edukatif, serta dukungan kelembagaan.

Kata kunci: pluralisme agama, teologi, reformisme, politik kontestasi, negara dan agama.

الخلاصة: يبحث هذا المقال في مختلف الاستجابات التي يبديها المجتمع إزاء تنوع الأديان وتعددتها في ماليزيا واندونيسيا، وتكون الركيزة في الأكثرية للمسلمين باندونيسيا وماليزيا حيث ظهرت أفكار حول التعددية الدينية بين الأوساط المسلمين ما أخذت صورة متنوعة بينما كانت إدارة الدولة للتعددية تتم بناء على السياسة التسامحية لضمان الاستقرار، وسيادة القانون والحفاظ على النظام والانسجام، بيد أن استجابة المجتمع هي التي لعبت دورا أكبر؛ إن الارتقاء بفكرة التعددية الدينية والحفاظ عليها في الكلام عن الشؤون الدينية والاجتماعية ليجتاح إلى التزام كبير وخطط؛ وهذا أمر يستدعي بدوره الحاجة إلى معرفة كيف تكون التعددية وتنوع الأديان مفهومة لدى المجتمع، إن تحديات الدفاع عن التعددية الجوهرية في المجتمع يحتاج إلى إقرار وتأييد، الأمر الذي يمكن تحقيقه من خلال الكلام اللاهوت والرغبة السياسية والمنهج التعليمي والتأييد المؤسساتي.

الكلمات الاسترشادية: تعددية الأديان، اللاهوت، الإصلاح، السياسة النزاعية، الدولة والدين.

This article aims to highlight, discuss and evaluate the discourses on cultural and religious pluralism that have emerged in Malaysia and Indonesia. Generally, cultural or political pluralism has been recognized as crucial in maintaining the harmony and integrity of these countries. However, the issue of religious pluralism remains one of the most challenging and contentious in both; cultural and political pluralism is fairly visible and recognizable, although the reception of the idea of religious pluralism remains contested. Despite this, some headway has been made in Indonesia in particular. In the context of discussing the cultural and political pluralism of these two countries, it is imperative for us to include discussion of the discourse on religious pluralism since this dimension has wider implications on the state and fate of pluralism. Some of the questions that will be raised in this discussion, among others, include the extent to which pluralism as a concept features prominently in the countries' Islamic discourse, the role of the state in forging discourse on pluralism and how much it can be a positive contributing factor in nurturing and enhancing religious pluralism, or otherwise.¹

But it must be emphasized here that whether pluralism is embraced, resisted or ambivalently acknowledged, it is conditioned by a number of factors ranging from politics, socio-cultural, legal, educational, and the like. Pluralism is dependent on no single factor. This point is important to highlight so as to avoid the naivety that religion alone is responsible for pluralism's emergence or denial. Moreover, it must also be emphasized that religious pluralism — although a vital asset — cannot be simply reduced to an antidote for all ethno-religious conflicts, since contributing factors for these are often many and varied.²

Meaningful Pluralism

In a plural society, diversity as a lived and recognized phenomena may not necessarily mean the existence of pluralism. A plural society, in other words, may manifest plurality or diversity of its component but not necessarily embrace or entrench that pluralism. The latter can be defined as “a situation in which a variety of systems of thought, worldviews, or explanations of reality coexist without anyone of these having gained hegemony over the others”.³ While this definition focuses mainly on pluralism in the social, political and cultural domains, other definitions emphasize “the diversity of our understanding of religious

texts and the diversity of our interpretation of religious experiences”.⁴ This obviously deals with the issue in a more theological and philosophical plane. Pluralism can be seen in various realms inasmuch as its presence can be manifested in a variety of shades or spectrum of intensity. Pluralism as ideas and practices includes, among other things: (a) the recognition of the diversities in society; (b) the presence of common space to be shared, and not simply in the market place⁵ but also in the social, cultural and political spheres; (c) the recognition of equal rights and duties as citizens.

Engagement in pluralism discourse must be a concern in the academic and public spheres, as well as in the religious communities. Diana Eck sees pluralism as affirming the reality of difference and, in the case of cultural–political pluralism, as a reference to the “constitutional acceptance of various faiths...[it] can be justified in purely ‘secular’ terms as the most pragmatic way of creating a harmonious society where members of different religious faiths co-mingle.”⁶ But another equally important aspect of pluralism entails a more theological basis under which the adherent of the faith no longer sees the path of salvation and the ‘truth’ exclusively belonging to them. It is this sense of theological pluralism that has been one of the controversial points in contemporary religious discourse in the Christian–Judeo and Islamic faiths.

Equally important is the need to note the fact that religious pluralism must be distinguished from religious tolerance, inasmuch as it should not be confused with political and cultural pluralism. As noted by one scholar: “‘Tolerance’ implies evil within the Other...the Other is tolerated as much as the body ‘tolerates’ a small dose of strychnine.” This is different from religious pluralism because the latter is “a set of beliefs that start from a very different set of premises; it acknowledges the salvatory value of various paths, dispensing with the idea of a single Path to God and a single way of understanding”.⁷ According to Richard K. Khuri: “True pluralism arises when those who care about the infinite (and the eternal) *simultaneously acknowledge* that the finite can never exhaust the infinite (nor the temporal, the eternal)”.⁸

Muslim intellectuals like Abdolkarim Soroush embrace essentially an inclusive salvation position as opposed to the religious orthodoxy that maintains exclusive salvation for Muslims only, and he reiterates the need to make the distinction between positive and negative pluralism.

Positive pluralism itself is integral within the religious traditions, and this is manifested and interpreted in various dimensions. In religious pluralism, in spite of our own faith commitments, we are ready to acknowledge the divinity and soundness of other religious traditions. This is not to say that religious tolerance has no value at all, but it must be emphasized that, as Bassam Tibi correctly points out, “religious tolerance is meaningless if it is not coupled with acceptance of the equality of the other [which] is essential in relations among religions”.

As noted earlier, a plural society does not necessarily suggest the presence of pluralism. But the creation of a pluralistic society is one of the aims of pluralism. Through pluralism we can attain the experience and the will to live together in the recognition and acceptance of human diversities. This willingness to learn from one another points toward a more significant step in the understanding of our own being. Firstly, pluralism is a reality that cannot be denied, and it becomes a duty and right for all of us to affirm and embrace it. Secondly, pluralism calls for the recognition that all human beings are equal, and the respecting of rights must be accorded without any exception. Thirdly, pluralism warrants the recognition of the ‘particular’, commonly inspired by the universal. Fourthly, the spirit of universal humanity or brotherhood becomes the basis of pluralism to nurture and deepen itself, and this is enhanced by enlightened thinking and religious traditions. Indeed, a meaningful pluralism is not only confined in terms of inter-religious and inter-ethnic relations, but also includes for our acceptance the diversities within our own religious traditions, alongside the pluralism in the realms of gender, class and sexuality.⁹

The State and Pluralism

As correctly pointed out by many scholars, the reality of many societies today is their plural nature. But a plural society does not necessarily mean the presence and deepening of pluralism. Recognizing plurality, in other words, is not the same as affirming pluralism, which can only be possible through an active discourse and engagement in and between the public and academic domains. There is little chance for pluralism to nurture or expand if the public discourse is insulated from the academic discourse on pluralism. This is further aggravated when political actors in a society mainly pay lip service to the need of pluralism, with the state acting as the guardian or overseer of the

plurality. Such a situation will not augur well for any substantial entrenchment of the idea of pluralism in society.

Pluralism as a discourse requires multi-dimensional support and initiatives. The affirmation of the idea of citizenship — and the fundamental rights and duties of a citizen — should enhance the efficacy of pluralism. This is the role that state leadership can take affirmatively. However, doing so may be not possible in a political environment in which ethnic classification becomes the mainstay of the state–people relationship, rather than the nexus of state–citizen rights and duties.¹⁰ While the state’s management of pluralism is normally characterized by politics of expediency and accommodation to ensure stability, law and order, and harmony, the responses from society are what matter most — or that bear the most lasting results and significant impacts. The so-called ‘pragmatic pluralism’ of the state here should not be confused with the substantive pluralism as advocated by the religious progressivist. Pluralism is not something that can be imposed politically by the state, though it can — especially through the political leadership — play an instrumental role in providing conditions and a basis for which pluralism can be recognized and affirmed. This means a genuine political will transcend sectarian impulses and group interests, in the name of a true nation and community building.

In the case of Malaysia and Indonesia, the recognition for plurality of the nation is mentioned in the pronouncements of the state ideologies, *Rukunegara*¹¹ and *Pancasila*¹² respectively, which somehow have become less prominent in recent decades. But this is more a political vision of its founding fathers rather than a serious and consistent ideological outlook to build a nation on the basis of democracy, social justice and pluralism. The state policies of assimilation and asserting the cultural supremacy of one ethnic/religious group over the other are obviously a stumbling block to pluralism,¹³ as demonstrated in the Malaysian and Indonesia experiences. The management of diversity by these states and the notion of pluralism advanced by their leaderships warrant closer scrutiny, with the aim of identifying some of the contradictions and constraints of the state in managing pluralism amiably.¹⁴

In other words, the mere advocating of pluralism is not suffice, especially if it is simply uttered in political slogans without serious reforms in the institutions and structures to support the space for pluralism to emerge and be sustained. Instead, advancing and

nurturing the ideas of religious pluralism in the social and religious discourses requires commitment in its persistency and planning. This in turn calls for the need to know how the ideas of pluralism and religious diversities have been understood in society. In summary, the state, with its political will and resources at its disposal, can manage religious diversity only to some extent. Legal and bureaucratic means may ensure stability and harmony in the multi-religious context, but this is altogether different from the religious pluralism that needs more than a political instrument to be accepted and nurtured in society. This requires us to look into the cultural, religious and intellectual domains where the very idea of pluralism can, on one hand, be developed and disseminated, or mutilated and rejected on the other. This is in fact the challenge of maintaining diversity and forging the idea of religious pluralism in society.

Pluralism in Islamic Scholarship and Reality

Today, pluralism has become one of the central themes in contemporary Islamic discourse — that is, it is not only crucial for Muslim relations with other faiths, but also how Muslims societies manage their own multi-religious and multi-ethnic nation states¹⁵, plus the internal diversities within the Muslim community. Throughout the Sunni Muslim world the subject of pluralism is increasingly getting wider attention in the progressive and reformist circles.¹⁶ Scholars and activists like Gamal-Al-Banna, Mahmut Aydin, Abdulaziz Sachedina, Asghar Ali Engineer, Reza Shah-Kazemi, Chandra Muzaffar, Sohail H. Hashim, Farid Esack, Abdou Filali-Ansary and many others, have been at the forefront in discussing pluralism.¹⁷ In the West there is an active and productive discourse engaging and advocating pluralism, such as those initiated by Wilfred Cantwell Smith, Hans Kung, John Hicks, George F. McLean, Leonard Swidler, Paul F. Knitter, Harold G. Coward, Ramundo Panikkar and few others.¹⁸ Many of these scholars have also engaged with fellow Muslim intellectuals on the issues of religious pluralism. Mahmud Aydin, for instance, sees the need to emphasize the theological deliberations among Muslims that recognize the religious plurality from within and without.¹⁹ Similarly, Gamal al-Banna notes a strong Quranic recognition and commitment to pluralism,²⁰ and Hasan Hanafi speaks of the need to reflect critically on our past civilization where pluralism once blossomed but now is

sterile and alien to Muslim societies. In this context, the idea and spirit of pluralism is best nurtured in Muslim society through its own religious traditions while being informed by the concrete realities of the present. But by simply invoking scriptural injunctions to validate a pluralism devoid of context and its sociological basis, it can only be a narcissistic enterprise for, in the end, its main interest is to show the ‘enlightened’ religious thought rather than seriously argue for pluralism to be nurtured and disseminated in the community.

The challenges of affirming and nurturing pluralism are, therefore, many — be it in the intellectual, theological, socio-cultural or political domains. The prospect for the emergence of pluralism is only possible if we are first able to identify some of the challenges and obstacles preventing it from taking root in society’s imagination and practices. In the cultural and religious discourse in both countries there are those who deny and relegate pluralism just as there are those who champion its cause. Generally, Muslims’ response to the notion of pluralism in Indonesian and Malaysia can be identified in three forms: (a) denial of pluralism; (b) trivialization of pluralism; (c) relegation of pluralism.

The *denial of pluralism* is evident in the response of ultra-religious traditionalists/exclusivists who see no necessity for the recognition, little more the embracement, of pluralism. The denial often recognizes plurality only as a social fact, and such plurality should remain so as to not disturb the balance of the status quo. Their interpretations of the religious scriptures are rather silent on the recognition and enjoyment for pluralism. Instead, their denial is often justified by scriptural sources, although other ideological interests can be attributed to. The obvious contradiction of the religious exclusivist can be seen when they insist on the universalism of Islam in bringing *rahmatan li al-‘alamīn*. And yet, in the same breath they insist that Islam is the only true path, for all other religions are aberrant, wayward and corrupt.

The *trivialization of pluralism* can be seen in a more formal way, or simply as the giving of lip service to pluralism, especially in certain periods where such affirmation is needed in order to secure a certain political interest. This kind of response is not uncommon among the ruling establishment, especially in relation to its religious bureaucracy or organizations which have state support. This type of thinking accepts the reality of plurality in society. Living harmoniously and tolerating each other is to them the best way, while the inner contradiction — or

even doublespeak — is not seen as a problem, nor is it to be discussed openly for its sensitivities. Their main concern is to maintain the status quo, for all rethinking is disruptive. One important factor for this trivialization is caused by the misunderstanding or misconception of pluralism itself, thinking that by embracing it will undermine one's own tradition and identity. As a result, pluralism is seen more for its negative than its positive dimensions.

The *relegation of pluralism* can be seen among the slightly moderate religious revivalists — and even in some reformist circles — as their positions and attitudes about pluralism remain fairly ambivalent. These groups may be apologetic, but they do not fully grasp the importance of pluralism in the context of a multi-racial and multi-religious society. This type of thinking does not see the relevance of religious pluralism as the issue to be deliberated. Relegation may occur simply to avoid issues deemed sensitive or, simply, superfluous. Another form of relegation can also come from within academia, where, in an enthusiasm to address radicalism and fundamentalism, it has given little attention to religious pluralism as advocated by the progressive moderates in the public sphere.²¹ Such a disinterested academia can have direct impacts on the public discourse, especially so in the case where civil society advocacy for pluralism is weak or absent.

These three categories do not suggest that there is no alternative or positive response towards the idea of pluralism. On the contrary, we should — while maintaining a focus on the Malaysian and Indonesian experiences — highlight some of the emerging and intensifying calls for pluralism in recent years that have gained momentum in progressive circles. By highlighting the denial, the trivialization and the relegation of pluralism, we do not suggest that there is a monolithic tendency to deny and devalue pluralism; neither is there a kind of hopelessness for the current situation. The increasing tide of cultural Islamism and political radicalism in these two countries are often seen as the threat to pluralism,²² though other factors at work cannot be overlooked. It will be wise to see these responses within a wide spectrum, where the total denial and the total embracement are at opposite ends. Moreover, there are various shades and levels of discourse about pluralism. In between the embracement and rejection of pluralism, there are purely academic discussions that are more textbook-like descriptions that fail to raise the real issues of pluralism and its challenges. Others seem to

romanticize the ideal pluralism as manifested in classical Islam while remaining seriously ambivalent to present challenges,²³ or attributing thematically from Islam in managing plural society.²⁴

Debating Religious Pluralism in Malaysia

Malaysia is often depicted as a country where moderate Islam thrives. It is seen as a progressive Muslim country in the club of the newly industrializing economies. The country's relative stability is attributed to a stable political environment and developing economy, where state building accelerated or developed well in comparison to its pace in nation building. The consociational politics of power sharing among the ethnic groups means a relative stability is guaranteed.²⁵ But this may not tell us the breadth and depth of pluralism in Malaysia unless we look into the discourse. The issues of religious pluralism must be seen in the larger context of Malaysia's post-colonial experience of cultural reassertion, the increasing tide of religious revivalism, bureaucratic and cultural Islamisation, ethnic dynamics and the contestation of political Islam and the reactions of the ruling establishment.²⁶

In the world of scholarship on Malaysia various aspects of plurality and pluralism have been widely discussed. Many scholars note Malaysia's highly diverse population and the precariousness of its ethnic and religious relations — especially in the recent years. Some point to the politics of maintaining the pluralism²⁷ and the dialogues emerging,²⁸ Some note the historical evolution of a plural Malaysian society,²⁹ while others look to the distribution of resources and justice.³⁰ Malaysia's plural society has been a subject of interest since its relative stability and economic advancement have been maintained despite the plural nature of society. Other studies, meanwhile, have noted how the increasing religious resurgence has exacerbated ethnic and religious tensions, made complicated by the competition for space and recognition of rights.³¹ While there are Malaysian Muslim academics who have discussed the issue of pluralism, it has often been tackled within the framework of social sciences without much tenor of the reformist religious stance on pluralism³² — not uncommon to the Indonesian experience.

Plurality Yes, Pluralism No

In the Malaysian intellectual and public discourse we can see a relatively active discussion on *plurality* rather than pluralism, be it in

English or Malay medium discourse. Plurality is generally accepted as the basis of maintaining diversity of the nation without much openness to recognizing the deeper complexities that impede pluralism, which is integral for nation building. This can be seen in the number of published works on the theme of a plural Malaysia in managing its ethnic diversities, and the little discussion or advocating of pluralism as a concept to be ideally embraced by fellow Malaysians.³³ In recent years, *pluralisme* as a concept has carried negative connotations in the Malay discourse, invariably associated with the heretical ideas of liberal Islam and, even absurdly, linked as part of a Christianization conspiracy on the Malays.³⁴ Popular religious writings disseminated among the general Muslim public,³⁵ and even those written for academic audiences, lambasted pluralism as an attempt to undermine Muslims' faith.³⁶

In the Malay medium discourse, it's interesting to note that the term *majmuk* — the meaning of plurality — is widely used in comparison to the term *pluralisme*, which suggests altogether a different level of semantic meaning and acceptance. This can be seen from the various books and articles in Malay that discussed the theme of *majmuk* in relation to ethnic relations,³⁷ religious diversities,³⁸ tolerance³⁹ and governance of a plural society⁴⁰ in the Malaysian context. All of these areas are generally more descriptive and bend towards maintaining the status quo,⁴¹ rather than providing critical analysis about the issues and challenges of pluralism. In the increasing political contestation in Malaysia, religious issues have become ever more volatile, with the state being pressured to submit to the demands of the religious exclusivists, complicating its position on pluralism and agenda for national unity. Contradictions have not been uncommon in the state's pronouncements of the need for national unity; at the same time it has remained ambivalent or silent concerning the demands and assertions of vocal Muslim religious groups, including its own religious bureaucracies. The exclusivist position on pluralism can come from various groups, ranging from the conservative religious bureaucracy and the revivalist *dakwah* groups that now see themselves as non-government organizations (NGOs) defending Muslim interests and rights. Indeed the strong grip of religious traditionalism and the activism of the *dakwah* revivalist groups, including Angkatan Belia Islam Malaysia (ABIM) and Jemaah Islam Malaysia (JIM), set the tone for the Malaysian Islamic community which has resisted the idea of pluralism. Pluralism is basically seen as a threat to Islam and it is often

correlated to challenging the Malays' position as the indigenous people of the country, with the right-wing Malay groups insisting on *ketuanan Melayu* (Malay supremacy). In the context of competing politics, the championing for an authentic Islam — free of any liberal influence — becomes an important scoring point for support among the Muslim community.⁴²

In an article posted on the website of the Institute of Islamic Understanding Malaysia (IKIM), there is a clear rejection of multiculturalism, which is seen as undermining Islam in Malaysia. Strangely there is a conflation between multiculturalism and secularism. Firstly, multiculturalism is seen as an alien ideology originating from the liberal Western tradition, both anti-religious and secular in its origin. Secondly, it is erroneously associated with secularism — an anathema to Islam. Thirdly, multiculturalism robs the Malaysian (read Malay-Islamic) identity. The author claims that:

Multiculturalism, as understood and propagated by its proponents in this country, is not based on diversity, but rather it strives to debunk Islam as a socio-political order. The ideological components of Malaysian multiculturalism can be summarized as a cultural relativism which finds the prominence of Islam in this country intolerable. It rests on the attitude that religion should not be allowed to 'interfere' in our social and political life. Hence, it is important that every Malaysian, especially the Muslims, be made to accept 'the fact' that Malaysia is a 'secular country'. The Malaysian multiculturalism's hostility towards Islam and its repudiation of an identifiable Malaysian culture (based upon Islam) is augmented by a radically new definition of community, one that deviates from the traditional/religious emphasis on family, neighbourhood, house of worship and school, towards an emphasis on race, gender, occupation and sexual preference.⁴³

Indeed, the religious exclusivism that is gaining momentum among certain Malay Muslims circles has resulted in confrontations with Christian groups over the usage of the word *Allah*, which worsened the country's strained Muslim-Christian relations. The series of legal issues regarding conversions to Islam and the denouncement of Islamic faith by some individuals sparked the emotional moral panic on apostasy among the Malay population.⁴⁴ The objection of certain Muslim groups toward the active evangelism of Christian groups and the use of *Allah* in the Bible and during church services in Bahasa Malaysia, worsened the situation and pointed to precarious multi-religious relations also pitted along ethnic and linguistic lines.⁴⁵ They insisted

that non-Muslims should use the term *Tuhan* to refer to God and that *Allah* was reserved exclusively for Muslims — an insistence which has no scriptural injunction whatsoever. In the recent past these groups' concern was about the translation of the Bible into Bahasa Malaysia, seen as an attempt to Christianize the Malays. The controversy over the use of *Allah* culminated, unfortunately, in the burning of several churches in the country. This alarmed the nation to the brink of an open ethnic and religious violence, although political motivation by certain interest groups cannot be discounted.⁴⁶

Similarly, the high-handed policies of religious and municipal authorities have further strained relations with the ethnic Chinese Buddhist and ethnic Tamil Hindu communities over contested temple sites.⁴⁷ Moreover, a series of conversion controversies in Malaysia have complicated matters, putting the religious authorities on the defensive. They have slammed those who call for pluralism, considering it a challenge to Islam as the official religion in Malaysia.⁴⁸ Many other issues have cropped up in recent years that have pitted the religious authorities against the non-Muslim communities, complicating the attempt towards forging a discourse based on cultural and religious pluralism.⁴⁹

The objection of religious conservatives toward the formation of an inter-religious council by the government is an example of how this group is exerting great pressure on the government. This is especially so as the ruling United Malays National Organisation (UMNO) remains in the precarious position of trying to gain Malay support, in the face of growing opposition from the Pakatan Rakyat (People's Alliance) party. Several Muslim groups have expressed their fear of demands of equal rights by other religious communities, as this would infringe on the special status of Islam as the official religion of Malaysia. This extreme exclusivist and supremacist stand demonstrates the power of religious conservatives to the point where it is pressuring the government to relent to their demands. When 'Islam Hadhari' was enunciated by the Abdullah Badawi administration as a concept for governance, many expected its progressive and moderate Islamic views to usher in a more inclusive and moderate Islam.⁵⁰ A critical analysis of its agenda, however, speaks nowhere of the ideas of religious pluralism in the context of a multi-religious Malaysia. This is further aggravated when the dominant political interests tolerate such exclusivist ethno-religious sentiments in

the name of defending Islam and Malay rights. The emergence of the rightist ‘nationalist’ Malay groups, such as PERKASA⁵¹ and Pertubuhan Kebangsaan Warisan Ketuanan Melayu Malaysia,⁵² which have many views and sentiments with strong racial overtones, has been tolerated by ruling circles — although, not in an overt way.⁵³ This, along with the religious exclusivists and conservatives, poses a serious challenge for any substantive pluralism to take root in Malaysia, be it at the ideational or practical level.

Strong and open advocacy of pluralism is yet to emerge in a significant way in the Malaysian discourse. While a few progressive Malaysian Muslim intellectuals speak of pluralism and emphasize its validity, these are mostly found in the English medium targeting a particular urban middle–class audience. The heartland rural Malays have very little exposure to such discourse, given that their engagement in religious and intellectual discourse takes place in their mother tongue. It is an important point to highlight that the idea of pluralism within the English discourse is not paralleled in the Malay medium. This linguistic factor is a crucial dimension in determining the socialization of certain ideas and concepts in the Malay intellectual, religious and cultural imagination.

Instead of finding such a progressive discourse, the Malay religious and cultural discourse is now flooded with criticisms of pluralism as part of the growing denunciation of liberal Islam throughout the region.⁵⁴ Recently, we have seen many Indonesian works against liberal Islam movements, and these ideas have entered into the Malaysian discourse and book market⁵⁵. At the same time one can easily note, however, the absence of those works from Indonesia advocating pluralism. This is partly due to the bureaucratic monitoring by religious authorities in allowing books from neighboring Indonesia to be imported and distributed. Such a practice, in itself, may tell us something about the ideology of the group. Indonesian writers such as Adian Husaini and Jaiz Hartono Ahmad, who lambasted the liberal Islam circles, are becoming the ‘intellectual heroes’ among the conservative religious circles in Malaysia for their denouncement of secularism and pluralism, including scathing criticism against its promoters. A few Malaysian writers have taken up these themes with full force in recent years, asserting the danger of liberal Islam with its idea of pluralism.⁵⁶ Prominent religious leaders have spoken against it in public,⁵⁷ while

public forums were organized to forewarn Muslims of its dangers and heresy.⁵⁸ While the Indonesian discourse has engaged deeply with many issues of pluralism and even religious inclusivism,⁵⁹ including its positive appraisal in the academia,⁶⁰ the Malaysian discourse remains dominated with scathing denunciation against pluralism and academic scrutiny in some universities toward the emergence of pluralism and liberalism in Indonesia and Malaysia.⁶¹

It is also interesting to note that even if pluralism and plurality is recognized in certain religious discourse, the level of discussion remains quite a formal one, and it is not uncommon that we find the repeated claims on the role of Islam in forging pluralism,⁶² or simply an apologetic tone that Islam has already promoted and practiced pluralism. Interestingly, many have made inflated claims that Islam could solve all problems and challenges of pluralism in society.⁶³ These have included an assertion that the implementation of Islamic law would in no way burden non-Muslims or infringe on their rights.⁶⁴ In such thinking it is not pluralism that becomes a point of departure for engagement but the assertion of the superiority of Islam as a comprehensive total ideology for Muslims and, even, the nation.

Religious exclusivism and conservatism is not only manifested in the relations with non-Muslim communities, but also in intolerance towards Muslim groups and personalities whom are considered to have deviated from the true teachings of Islam. The religious authorities have, over the years, accused Sisters in Islam (SIS) activists as having deviated from true Islamic teachings, as their activism only served the interest of the religion's enemy. The intolerance of religious authorities can be seen in their strong judgment against dissenters like Kassim Ahmad concerning the Ḥadīth issues, Muhammad Asyhari of the Al-Arqam congregation and many other groups deemed to have deviated from the *Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā'ah* orthodoxy — considered the only authentic path of Islam. Such action against non-conformists clearly shows how plurality is neither tolerated nor recognized in Malaysia.

The challenge in Malaysia is not only from religious exclusivists but also parochial nationalistic Malay rightist groups, vocal in asserting Malay supremacy (*ketuanan Melayu*). In the name of national unity and to prevent polarization among the ethnic groups divided by religious and linguistic categories, the government's call for the *bangsa Malaysia* or '1Malaysia' is more symbolic than real.⁶⁵ Indeed, pluralism cannot

develop in a society where there is no recognition of equality among its citizens. Privileging one group over another and, at the same time, calling for the respect of plurality is a contradiction by itself. Strangely, this is not uncommon when pluralism is conflated not only as a threat to undermine the Islamic faith, but also to question the supremacy of the Malays as the country's indigenous people.⁶⁶

Malaysia's progressive Muslim circles — although not part of the dominant establishment — are active in advocating the notion of pluralism to fellow Malaysians, particularly focusing on a Malaysian–Muslim audience. The mainstream academic discourse does not cover the subject of pluralism critically; nor does it problematise recent trends for fear being labeled as enemies of Islam or for fear of insulting Islam (*menghina* Islam). Any debate on Islam or Malay rights (*bumiputra*) policies is deemed as politically provocative, and even religiously insensitive.⁶⁷ Nevertheless, NGOs such as Just World, Aliran and SIS are some of the leading organizations deliberating and advocating for the wider acceptance of the idea of pluralism. Their views are made in public through lectures, publications and forums in the mass media. Indeed the test — and prospect — of pluralism in Malaysia lies in the resilience of progressive intellectuals and the intelligentsia.

Scholar–activists such as Chandra Muzaffar is in a small circle of Malaysian Muslim intellectuals at the forefront in championing the idea of pluralism⁶⁸ — although this is mainly articulated in the English medium. In the dominant Malaysian Malay Islamic discourse, however, pluralism is yet to have a significant impact on religious circles and the intelligentsia. There are, of course, critical academic observers who have deliberated on pluralism and religion, but that has not been enough to encourage a wider discourse on pluralism in the religious, social and cultural domains.⁶⁹ The push for genuine pluralism has been approached in a number of ways, one of which has been a critical reevaluation of the ethnic relations and the prejudices harbored against others.⁷⁰

Interestingly, amid the mounting challenge of religious conservatism, recognition has emerged gradually among some young Malaysian Muslim intellectuals who affirm the importance of religious pluralism, and disseminate their arguments in the public sphere. The publication of a reader entitled *Islam dan Pluralisme* (Al-Mustaqeem M. Radhi & K. Anam Che Mentri, 2006) is a case in point. Combined with the

continued of a vigorous discourse on religious pluralism in Indonesia, this could engender a positive trend for Malaysia's near future. As has occurred in the past, cultural and intellectual trends in Indonesia could very well exert influence in Malaysia.

Affirming Religious Pluralism in Indonesia

The recognition of the need for pluralism has been recognized for quite some time in the Indonesian Islamic discourse. Pluralism, as a conceptual category in the Indonesian public, religious and academic discourses, has gained tremendous attention and recognition. Activists and scholars from Muhammadiyah and Nahdatul Ulama (NU) for instance, the two largest Muslim organizations in the country, are among the groups at the forefront in championing the idea of pluralism, along with democracy, social justice and civil society groups.⁷¹ Among leading Muslim intellectuals, such as Nurcholish Madjid and Abdurahman Wahid, the issue of pluralism has been part of their discourse repertoire.⁷² In recent years, Ahmad Syafii Maarif and Moeslim Abdurrahman have been among the leading Muslim intellectuals who have consistently spoken about the significance of pluralism in relation to nation building, religious conscience and community empowerment via democracy, social justice and civil society. Equally active in advancing pluralism have been leading Indonesian academics and activists⁷³ who have commanded respect among the country's youthful intelligentsias.

Where the Malaysian discourse on pluralism has been timid in Muslim circles and suffocated by religious conservatism, the Indonesian experience has been quite the opposite. This active discourse has enticed strong opposition from religious conservatives in Indonesia,⁷⁴ and these criticisms have, in particular, had much influence on conservative counterparts in Malaysia. Today, the Indonesian Islamic discourse has witnessed a plethora of publications on the theme of pluralism, linking it to scriptural injunction,⁷⁵ ethics,⁷⁶ theology,⁷⁷ religious law (*fiqh*), philosophy, education and the like. These recent publications by Indonesian Muslim scholars on the subject denote a positive trend moving beyond the modernist religious concerns. This is further buttressed by various translations of works on the theme of pluralism written by Muslim intellectuals elsewhere. These have contributed to the wider acceptance of the idea of pluralism in the Indonesian Muslim's semantic domain.⁷⁸ More interestingly, the call for

religious pluralism comes from some of the leading *santri* circles, as well as various Christian circles. A growing number of books and articles on the themes of pluralism are available for the general public.⁷⁹

The conducive intellectual climate that speaks favorably and affirmatively on pluralism augurs well for pluralism to gain a firm foothold in society, going beyond the state-imposed pluralism of the Pancasila ideology as initiated by the previous New Order regime.⁸⁰ Leading Muslim and non-Muslim academics are active, both within and without the campus, in the discourse on the efficacy and legitimacy of pluralism. Some view education as being of utmost importance in forging pluralism, so as to mitigate ethnic and religious conflicts. This is a view argued vigorously by both Muslim and Christian groups.⁸¹ The domain of religious education, therefore, can be the most important site where the idea of pluralism can be introduced and enhanced for the Indonesian public.

Today, not only are there regular interfaith dialogues, but the discourse takes place at a deeper level where there is a readiness to deliberate on dialogue of religious pluralism.⁸² Pluralism is advocated strongly so as to go beyond the level of tolerance and harmony among the religious communities.⁸³ Not only is there an active discourse on affirming Islam in support of pluralism, but there is also a healthy revisiting of some of the ideas on pluralism formulated and advocated by the earlier progressive circles.⁸⁴ The formation of the International Center for Islam and Pluralism (ICIP)⁸⁵ is one example of the attention given by progressive activists in their attempt to promote and enhance the idea of — and support for — pluralism.⁸⁶ ICIP has been active in initiating intellectual and activist engagement in the public sphere, promoting its agenda via publications, translations and forums.⁸⁷ There are, of course, other Muslim NGOs active in promoting pluralism. Among some ‘scholar activists’, the way to forge pluralism is through grass-roots empowerment and religious education. Pluralism, therefore, is seen as the foundation of a plural Indonesian nation and the antidote to religious and ethnic conflicts in the highly diversified archipelagic nation.⁸⁸

Some of the ideas advocated among progressive groups are deemed as radical, if not heretical, by religious conservatives. Their position on inter-religious marriages — departing from the conventional orthodox position that prohibited Muslims from marrying non-Muslims unless the other spouse converts to Islam — is one example. To the

conservatives, these 'liberal' practices, endorsed by some progressive Muslim figures, are a threat to the Muslim community itself.⁸⁹ In short, the concern, interest for and intellectual commitment to pluralism reflects a progressive and mature side of the Indonesian religious discourse. In the context of a plural Indonesian society, with diverse religious, ethnic and linguistic cultures, the championing of pluralism is expected inasmuch as it is necessary.

The ardent calls coming from various Muslim intellectuals committed to the idea — disseminated via publications, seminars and the establishment of specific organizations — of promoting pluralism and tolerance in such multi-religious and multicultural society is commendable. It is significant that the agenda has been actively pushed forward by Muslim intellectuals, activists and organizations. Their stand and commitment to pluralism stems from their: (a) realistic consideration of the fragile ethno-religious relations in a country which has witnessed much bloodshed and violence; (b) theological conviction of the legitimacy and efficacy of pluralism as a religious command; (c) the moral and intellectual challenge of the fundamentalist and extremist groups that have asserted their exclusivist and extreme views on non-Muslims, especially in matters of Christian-Muslim relations.⁹⁰ What is most significant is that the call for and justification of pluralism is now deliberated at the theological level. Previously, it was in many places confined primarily to the social, cultural and political domains. The idea of pluralism in neighboring Malaysia, Brunei and Singapore is mainly confined to the notion of plurality — that is, the recognition for the need to live harmoniously and tolerate differences in plural context — while religious pluralism is simply seen as a heresy and threat undermining Islam or mutilating Muslims' faith.

Apart from the call for a reinterpretation and reformulation of the methods and vision of Islamic law, the progressive circle also highlights and advocates how Islamic jurisprudence (*fiqh*) can itself give a boost to the notion of inclusivism within Islam⁹¹ in the world's most populous Muslim nation which is also home to millions of Christians, Catholics, Buddhists, Hindus and other indigenous religions. The progressive circles' call for inter-religious dialogue has gone beyond the ceremonial and diplomatic gestures; evident is a gradual advocacy to solve some of the precarious ethnic and religious relationship throughout the country. The progressivists' clamor for pluralism bears the ethical commitment

to protect the disadvantaged or those at the mercy of groups that are ready to use force against those they find aberrant, heretic or insulting their religion.

In affirming pluralism, the progressivists have not only emphasized the fact that pluralism is a sociological reality that cannot be denied, but they have taken serious efforts in elaborating on the theological justifications for pluralism. The conservative and revivalist blocs have rejected the notion of pluralism, concerned that it would put Islam on par with other religions despite Islam being the only path of salvation, which to them has been commanded by God through the Messenger and the Holy Book. The *fatwa* issued by Majelis Ulama Indonesian (MUI) in 2005 demonstrates the conservative religious authority over issues that have direct implications on pluralism in Indonesia. These include *fatwa* on: (a) common prayers made during inter-religious meeting; (b) Muslim marriages with non-Muslim; (c) the inheritance and the custody of children from such marriages; (d) pluralism, liberalism and secularism; (e) the Ahmadiya sect, regarded as outside the fold of Islam.⁹² The *fatwa* against Ahmadiya communities is one example where the progressive groups stood firm in lashing out at MUI's decision, which implicitly sanctioned the use of force against Ahmadiya followers. This controversy was a serious test of Indonesian Muslims' position of pluralism. If this sect is not tolerated, it could spell serious disaster for Indonesian multi-religious realities.⁹³

In response to this, former president Abdurrahman Wahid (known as Gus Dur), although not having condoned the beliefs of Ahmadi, nevertheless stressed the importance to protect them from any forms of violence, both as citizens or believers. This was not unlike his positions on the rights of ethnic Chinese and even leftist groups whose rights were brutally denied during the Soeharto era.⁹⁴ He objected to MUI's *fatwa*, fearing that if Muslims accepted the banning of Gerakan Ahmadiya Indonesia (Indonesian Ahmadiya Movement, GAI) then more trouble would ensue.⁹⁵ In fact, Gus Dur's Wahid Institute is today active in advocating pluralism by extending its voice to protecting indigenous religions

The rising tide of political Islamism⁹⁶ and the radicalism that sanctioned violence against those regarded as enemies of Islam, is seen as the danger to Indonesian religious pluralism.⁹⁷ Without underestimating the potential destabilizing threat from this radical section of society, one should also note that, although vocal in pronouncing extremist

views, this group has never been supported by a majority of Indonesian Muslims. This group is, in fact, challenged by the mainstream Muslim discourse, led by the younger members of NU and Muhammadiyah who oppose religious extremism. Generally, the majority of Indonesians reject such extremism⁹⁸ and believe that religious and cultural pluralism is the only way to ensure the unity of their diverse nation.⁹⁹

Conclusion

Today, we are increasingly seeing Muslim scholars of various ideological bends forthcoming in deliberating and justifying religious pluralism from an Islamic standpoint, all affirming that Islamic teachings are in agreement with ideas of religious pluralism.¹⁰⁰ While the Malaysian case is still grappling with the basic recognition for religious pluralism, the Indonesian case, albeit facing a strong opposition from the religious conservatives and political Islamism, is witness to a steadily growing justification for religious pluralism at the theological level. While some Indonesian Muslim scholars are at the forefront in formulating and theologizing religious pluralism by providing alternative interpretations, there are also general critiques of the dissenters of pluralism in the Indonesian public, which is no less efficacious.

The thrust of Muslim intellectuals today is to scrutinize and mitigate the tendencies of exclusivism, moral exclusion, moralistic panic, prejudicial essentialism, gendered patriarchalism, doctrinal absolutism and indifference of or tolerance for violence in the name of religion. The certainty for finality — be it values or ideas — must be challenged with intellectual responsiveness and resoluteness. How Muslims in these two countries deal with the issues of religious and ethnic pluralism will determine also the momentum towards democratization in their respective societies.¹⁰¹ It is hoped that an active engagement in the discourse on Islam and pluralism in Indonesia will have a positive ripple effect on neighboring Muslim communities in Asia, especially in Malaysia. With the continuance of reformist social theology in Indonesia, further discourse, a widening in acceptance of religious pluralism and consistent and persistent implementation of inter-religious dialogue and multicultural education,¹⁰² prospects will be greater for the emergence of a pluralistic society in Muslim Southeast Asia.

Endnotes

1. See: Lawrence Foster, *Defending Diversity: Contemporary Philosophical Perspectives on Pluralism and Multiculturalism*, Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1994; Edward J. Jurji, 'Introduction: Religious Pluralism and World Community', in Edward J. Jurji (ed.), *Religious Pluralism and World Community: Interfaith and Intercultural Communication*, Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1969, pp. 1–20; Charles B. Jones, 'The Necessity of Religious Diversity' in *Studies in Religion*, vol. 28, no. 4. pp. 403–417; Giovanni Filoramo, 'Religious Pluralism and Crises of Identity' in *Diogenes*, vol. 50, no. 3, 2003, pp. 31–44.
2. See: Lambang Trijono (ed.), *The Making of Ethnic and Religious Conflicts in Southeast Asia: Cases and Resolutions*, Yogyakarta, Indonesia: CSPA Books, 2004; Mun'im A. Sirry, *Membendung Militansi Agama: Iman dan Politik dalam Masyarakat Modern*, Jakarta: Erlangga, 2003.
3. Christian W. Toll, 'The Claims of Religious Truth and Socio–Political Pluralism,' in Bsteh, Andreas (ed.), *One World for All: Foundations of a Socio–Political and Cultural Pluralism from Christian and Muslim Perspectives*, New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House, 1999, p. 63
4. Abdulkarim Soroush, *The Expansion of Prophetic Experience: Essays on Historicity, Contingency and Plurality in Religion*. Translated by Mobasser, Nilou, and edited with analytical introduction by Jahanbakhsh, Forough. Boston: Brill, 2009, p. 119.
5. This is a point noted in the making of plural society since the colonial period. See, J.S. Furnivall, *Netherlands India: A Study of Plural Economy*, London: Cambridge U.P, 1967.
6. Diana L. Eck, 'Prospects for Pluralism: Voice and Vision in the Study of Religion', in *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*, vol. 75, no. 4, , 2007, p. 754.
7. 'Abd al-Hakeem Carney, 'Twilight of the idols? Pluralism and Mystical Praxis in Islam', in *International Journal of Philosophy of Religion*, 2008, p.64.
8. Richard K. Khuri, 'True and False Pluralism in Relation to the West and Islam', in Makariev, Plamen (ed.) *Islamic and Christian Cultures: Conflict or Dialogue*, Washington, D.C.: The Council for Research in Values and Philosophy, 2001.
9. Farish A. Noor, 'Pluralism and its Contribution to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment in Muslim Societies', in *Regional Conference on Advancing Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment in Muslim Societies*, Jakarta, Indonesia, March 11–12, 2009.
10. See: Lee Hock Guan, *Bangsa Malaysia: Ethnic and/or Civic Citizenship*, Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 2007; Robert W. Hefner (ed.), *The Politics of Multiculturalism: Pluralism and Citizenship in Malaysia, Singapore, and Indonesia*, Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 2001.
11. See: Syed Hussein Alatas, *The Rukunegara and the Return to Democracy in Malaysia*, Tokyo: Jiji P., 1971; Muhammad Ghazali Shafie, *Rukunegara, a Testament of Hope: Selected Speeches*, Kuala Lumpur: Creative Enterprise Sdn. Bhd, 1985.
12. See: Eka Darmaputera, *Pancasila and the Search for Identity and Modernity in Indonesian Society: A Cultural and Ethical Analysis*, Leiden, New York: E.J. Brill, 1988; Oetoyo Oesman & Alfian. (eds.), *Pancasila Sebagai Ideologi dalam Berbagai Bidang Kehidupan Bermasyarakat, Berbangsa, dan Bernegara*, Surabaya: Karya Anda, 1993.
13. David Seah Chee Meow, "Managing Pluralism in Malaysia: Whither the Assimilationist Strategy?," paper presented at Evaluation of Malaysia's Assimilationist Strategy Manchester, UK, 1999.
14. See: Azmi Aziz & A. Shamsul, 'The Religious, the Plural, the Secular and the Modern: A Brief Critical Survey on Islam in Malaysia', in *Inter–Asia Cultural Studies*, 5(3),

- 2004, pp. 341–356; Jane Connors, ‘Malaysia: Responding to Religious and Cultural Pluralism’, in *Journal of Family Law*, 27(1), 1989, pp. 195–209; Judith A. Nagata (ed.), *Pluralism in Malaysia: Myth and reality: A Symposium on Singapore and Malaysia*, Leiden: Brill, 1975.
15. See: Roger Boase, *Islam and Global Dialogue: Religious Pluralism and the Pursuit of Peace*, Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2005; Jeremy Henzell Thomas, *The Challenge of Pluralism and the Middle Way of Islam*, The Association of Muslim Social Scientists, 2002.
 16. Similarly among Shiite Islam in Iran, the discourse of pluralism is gaining momentum. See, Ashk Dahlen, ‘Sirat al-Mustaqim—One or Many? Religious pluralism among Muslim Intellectuals in Iran’, in Abu-Rabi, Ibrahim M. (ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Contemporary Islamic Thought*, Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub 2006. Even among the revivalist circles the subject of pluralism can be found. See, Mohamed Fathi Osman, *The Children of Adam: An Islamic Perspective on Pluralism*, Washington, DC: Center for Muslim–Christian Understanding, Georgetown University, 1996.
 17. See: Mahmut Aydin, ‘Religious Pluralism as an Opportunity for Living Together in Diversity’, in Woodberry, J. Dudley, Zümürüt, Osman, & Mustafa Köylü (eds.), *Muslim and Christian Reflections on Peace: Divine and Human Dimensions*, MD: University Press of America, 2005, pp. 89–101; Sohail H. Hashim, ‘The Qur’an and Tolerance: An Interpretative Essay on Verse 5:48’, in *Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2003, pp. 81–103; Reza Shah–Kazemi, ‘The Metaphysics of Interfaith Dialogue: A Qur’anic Perspective’, paper presented at *Paths to the Heart: Sufism and the Christian East Conference*, October 18–20, 2001; Abdulaziz Sachedina, *The Islamic Roots of Democratic Pluralism*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001; Chandra Muzaffar, *Global Ethic or Global Hegemony?: Reflections on Religion, Human Dignity and Civilisational Interaction*, London: ASEAN Academic Press, 2005; Farid Esack, *Qur’an, Liberation & Pluralism: An Islamic Perspective of Interreligious Solidarity Against Oppression*, New Delhi: Viva Books, 2006; Abdou Filali–Ansary, *The Challenge of Pluralism: Paradigms from Muslim Contexts*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press in association with the AGA Khan University, Institute for the Study of Muslim Civilisations, 2009.
 18. See: Wilfred Cantwell Smith, *Towards a World Theology: Faith and the Comparative History of Religion*, London: Macmillan, 1981; Hans Kung, *Global Responsibility: In Search of a New World Ethic*, New York: Crossroad Pub. Co., 1991; John Hick, *Problems of Religious Pluralism*, New York: St. Martin’s Press, 1985; Paul F. Knitter, ‘Searching for Common Thread within Religions’, in *ReVision*, vol. 22, no. 2, 1999, pp. 20–26; Harold Coward, ‘Religious Pluralism and the Future of Religions’, in Dean, Thomas (ed.), *Religious Pluralism and Truth: Essays on Cross-cultural Philosophy of Religion*, New York: State University of New York Press, 1995; Ramundo Panikkar, ‘Philosophical Pluralism and the Plurality of Religions’, in Dean, Thomas (ed.) *Religious Pluralism and Truth: Essays on Cross-cultural Philosophy of Religion*, New York: State University of New York Press, 1995; George F. McLean, ‘Architectural Elements of the Dialogue of Civilizational in a Global Age’, in *Dialogue and Universalism*, no. 5, 2003, pp. 9–25.
 19. Mahmut Aydin, ‘Religious Pluralism: A Challenge for Muslims: A Theological Evaluation’, in *Journal of Ecumenical Studies*, vol. 38, issue 2/3 Spring/Summer, 2001.
 20. Gamal Al-Banna, *Doktrin Pluralisme dalam al-Quran*, Jakarta: Penerbit Menara, 2006.
 21. This is insightfully discussed by Diana L. Eck in *Prospects for Pluralism: Voice and Vision in the Study of Religion*, pp. 743–776
 22. See: Sven Cedderoth, ‘Islamism in Multi-religious Societies: The Experience of Malaysia and Indonesia’, in Westerlund, David (ed.) *Questioning the Secular State: The Worldwide Resurgence of Religion in Politics*. London: Hurst, 1996, pp. 356–391; S.

- Yunanto, et al., *Militant Islamic Movements in Indonesia and South-east Asia*, Jakarta: Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung Ripep Institute, 2003.
23. See: Mohd Sani Badron, 'Ibn al-'Arabi Tentang Ragam Faham Agama (Religious Pluralism)', in *Afkar: Jurnal Akidah dan Pemikiran Islam*, Bil.5: 2004, pp. 109–146; Nabilah Yusof, "Piagam Madinah: Modul Perlembagaan dalam Menjamin Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Majmuk", paper presented at Seminar Serantau Islam dan Kesejahteraan Sejagat, February 24–25, 2010: Brunei Gadong; Al Chaidar & Herdi Sahrasad, *Negara Madinah: Refleksi Tentang Agama dan Pluralisme*, Yogyakarta: Madani Press, 2000.
 24. See: Mahmood Zuhdi Abdul Majid, *Bagaimana Islam Memimpin Masyarakat Majmuk*, Batu Caves: PTS Islamika 2010; Abdullah Abu Bakar, "Konsep Pemerintahan Islam Dan Pendekatannya Dalam Masyarakat Majmuk: Inter Persepsi Ulama Dahulu Dan Sekarang", paper presented at Persidangan Pemerintahan Dalam Masyarakat Majmuk, Institut Kefahaman Islam Malaysia, August 17–18, 1995.
 25. Lee Poh Ping, 'Stability in Racially Divided Societies: Malaysia', in Crawford Young (ed.), *The Accommodation of Cultural Diversity: Case Studies*, New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999.
 26. See: Kamarulnizam Abdullah, *The Politics of Islam in contemporary Malaysia*, Bangi, Selangor: Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 2002; Norani Othman, Zainah Anwar & Zaitun Mohamed Kasim, 'Malaysia, Islamization, Muslim politics, and State Authoritarianism', in Norani Othman (ed.), *Muslim Women and the Challenge of Islamic Extremism*, Petaling Jaya: Sisters in Islam, 2005.
 27. See: Yeoh Seng Guan, 'Managing Sensitivities: Religious Pluralism, Civil Society and Inter-faith Relations in Malaysia', in *The Round Table*, vol. 94, no. 382, October, 2005, pp. 629–640; Graham K. Brown, 'Legible Pluralism: The Politics of Ethnic and Religious Identification in Malaysia', in *Ethnopolitics*, vol. 9, issue 1, 2010, pp. 31–52
 28. Robert Hunt, 'Can Muslims Engage in Interreligious Dialogue? A Study of Malay Muslims Identity in Contemporary Malaysia', in *The Muslim World*, vol. 99, October, 2009, pp. 581–607; Patricia Martinez, *A Case Study of Malaysia: Muslim-Christian Dialogue and Partnership, Possibilities and Problems, with Suggestions for the Future Dialogue of Civilisations and the Construction of Peace*, edited by Thomas W. Simon & Azizan Baharuddin, Kuala Lumpur: Centre for Civilisational Dialogue, University of Malaya, 2008, pp. 111–141.
 29. Graham Saunders, *The Development of a Plural Society in Malaya*, Kuala Lumpur: Longman, 1977.
 30. Kevin Young, *Malaysia: Pertubuhan dan Keadilan dalam Masyarakat Majmuk*, Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Penerbitan Universiti Malaya, 1980.
 31. See: Teck Ghee Lim, *Multietnic Malaysia: Past, Present, and Future*, Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Institute of Development and Asian Studies, Petaling Jaya: Strategic Information and Research Development Centre, 2009; Daniel Goh Pei Siong, *Race and Multiculturalism in Malaysia and Singapore*, Abingdon: Routledge, 2009; Cheah Boon Kheng (ed.), *The Challenge of Ethnicity: Building a Nation in Malaysia*, Singapore: Marshall Cavendish Academic, 2004; Cheah Boon Kheng, *Malaysia: The Making of a Nation*, Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 2002; Ibrahim Saad, *Competing Identities in a Plural Society: The Case of Peninsular Malaysia*, Singapore : Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 1980.
 32. Shamsul Amri Baharuddin, 'The Redefinition of Politics and the Transformation of Malaysian Pluralism', at the *Research Workshop on Southeast Asian Pluralisms: Social Resources for Civility and Participation in Malaysia, Singapore and Indonesia*, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia: Paper 4 (19p.), August 5–6, 1999; Sharifah Zaleha Syed Hassan,

- 'Pluralism and National Identity in Malaysia', paper presented at *Asia-Pacific Moral, Civic and Citizenship Education Conference 2005*, September 21–22, Kuala Lumpur: Paper 15 (7p.), 2005; Abdul Rahman Embong, 'The Culture and Practice of Pluralism in Post-Independence', paper presented at *Research Workshop on Southeast Asian Pluralisms: Social Resources for Civility and Participation in Malaysia, Singapore and Indonesia*, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia: Paper 2, August 5–6, 1999; Kamar Oniah Kamaruzaman, 'Pluralistic Unity within the Islamic State: Multi-racial Harmony Based on Parallel Religious Ethic', paper presented at *International Conference on The Role of Islamic States in a Global World*, Kuala Lumpur, 22p, 2000.
33. See: Mat Zin Mat Kib, Worrnan Hj. Kabul & S. Salahudin Suyurno, *Hubungan Etnik di Malaysia: Sejarah, Iltizam & Cabaran Baru*, Shah Alam: Universiti Teknologi MARA, 2008; Mansor Mohd, *Hubungan Etnik: Konsep dan Amalannya di Malaysia*, Kuala Lumpur: Yayasan Dakwah Islamiyah Malaysia, 2008; Mansor Mohd & Abdul Rahman Abdul Aziz, *Mohamad Ainuddin Iskandar Lee: Hubungan Etnik di Malaysia*, Petaling Jaya: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2006; Kamarulzaman Askandar, *Budaya Perdamaian Budaya Kita*, Penang, Malaysia: Research and Education for Peace, Southeast Asian Conflict Studies Network, 2006.
 34. Jaffary Awang, *Pluralisme Agama dan Gerakan Kristian di Malaysia*, Kuala Terengganu: Yayasan Islam Terengganu, 2007.
 35. 'Memurnikan Islam dari liberalisme dan Pluralisme', in *Majalah i.*, August (46), pp. 20–22; Azmi Aziz, 'Multi-agama: Kritikan Terhadap Konsep Pluralisme', in *Pemikir*, (16), April–June, 1999, pp. 129–144; Mazlan Abu Bakar, 'Pluralisme dalam Pencarian Wajah', in *Dewan Budaya*, 19(2), February, 1997, pp. 62–64; Wan Azhar Wan Ahmad, 'Pluralisme dan Transcendentalisme', in *Massa*, 3(118), December, 1997, pp. 51–52; Mohamed Azam Mohamed Adil, 'Liberalisme, Pluralisme, Kongsi Raya dan Rumah Terbuka: Mencari Kesepakatan Antara Ulama dan Umara', in *Al Islam*, v35(392), August, 2006, pp. 22–25.
 36. Khalif Muammar A. Harris, 'Pluralisme dan Kesatuan Agama: Tanggapan Terhadap Beberapa Pluralis Muslim Kontemporari', at the *Seminar Pentadbiran Hal Ehwal Agama Islam di Malaysia*, Kuala Lumpur, 16p, 2000.
 37. Suhaida Azmi, *Perpaduan Masyarakat Majmuk*, Subang Jaya: Pintar Firus, 2007; Suhaida Azmi, *Perpaduan Masyarakat Majmuk*, Petaling Jaya: Pinang Publications, 2010; Muhamad Ridzuan Amin, *Masyarakat Majmuk di Malaysia*, Subang Jaya: Mika Cemerlang, 2009; Mohd Zahari Ishak, *Masyarakat Majmuk*, Kuala Lumpur: Penerbit Pinang, 2000.
 38. Roni Hidayat Ridwan, *Masyarakat Majmuk dan Agama*, Kuala Lumpur: Pustaka Printis, 2006.
 39. Zul-kifli Hussin dan Zahri Hamat, 'Kepentingan Toleransi dan Perpaduan dalam Masyarakat Majmuk', paper presented at *Konvensyen Kebangsaan Kecemerlangan Sosial dan Pembangunan Komuniti*, Melaka, 2005, pp. 59–70.
 40. Abdul Monir Yaacob (ed.), *Prinsip-prinsip Pemerintahan dalam Masyarakat Majmuk*, Kuala Lumpur: Institut Kefahaman Islam Malaysia, 1999.
 41. Md. Ismail Zamzam (ed.), *Kontrak Sosial Masyarakat Majmuk di Malaysia*, Johor Bahru: Majlis Kebudayaan Negeri Johor, 2007; Abd Rahman Mohd Nizho, et al., 'Adab-adab perbezaan pendapat dalam masyarakat majmuk: satu pandangan dari perspektif Islam', paper presented at *Persidangan Serantau Islam Hadhari dan Profesionalisme*, Putrajaya, 2007, pp. 217–225.
 42. Hence, it is interesting to note that the mounting political opposition saw UMNO leadership succumbing to the pressures of the ethno-religious groups, while PAS, deemed as a conservative Muslim party, is now demonstrating a more open and

- national outlook. For PAS's general position on a plural society, see *PAS dengan Masyarakat Majmuk*, Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Penerangan dan Penyelidikan PAS Pusat.
43. <http://www.ikim.gov.my/v5/print.php?grp=2&key=1096>. Accessed on July 8, 2010.
 44. Roger Kershaw, 'The Penalties of Apostasy in Malaysia', in *Contemporary Review*, vol. 290, 2008, pp. 465–85.
 45. The High Court allowed the use of the word *Allah* for *Herald–The Catholic Weekly* on December 31, 2009. Earlier the National Fatwa Council issued its views in early May 2008: "Setelah meneliti keterangan, hujah–hujah dan pandangan yang dikemukakan, Muzakarah bersetuju memutuskan bahawa Lafaz Allah merupakan kalimah suci yang khusus bagi agama dan umat Islam dan ia tidak boleh digunakan atau disamakan dengan agama–agama bukan Islam yang lain. Oleh itu, wajib bagi umat Islam menjaganya dengan cara yang terbaik dan sekiranya terdapat unsur–unsur penghinaan atau penyalahgunaan terhadap kalimah tersebut, maka ia perlu disekat mengikut peruntukan undang–undang yang telah termaktub dalam Perlembagaan Persekutuan".
 46. For information on the burning of churches in Malaysia, see http://ms.wikipedia.org/wiki/Serangan_terhadap_gereja_di_Malaysia_2010
 47. See, Theodore Gabriel, *Hindu and Muslim Inter–religious Relations in Malaysia*, Lewiston, N.Y.: Edwin Mellen Press, 2000.
 48. See: Nathaniel Tan & John Lee (eds.), *Religion Under Siege?: Lina Joy, the Islamic State and Freedom of Faith*, Kuala Lumpur: Kinibooks, 2008; Andrew J. Harding, 'Islam and Public Law in Malaysia: Some Reflections in the Aftermath of Susie Teoh's Case', in Mallat, Chibli (ed.) *Islam and Public Law: Classical and Contemporary Studies*, London: Graham & Trotman, 1993.
 49. The religious authorities' *fatwa* on the banning of yoga is one example. Although directed at Muslims, the decision shows clearly misinformed views about yoga and Hindu teachings. The *fatwa* was, obviously, insensitive to the country's Hindus. See, http://www.islam.gov.my/portal/yoga_Bm.php. Accessed, May 10, 2010.
 50. Gerhard Hoffstaedter, 'Islam Hadhari: A Malaysian Islamic Multi-culturalism or Another Blank Banner?', in *Contemporary Islam*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2009 pp. 121–141.
 51. <http://www.pribumiperkasa.com/perkasa/>
 52. <http://pewarismalaysia.blogspot.com>
 53. Peter G. Riddell, 'Varieties of Christian–Muslim encounter in Malaysia', in O'Mahony, Anthony, & Loosley, Emma (eds.), *Christian Responses to Islam: Muslim–Christian Relations in the Modern World*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2008.
 54. Kamaruzzaman Bustamam Ahmad, 'Contemporary of Islamic Thought in Southeast Asia: liberal Islam, Islam Hadhari, and Progressive Islam', paper presented at the *International Conference on Southeast Asia*, December 12–14, Kuala Lumpur: vol.1, paper 9 (p. 39), 2005.
 55. Hartono Ahmad Jaiz, *Tasawuf: Pluralisme dan Pemurtadan*, Jakarta Timur: Pustaka Al-Kautsar, 2001; Adian Husaini, *Islam Liberal, Pluralisme Agama & Diabolisme Intelektual*, Surabaya: Alternatif Buku Baik, 2005.
 56. Abdul Karim Ali, *Islam Liberal: Isu dan Cabaran*, Petaling Jaya: Persatuan Ulama' Malaysia, 2009; Khalif Muammar, *Atas Nama Kebenaran: Tanggapan Kritis Terhadap Wacana Islam Liberal*, Pengantar Dato' Dr. Siddiq Fadzil, Kajang: Akademi Kajian Ketamadunan, 2006.
 57. Harussani Haji Zakaria, 'Pemurnian Islam dari Liberalisme dan Pluralisme', paper presented at *Muzakarah Ulama 2006*, Ipoh, Perak: paper 3 (13p.), June 11–13, 2006.
 58. Mohd. Fauzi Hamat, 'Pendekatan Epistemologi dalam Menangani Pluralisme Agama', paper presented at *Seminar Islam dan Hubungan Antara Agama di Malaysia Peringkat Kebangsaan*, Kuala Lumpur: vol.2 paper 17 (49p.), January 18–19, 2006.

59. M. Irfan Riyadi, *Membangun Inklusivisme Fahaman Keagamaan*, Ponorogo: STAIN Ponorogo Press, 2009; Karlina Helmanita, *Isu-isu Kontemporer dalam Perspektif Al-Quran dan Hadis: Pluralisme dan Inklusivisme Islam di Indonesia: Ke Arab Dialog Lintas Agama*, vol. 4., Jakarta: Pusat Bahasa dan Budaya UIN, 2003.
60. Ustadi Hamsah, "Pluralisme Agama: Mitos atau Realitas? Melihat Relasi Antar Agama dari Sosiologi Pengetahuan", Sekretariat Diskusi Ilmiah Dosen Tetap, UIN SUKA, Yogyakarta, 22p, 2008; Saifuddin Zuhri, "Dekonstruksi Konsep Islam dan Implikasinya Terhadap Pluralisme Beragama: Studi Penafsiran Ulil Abshar Abdalla atas QS. Ali Imran 19", Skripsi Fak, Ushuluddin UIN SUKA, Yogyakarta, 2003.
61. Mazlan Ibrahim & Achmad Yaman, *Penyelewengan Tafsir al-Qur'an: Kritikan Terhadap Buku Fiqih Lintas Agama*, Bangi: Fakulti Pengajian Islam, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 2010; Yasril Yazid, "Pro Kontra dalam Pluralisme Agama: Kajian Terhadap Konflik Sosial Keagamaan dan Sikap Toleransi Beragama di Indonesia", Ph.D. thesis, Jabatan Akidah dan Pemikiran Islam, Akademi Pengajian Islam, Universiti Malaya, 2005; Abu Bakar & Ahmad Yumni, "Jaringan Islam Liberal (JIL): Kajian Terhadap Sumber Epistemology", dissertation (M. Usuluddin), Jabatan Akidah dan Pemikiran Islam, Akademi Pengajian Islam, Universiti Malaya, 2009; Samsuddin Abd Hamid, "Konsep Toleransi Beragama dalam Laman Sesawang Jaring Islam Liberal", academic exercise (B.A.), Jabatan Akidah dan Pemikiran Islam, Bahagian Pengajian Usuluddin, Akademi Pengajian Islam, Universiti Malaya, 2006/2007; Azizan Othman, "Kajian Karya-karya Ilmiah Islam Liberal", academic exercise (B.A.), Jabatan Akidah dan Pemikiran Islam, Akademi Pengajian Islam, Universiti Malaya, 2005/2006; Anis Shakila Ismail, "Pemikiran Islam Liberal di Malaysia: Kajian Terhadap isu yang Ditimbulkan oleh Farish Noor dan Syed Akbar Ali", academic exercise (B.A.), Jabatan Akidah dan Pemikiran Islam, Akademi Pengajian Islam, Universiti Malaya, 2005/2006.
62. Idris Awang, 'Peranan Islam dalam Perpaduan Masyarakat Majmuk', paper presented at *Konvensyen Islam 2002 Peringkat Kebangsaan*, Melaka: paper 9 (9p.), June 14–15, 2002; Rukiah Husin, "Islam dalam Masyarakat Majmuk: Kajian tentang Usaha Kerajaan Malaysia dalam Pembentukan Integrasi Nasional", dissertation (M.Usuluddin), Jabatan Sejarah dan Tamadun Islam, Akademi Pengajian Islam, Universiti Malaya, 2005.
63. Anwar Ibrahim, *Islam: Penyelesaian kepada Masalah Masyarakat Majmuk*, Kuala Lumpur: ABIM, nd, 1984; Md. Hashim Haji Yahya, 'Islam Menyelesaikan Masalah Masyarakat Majmuk', paper presented at *Ijtimak Dakwah* in Kota Bahru, September 26; Ismail Ibrahim, 'Pendekatan Islam ke Arah Penyelesaian Persoalan Masyarakat Majmuk = Islam as a Way of Solving Questions of a Multi-racial Society', paper presented at *Seminar Kebangsaan mengenai Perpaduan Nasional*, Jitra, March 26–28, 1987; Mahmud Saedon Awang Othman, "Penyelesaian Masalah Masyarakat Majmuk Melalui Perundangan Islam", Makalah Undang-Undang Menghormati Ahmad Ibrahim, [diinstitiatifkan oleh] Fakulti Undang-Undang Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka, 1988, pp. 114-135.
64. Haji Mat Saad Abd Rahman, *Pembalasan Kesalahan Jenayah dalam Masyarakat Majmuk: Dengan Penumpuan kepada Peruntukannya terhadap bukan Muslim*, Petaling Jaya: Intel Multimedia and Publication, 2000; Uthman El-Muhammady, 'Kesesuaian Undang-undang Islam dalam Masyarakat Majmuk: Konteks di Malaysia', presented at *Seminar Pelaksanaan Hukum Syarak* di Malaysia, Pulau Pinang, 5p, 2000.
65. Kntayya Mariappan, 'Ethnicity, Malay Nationalism, and the Question of Bangsa Malaysia', in Steve Fenton & Stephen May (eds.), *Ethnonational Identities*, Basongstoke, Hants: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002.

66. Azizan Baharuddin, *Perpaduan Masyarakat Majmuk dan Pernghormatan Ketuanan Melayu Menerusi Dialog Peradaban*, Petaling Jaya: Institut Perkembangan Minda INMIND, 2005.
67. Chandra Muzaffar, 'Malayism, Bumiputraisim and Islam', in Ahmad Ibrahim & Sharon Siddique, *Readings on Islam in Southeast Asia*, Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 1985.
68. Chandra Muzaffar, *Religious Values in Plural Society*, Singapore: Majlis Ugama Islam Singapura, 2006; Salbiah Ahmad, *Critical Thoughts on Islam, Rights and Freedom in Malaysia*, Petaling Jaya: Strategic Information and Research Development Centre, 2007; Hikmatullah Babu Sahib, 'Constructing Religious Tolerance in a Pluralistic World: An Islamic View', in Thomas W. Simon & Azizan Baharuddin (eds.), *Dialogue of Civilisations and the Construction of Peace*, Kuala Lumpur: Centre for Civilisational Dialogue, University of Malaya, 2008, pp. 83-110; Faisal Haji Othman, 'Islam and Pluralism: The Case of Malaysia', paper presented at *Seminar on Islamic Understanding for the Mass Media*, Kuala Lumpur: paper 3 (7p.), June 25-26, 1993.
69. Abdul Rahman Embong, 'The Culture and Practice of Pluralism in Postcolonial Malaysia', in Robert Hefner (ed.), *The Politics of Multiculturalism: Pluralism and Citizenship in Malaysia, Singapore, and Indonesia*, Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 2001.
70. For instance, see: Kassim Ahmad, 'Masalah Perpaduan Bangsa dan Rakyat di Malaysia', *Jurnal Antropologi dan Sosiologi*, Jil.14, 1986, pp. 111-130; Shaharuddin Maaruf, 'Materialism as an Ideology in Malay Literature', in Onh Jin Hui, Chan Kwok Bun & Chew Soon Beng (eds.), *Crossing Borders: Transmigration in Asia Pacific*, Singapore: Simon & Schuster, 1995.
71. Szali, *Muhammadiyah dan Masyarakat Madani: Independensi, Rasionalitas, dan Pluralism*, Jakarta: Pusat Studi Agama dan Peradaban, 2005; Zakiyuddin Baidhawiy & M. Thoyibi (eds.), *Halaqah Tarjih 2004: Jakarta, Indonesia: Reinvensi Islam Multikultural*, Surakarta: Pusat Studi Budaya dan Perubahan Sosial, Universitas Muhammadiyah, Surakarta, 2005; Imron Nasri (ed.), *Pluralisme & Liberalisme: Pergolakan Pemikiran Anak Muda Muhammadiyah*, Yogyakarta: Citra Karsa Mandiri, 2005.
72. Muhammad Fuad, 'Islam, the Indonesian State, and Identity: The Ideas of Nurcholis Madjid and Abdurrahman Wahid', in *Wacana Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan Budaya*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 92-104; Ahmad Amir Aziz, *Neo-modernisme Islam di Indonesia: Gagasan Sentral Nurcholish Madjid dan Abdurrahman Wahid*, Jakarta: Rineka Cipta, 1999; Nurcholish Madjid, 'In Search Roots for Modern Pluralism: The Indonesian Experiences', in Mark R. Woodward (ed.), *Toward a New Paradigm: Recent Development in Indonesian Islamic Thought*. See also: Andi Faisal Bakti, 'Islam and Modernity: Nurcholish Madjid's Interpretation of Civil Society, Pluralism, Secularization, and Democracy', in *Asian Journal of Social Science*, vol. 33 issue 3, 2005, pp. 486-505; M. Ilham Maskhuri Hamdie, *Pluralisme Agama Menuju Dialog Antar Agama: Telaah Pemikiran Dimensi Sufistik Nurcholish Madjid*, Banjarmasin: Antasari Press, 2006; Budhy Munawar-Rachman, *Islam dan Pluralisme Nurcholish Madjid*, Pusat Studi Islam dan Kenegaraan (PSIK), Universitas Paramadina, Jakarta, 2007.
73. Azyumardi Azra, 'Religious Pluralism in Indonesia', in Azyumardi Azra & Wayne Hudson, *Islam Beyond Conflict: Indonesian Islam and Western Political Theory*, Aldershot, Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2008; Bahtiar Effendy, *Masyarakat, Agama dan Pluralisme Keagamaan: Perbincangan mengenai Islam, Masyarakat Madani dan Etos Kewirausahaan*, Yogyakarta: Galang Press, 2001; M. Jadul Maula, Akhmad Fikri AF, Amirudin Arrani, Imam Baehaqi & Yuni Ma'rufah (eds.), *Ngesubi Deso Sak Kukuban:*

- Lokalitas, Pluralisme, Modal Sosial Demokrasi*, Yogyakarta: LKiS, 2002; Raja Juli Antoni (eds.), *Living Together in Plural Societies: Pengalaman Indonesia–Inggris*, kata pengantar, M. Amien Rais, Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar kerjasama Pemuda Muhammadiyah dan British Council, 2002; Shlomo Alon, et al., *Commitment of Faiths: Identity, Plurality and Gender*, Yogyakarta: Institute Dian/Interfidei, 2002.
74. Budhy Munawar-Rachman, 'Fundamentalisme Islam sebagai Reaksi Terhadap Liberalisme Islam', in Einar M. Sitompul (ed.), *Agama-agama dan Problematika Sosial Keagamaan*, Jakarta: Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan (PGI) dan Mission 21, 2005, pp. 81–104.
 75. Abd. Moqsih Ghazali, *Argumen Pluralisme Agama: Membangun Toleransi Berbasis Al-Qur'an*, Jakarta: Kata Kita, 2009.
 76. Jalaluddin Rakhmat, *Islam dan Pluralisme: Akhlak Quran Menyikapi Perbedaan*, Jakarta: Serambi, 2006.
 77. Budhy Munawar-Rachman, 'Pemikiran Pluralisme Keagamaan dan Teologi Agama-agama', in *Islam Pluralis: Wacana Kesetaraan Kaum Beriman*, Jakarta: Srigunting, 2004.
 78. Muhammad Imarah, *Islam dan Pluralitas: Perbedaan dan Kemajemukan dalam Bingkai Persatuan*, Jakarta: Gema Insani, 1999; Muhammad Legenhausen, *Satu Agama atau Banyak Agama: Kajian tentang Liberalisme & Pluralisme Agama*, penerjemah Arif Mulyadi & Ana Farida penyunting Arif Mulyadi, Jakarta: Lentera, 2002; Muhammad Abed al-Jabiri, *Formasi Nalar Arab: Kritik Tradisi Menuju Pembebasan dan Pluralisme Wacana Inter-religius*, penerjemah Khoiri, Imam, Yogyakarta: IRCISoD, 2003; Ali Rabbani Gulpaigani, *Keberagaman itu Banyak?: Menggugat Pluralisme Agama*, penerjemah Musa, Muhammad, Jakarta: Penerbit al-Huda, 2005; Murtadha Muthahhari, *Mestikah bunda Teresa Masuk Neraka: Wacana Orisinal Islam Tuntas dan Mendasar tentang Pluralisme dan Kerukunan Beragama*, Jakarta: Mustaka Ilman,
 79. Hamka Haq, *Islam Rahmah untuk Bangsa*, Jakarta: RMBooks, 2009; M. Yudhie Haryono, *Memaafkan Islam*, Jakarta: Kalam Nusantara, 2006.
 80. For a general survey on the discourse of pluralism among Indonesian Muslim intellectuals, refer Robert W. Hefner, 'Modernity and the Challenge of Pluralism: Some Indonesian Lessons', in *Studia Islamika*, vol. 2, no. 4, 1995, pp. 21–45.
 81. Kristianus Atok, Francis Ateng Sanyang & Yoh. Suproadi, *Merajut Damai: Pembelajaran dari Promosi Pluralisme dan Perdamaian di Bumi Kalimantan Barat*, Pontianak: Yayasan Pemberdayaan Pefor Nusantara bekerjasama dengan Yayasan Tifa, 2006; Syamsul Ma'arif, *Pendidikan Pluralisme di Indonesia*, Jogjakarta: Logung Pustaka, 2005; M. Saerazi, *Politik Pendidikan Agama dalam Era Pluralisme: Telaah Historis atas Kebijaksanaan Pendidikan Agama Konfesional di Indonesia*, Yogyakarta: Wacana, Tiara, 2004; Syamsul Arifin & Ahmad Barizi, *Paradigma Pendidikan Berbasis Pluralisme dan Demokrasi: Rekonstruksi dan Aktualisasi Tradisi Ikhtilaf dalam Islam*. Malang: UMM Press, 2001; Abdul Munir Mulkhan, *Pluralisme, Konflik, dan Pendidikan Agama di Indonesia*, Yogyakarta: Institut Dian, 2001; Machasin et al., *Pluralisme, Konflik, dan Pendidikan Agama di Indonesia*, Yogyakarta: Institut DIAN/Interfidei, 2001; Tobroni, *Islam, Pluralisme Budaya dan Politik: Refleksi Teologi untuk Aksi dalam Keberagaman dan Pendidikan*, Yogyakarta: Sipro, 1994.
 82. Fathimah Usman, *Wahdat al-adyan: dialog pluralisme agama*, Yogyakarta: LKIS Yogyakarta 2002.
 83. Abd A'la, *Melampau Dialog Agama*, editor Qamaruddin S.F., Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas, 2002; Ihsan Ali Fauzi, Syafiq Hasyim & J. H. Lamardy (eds.), *Demi Toleransi Demi Pluralisme: Esai-esai untuk Merayakan 65 Tahun M. Dawam Rahardjo*, Jakarta: Paramadina, 2007.
 84. Nur Khalik Ridwan, *Pluralisme Borjuis: Kritik atas Nalar Pluralisme Cak Nur*,

- Yogyakarta: Galang Press, 2002.
85. <http://www.icipglobal.org>
 86. On its website, four main activities are highlighted: (1) The search for and dissemination of authoritative Muslim texts supporting pluralism, tolerance, human rights and democracy; (2) The promotion of gender equality and women's political participation within Islamic discourse; (3) Support for Muslim NGOs, activists and intellectuals engaged in efforts to promote justice, democratic participation, and religious tolerance within the Muslim world; (4) Critical exploration of the relationship and interchange of thought between the Muslim world and the 'West'.
 87. Among others: Mun'im A. Sirry (ed.), *Interfaith Theology, Responses of Progressive Indonesian Muslims*, Jakarta: International Center for Islam and Pluralism, 2006; Sohail Hashmi (eds.), *Etika Politik Islam, Civil Society, Pluralisme dan Konflik*, Jakarta: ICIP, 2005; Nasr Hamid Abu Zaid, *Hermeneutika Inklusif, Mengatasi Problematika Bacaan dan Cara-cara Pentakwilan atas Diskursus Keagamaan*, Jakarta: International Center for Islam and Pluralism, 2004; Al Wasathiyah, *Revitalisasi Kerukunan Umat Beragama*, vol. 1, no. 5, 2007.
 88. Hairus Salim HS Suhadi, *Membangun Pluralisme dari Bawah*, penerjemah Shidqi, Ahmad, Yogyakarta LKiS Pelangi Aksara, 2007; Subkhi Ridho, *Membangun Fondasi Pluralisme Agama Melalui Pendidikan (Agama)*, <http://www.lsaf.org/content/view/112/140/>. Accessed on August 12, 2010.
 89. Gavin W. Jones, *Muslim-non-Muslim Marriage: Political and Cultural Contestations in Southeast Asia*, Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 2009.
 90. Fatimah Husein, *Muslim-Christian Relations in the New Order Indonesia: The Exclusivist and Inclusivist Muslims' Perspectives*. Bandung: Mizan, 2005.
 91. Nurcholish Madjid, et al., *Fiqh Lintas Agama: Membangun Masyarakat Inklusif-Pluralis*. Jakarta: Paramadina, 2004.
 92. *Fatwa Munas 7 Majelis Ulama Indonesia*, Jakarta: Majelis Ulama Indonesia, 2005; Piers Gillespie, 'Current Issues in Indonesian Islam: Analysing the 2005 Council of Indonesian Ulama Fatwa No. 7 Opposing Pluralism, Liberalism and Secularism', in *Journal of Islamic Studies*, vol. 18, 2, 2007, pp. 202-240; Agus Mahfudin, "Pluralisme Agama: Studi Terhadap Fatwa Majelis Ulama Indonesia tentang Pluralism", thesis Pascasarjana-UI Kajian Islam, 2007.
 93. Erni Budiwanti, "Pluralism Collapses: A Study of the Jama'ah Ahmadiyah Indonesia and its Perception", working paper series, National University of Singapore, Asia Research Institute, 2009, p. 117.
 94. Umaruddin Masdar, *Gus Dur: Pecinta Ulama Sepanjang Zaman, Pembela Minoritas Etnis-keagamaan*, Jogjakarta: Dewan Pengurusan Pusat Partai Kebangkitan Bangsa (DPP PKB) dengan Yayasan Kajian dan Layanan Informasi untuk Kedaulatan Rakyat, 2005.
 95. *Kala Fatwa Jadi Penjara*, Jakarta: The Wahid Institute, pp. xxvi-xxvii.
 96. Anies Rasyid Baswedan, 'Political Islam in Indonesia: Present and Future Trajectory', in *Asian Survey*, Vol. 44, 5, 2004, pp. 669-690.
 97. Robert W. Hefner, 'Civic Pluralism Denied? The New Media and Jihadi Violence in Indonesia', in Dale F. Eickelman & Jon W. Anderson (eds.), *New Media in the Muslim World: The Emerging Public Sphere*, Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 2003.
 98. The editorial article in the *Jakarta Post* entitled, 'Pluralism: Beyond Unity in Diversity', reflects the sentiment of the Indonesian public: "Indonesia has miraculously remained intact as one nation, but if it is to survive for six decades or more, merely accepting our differences will not be sufficient. We need to go further to turn every corner of this country, from Sabang to Merauke, into a better place to live for everyone,

- regardless of race, ethnicity, culture, language, religion, gender, generation, social and economic status. If we want to go one step beyond unity in diversity, pluralism is the way forward. See *The Jakarta Post*, The Independence Anniversary News Supplement, August 16, 2005.
99. Julia Day Howell, 'Muslims, the New Age and Marginal Religions in Indonesia: Changing Meanings of Religious Pluralism', in *Social Compass*, vol. 52, 4, 2005, pp. 473–493.
 100. Ali S. Asani, 'So That You May Know One Another: A Muslim American Reflects on Pluralism and Islam', in *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, vol. 588, Islam: Enduring Myths and Changing Realities, July, 2003, pp. 40–51; Qamar-ul Huda, 'Knowledge of Allah and The Islamic View of Other Religions', in *Theological Studies*, vol. 64, 2003; Ali S. Asani, 'On Pluralism, Intolerance and the Quran', in *The American Scholar*, vol. 71, no. 1, 2002, pp. 52–60; Mahmut Aydin, 'Religious Pluralism: A Challenge For Muslims – A Theological Evaluation', in *Journal of Ecumenical Studies*, vol. 38, nos. 2–3, 2001, pp. 330–352; Aziz Sachedina, *The Islamic Roots of Democratic Pluralism*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2001.
 101. Robert Ken Arakaki, *Religion and state-building in Post-colonial Southeast Asia: A Comparative Analysis of State-building Strategies in Indonesia and Malaysia*, Ann Arbor, Mich.: University Microfilms International, 2005; Syed Farid Alatas, 'Democratisation in Indonesia and Malaysia', in Masatoshi Kisaichi (ed.), *Popular Movements and Democratization in the Islamic World*, Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2006.
 102. Syed Farid Alatas, Lim Teck Ghee & Kazuhide Kuroda (eds), *Asian Interfaith Dialogue: Perspectives on Religion, Education and Social Cohesion*, Singapore: Centre for Research on Islamic and Malay Affairs, World Bank, 2003.

Bibliography

- A'la, Abd. 2002. *Melampaui Dialog Agama*, edited by Qamaruddin S.F., Penerbit Buku Kompas, Jakarta.
- Abdullah, Kamarulnizam. 2002. *The Politics of Islam in Contemporary Malaysia*, Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor.
- Ahmad, Salbiah. 2007. *Critical Thoughts on Islam, Rights and Freedom in Malaysia*, Strategic Information and Research Development Centre, Petaling Jaya.
- Al Chaidar & Herdi Sahrasad. 2000. *Negara Madinah: Refleksi tentang Agama dan Pluralism*, Madani Press, Yogyakarta.
- Alatas, Syed Farid. 2006. 'Democratisation in Indonesia and Malaysia', in Masatoshi Kisaichi (ed.), *Popular Movements and Democratization in the Islamic World*, Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon.
- Alatas, Syed Farid, Lim Teck Ghee & Kazuhide Kuroda, (eds). 2003. *Asian Interfaith Dialogue: Perspectives on Religion, Education and Social Cohesion*, Centre for Research on Islamic and Malay Affairs; World Bank, Singapore.
- Alatas, Syed Hussein. 1971. *The Rukunegara and the Return to Democracy in*

- Malaysia, Jiji Press, Tokyo.
- Al-Banna, Gamal. 2006. *Doktrin Pluralisme dalam al-Quran*, Penerbit Menara, Jakarta, pp. 13-14.
- Ali, Abdul Karim. 2009. *Islam liberal: isudancabaran*, Persatuan Ulama' Malaysia, Petaling Jaya.
- Alon, Shlomo. 2002. *Commitment of Faiths: Identity, Plurality and Gender*, Institute Dian/Interfidei, Yogyakarta.
- Amin, Muhamad Ridzuan. 2009. *Masyarakat Majemuk di Malaysia*, Mika Cemerlang, Subang Jaya.
- Ansary, Abdou Filali. 2009. *The Challenge of Pluralism: Paradigms from Muslim Contexts*, Edinburgh University Press in association with the AGA Khan University, Institute for the Study of Muslim Civilisations, Edinburgh.
- Antoni, Raja Juli, (ed). 2002. *Living Together in Plural Societies: Pengalaman Indonesia-Inggris*, with introduction by M. Amien Rais, PustakaPelajar kerjasama Pemuda Muhammadiyah dan British Council, Yogyakarta.
- Arakaki, Robert Ken. 2005. *Religion and State-building in Post-colonial Southeast Asia: A Comparative Analysis of State-building Strategies in Indonesia and Malaysia*, University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor, Mich.
- Arifin, Syamsul & Ahmad Barizi. 2001. *Paradigma Pendidikan Berbasis Pluralisme dan Demokrasi: Rekonstruksi dan Aktualisasi Tradisi Ikhtilaf dalam Islam*,: UMM Press, Malang.
- Askandar, Kamarulzaman. 2006. *Budaya Perdamaian Budaya Kita .Our culture of peace*, Research and Education for Peace: Southeast Asian Conflict Studies Network, Penang, Malaysia.
- Atok, Kristianus, Francis Ateng Sanyang & Yoh. Suproadi. 2006. *Merajut Damai: Pembelajaran dari Promosi Pluralisme dan Perdamaian di Bumi Kalimantan Barat*, Yayasan Pemberdayaan Pefor Nusantara bekerja sama dengan Yayasan Tifa, Pontianak.
- Awang, Jaffary. 2007. *Pluralisme Agama dan Gerakan Kristian di Malaysia*. Yayasan Islam Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu.
- Aydin, Mahmut. 2005. 'Religious Pluralism as an Opportunity for Living Together in Diversity', in J. Dudley Woodberry, Osman Zümrüt, Mustafa Köylü, Lanham (eds), *Muslim and Christian Reflections on Peace: Divine and Human Dimensions*, University Press of America, MD, pp. 89-101.
- Aziz, Ahmad Amir. 1999. *Neo-modernisme Islam di Indonesia: gagasan Sentral Nurcholish Madjid dan Abdurrahman Wahid*, Rineka Cipta, Jakarta.
- Azmi, Suhaida. 2010. *Perpaduan Masyarakat Majmuk*, Pinang Publications, Petaling Jaya.

- Azra, Azyumardi. 2008. 'Religious Pluralism in Indonesia', in Azyumardi Azra and Wayne Hudson, *Islam beyond Conflict: Indonesian Islam and Western Political Theory*, Ashgate, Aldershot; Burlington, VT.
- Baharuddin, Azizan. 2005. *Perpaduan Masyarakat Majmuk dan Pernghormatan Ketuanan Melayu Menerusi Dialog Peradaban*, Institut Perkembangan Minda INMIND, Petaling Jaya.
- Baidhaway, Zakiyuddin & M. Thoyibi, (eds.). 2005. *Halagah Tarjih 2004: Jakarta, Indonesia: Reinvensi Islam Multicultural*, Pusat Studi Budaya dan Perubahan Sosial, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Surakarta.
- Boase, Roger. 2005. *Islam and Global Dialogue: Religious Pluralism and the Pursuit of Peace*, Ashgate, Burlington, VT.
- Cederroth, Sven. 1996. 'Islamism in Multireligious Societies: The Experience of Malaysia and Indonesia', in David Westerlund (ed.), *Questioning the Secular State: The Worldwide Resurgence of Religion in Politics*, Hurst, London.
- Coward, Harold. 1995. 'Religious Pluralism and the Future of Religions', in Thomas Dean (ed.), *Religious Pluralism and Truth: Essays on Cross-cultural Philosophy of Religion*, State University of New York Press, New York.
- Dahlen, Ashk. 2006. 'Sirat al-mustaqim, One or Many? Religious Pluralism among Muslim intellectuals in Iran', in Ibrahim M. Abu-Rabi (ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Contemporary Islamic Thought*, Blackwell Pub., Malden, MA.
- Darmaputera, Eka. 1988. *Pancasila and the Search for Identity and Modernity in Indonesian Society: A Cultural and Ethical Analysis*, E.J. Brill, Leiden.
- Effendy, Bahtiar. 2001. *Masyarakat, Agama dan Pluralisme Keagamaan: Perbincangan mengenai Islam, Masyarakat Madani dan Etos Kewirausahaan*, Galang Press, Yogyakarta.
- Embong, Abdul Rahman. 2001. 'The Culture and Practice of Pluralism in Postcolonial Malaysia', in Robert Hefner (ed.), *The Politics of Multiculturalism: Pluralism and Citizenship in Malaysia, Singapore, and Indonesia*, University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu.
- Esack, Farid. 2006. *Qur'an, Liberation & Pluralism: An Islamic Perspective of Interreligious Solidarity Against Oppression*, Viva Books, New Delhi.
- Fauzi, Ihsan Ali, Syafiq Hasyim & J.H. Lamardy, (eds). 2007. *Demi Toleransi demi Pluralisme: Esai-esai untuk Merayakan 65 Tahun M. Dawam Rahardjo*, Paramadina, Jakarta.
- Foster, Lawrence. 1994. *Defending Diversity: Contemporary Philosophical Perspectives on Pluralism and Multiculturalism*, University of Massachusetts Press, Amherst.
- Furnivall, J.S. 1967. *Netherlands India: A Study of Plural Economy*, Cambridge

- University Press, London.
- Gabriel, Theodore. 2000. *Hindu and Muslim Inter-religious Relations in Malaysia*, Edwin Mellen Press, Lewiston, N.Y.
- Ghazali, Abd. Moqsith. 2009. *Argumen Pluralisme Agama: Membangun Toleransi Berbasis al-Qur'an*, Kata Kita, Jakarta.
- Guan, Lee Hock. 2007. *Bangsa Malaysia: Ethnic and/or Civic Citizenship*, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore.
- Gulpaigani, Ali Rabbani. 2005. *Kebenaran itu Banyak? Menggugat Pluralisme Agama*, translated by Muhammad Musa, Penerbit al-Huda, Jakarta.
- Hamdie, M. Ilham Maskhuri. 2006. *Pluralisme Agama menuju Dialog Antar Agama: Telaah Pemikiran Dimensi Sufistik Nurcholish Madjid*, Antasari Press, Banjarmasin.
- Hanafi, Hassan. 2000. *Islam in the Modern World: Religion, Ideology and Development*, Dar Kebaa Bookshop, Heliopolis.
- Haq, Hamka. 2006. *Islam Rahmah untuk Bangsa*, RM Books, Jakarta.
- Harding, Andrew J. 1993. 'Islam and Public Law in Malaysia: Some Reflections in the Aftermath of Susietoh's case', in ChibliMallat (ed.), *Islam and Public Law: Classical and Contemporary Studies*, Graham & Trotman, London; Boston.
- Haryono, M. Yudhie. 2006. *Memaafkan Islam*, Kalam Nusantara, Jakarta.
- Hashmi, Sohailat. al.. 2005. *Etika Politik Islam, Civil Society, Pluralis Medan Konflik*, ICIP, Jakarta.
- Hefner, Robert W., (ed). 2001. *The Politics of Multiculturalism: Pluralism and Citizenship in Malaysia, Singapore, and Indonesia*. University of Hawai'i Press, Honolulu.
- _____. 2003. 'Civic Pluralism Denied? The New Media and Jihadi Violence in Indonesia', in Dale F. Eickelman and Jon W. Anderson (eds), *New Media in the Muslim World: The Emerging Public Sphere*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington.
- Helmanita, Karlina. 2003. *Isu-isu Kontemporer dalam Perspektif al-Quran dan Hadis: Pluralisme dan Inklusivisme Islam di Indonesia: ke Arah Dialog Lintas Agama Vol. 4*, Pusat Bahasa dan Budaya UIN, Jakarta.
- Hick, John. 1985. *Problems of Religious Pluralism*, St. Martin's Press, New York.
- Husaini, Adian. 2005. *Islam Liberal, Pluralisme Agama & Diabolisme Intelektual*, Alternatif Buku Baik, Surabaya.
- Husein, Fatimah. 2005. *Muslim-Christian Relations in the New Order Indonesia: The Exclusivist and Inclusivist Muslims' Perspectives*, Mizan, Bandung.
- Ibrahim, Anwar .nd. *Islam: Penyelesaian kepada Masalah Masyarakat Majmuk*.

ABIM, Kuala Lumpur.

- Ibrahim, Mazlan & Achmad Yaman. 2010. *Penyelewengan Tafsir al-Qur'an: Kritikan terhadap Buku Fiqih Lintas Agama*, Fakultas Pengajian Islam, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Imarah, Muhammad. 1999. *Islam dan Pluralitas: Perbedaan dan Kemajemukan dalam Bingkai Persatuan*, Gema Insani, Jakarta.
- Indonesia, Majelis Ulama. 2005. *Fatwa Munas 7 Majelis Ulama Indonesia*, Majelis Ulama Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Ishak, Mohd Zahari. 2000. *Masyarakat Majmuk*, Penerbit Pinang, Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabiri, Muhammad Abed,. 2003. *Formasi Nalar Arab: Kritik Tradisi menuju Pembebasan dan Pluralisme Wacana Interreligi*, translated by Imam Khoiri, IRCISoD, Yogyakarta.
- Jaiz, Hartono Ahmad. 2001. *Tasawuf: Pluralisme dan Pemurtadan*, Pustaka Al-Kautsar, Jakarta Timur.
- Jones, Gavin W. 2009. *Muslim-non-Muslim Marriage: Political and Cultural Contestations in Southeast Asia*, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore.
- Kheng, Cheah Boon, (ed). 2004. *The Challenge of Ethnicity: Building a Nation in Malaysia*, Marshall Cavendish Academic, Singapore.
- _____. 2002. *Malaysia: the Making of a Nation*, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore.
- Khuri, Richard K. 2001. 'True and False Pluralism in Relation to the West and Islam', in Plamen Makariev (ed.), *Islamic and Christian Cultures: Conflict or Dialogue*, The Council for Research in Values and Philosophy, Washington D.C.
- Kib, Mat Zin Mat, Worrnan Hj. Kabul, & S. Salahudin Suyurno. 2008. *Hubungan Etnik di Malaysia: Sejarah, Iltizam & Cabaran Baru*, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam.
- Kung, Hans. 1991. *Global Responsibility: In Search of a New World Ethic*. Crossroad Pub. Co., New York.
- Legenhausen, Muhammad. 2002. *Satu Agama atau Banyak Agama: Kajian tentang liberalisme & Pluralisme Agama*, translated by Arif Mulyadi & Ana Farida, edited by Arif Mulyadi, Lentera, Jakarta.
- Lim, Teck Ghee. 2009. *Multiethnic Malaysia: Past, Present, and Future*, Malaysian Institute of Development and Asian Studies; Petaling Jaya: Strategic Information and Research Development Centre, Kuala Lumpur.
- Ma'arif, Syamsul 2005, *Pendidikan Pluralisme di Indonesia*, Logung Pustaka, Yogyakarta.

- Maaruf, Shahrudin. 1995. 'Materialism as an Ideology in Malay Literature', in Onh Jin Hui, Chan Kwok Bun, Chew Soon Beng (eds), *Crossing Borders: Transmigration in Asia pacific*, Simon & Schuster, Singapore.
- Machasin et al. 2001. *Pluralisme, Konflik, dan Pendidikan Agama di Indonesia*, DIAN Institut/Interfidei, Yogyakarta.
- Madjid, Nurcholish et al. 2004. *Fiqh Lintas Agama: Membangun Masyarakat Inklusif-pluralis*, Paramadina, Jakarta.
- Majid, Mahmood Zuhdi Abdul. 2010. *Bagaimana Islam Memimpin Masyarakat Majmuk*, PTS Islamika, Batu Caves.
- Mariappan, Kntayya. 2002. 'Ethnicity, Malay Nationalism, and the Question of Bangsa Malaysia', in Steve Fenton and Stephen May (eds), *Ethnonational Identities*, Palgrave Macmillan, Basongstoke, Hants.
- Martinez, Patricia. 2008. *A Case-study of Malaysia: Muslim-Christian Dialogue and Partnership, Possibilities and Problems, with Suggestions for the Future Dialogue of Civilisations and the Construction of Peace*, edited by Thomas W. Simon & Azizan Baharuddin, Centre for Civilisational Dialogue, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.
- Masdar, Umaruddin. 2005. *Gus Dur: Pecinta Ulama Sepanjang Zaman, Pembela Minoritas Ernis-Keagamaan*, Dewan Pengurusan Pusat Partai Kebangkitan Bangsa (DPP PKB) dengan Yayasan Kajian dan Layanan Informasi untuk Kedaulatan Rakyat, Yogyakarta.
- Masud, Muhammad Khalid. 2002. 'Religious Identity and Mass Education', in Johan Meuleman (ed.), *Islam in the Era of Globalization: Muslim Attitudes towards Modernity and Identity*, Routledge, New York.
- Maula, M. Jadul, Akhmad Fikri AF, Amirudin Arrani, Imam Baehaqi & Yuni Ma'rufah, (eds). 2002. *Ngesubi Deso Sak Kukuban: Lokalitas, Pluralisme, Modal Sosial Demokrasi*, LKiS, Yogyakarta.
- Muammar, Khalif. 2006. *Atas Nama Kebenaran: Tanggapan Kritis terhadap Wacana Islam Liberal*, with introduction Dato' Siddiq Fadzil, Akademi Kajian Ketamadunan, Kajang.
- Mulkhan, Abdul Munir. 2001. *Pluralisme, Konflik dan Pendidikan Agama di Indonesia*, Institut Dian, Yogyakarta.
- _____. 2005. *Kesalehan Multikultural: Berislam secara Autentik-kontekstual di Aras Perabadian Global*, PSAP, Jakarta.
- Muthahhari, Murtadha. 2006. *Mestikah Bunda Teresa Masuk Neraka: Wacana Orisinal Islam Tuntas dan Mendasar tentang Pluralisme dan Kerukunan Beragama*, Mustaka IIman, Jakarta.
- Muzaffar, Chandra. 1985. 'Malayism, Bumiputraism and Islam,' in Ahmad Ibrahim and Sharon Siddique, *Readings on Islam in Southeast Asia*, Institute of

Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore.

- _____. 2005. *Global Ethic or Global Hegemony? Reflections on Religion, Human Dignity and Civilisational Interaction*, ASEAN Academic Press, London.
- _____. 2006. *Religious Values in Plural Society*, Majlis Ugama Islam Singapura, Singapore.
- Nasri, Imron (ed.). 2005. *Pluralisme & Liberalisme: Pergolakan Pemikiran Anak Muda Muhammadiyah*, Citra Karsa Mandiri, Yogyakarta.
- Noor, Mansor Mohd., Abdul Rahman Abdul Aziz & Mohamad Ainuddin Iskandar Lee. 2006. *Hubungan Etnik di Malaysia*, Pearson Prentice Hall, Petaling Jaya.
- Nor, Riduan Mohamad & Ahmad Adnan Fadhil. 2006. *Islam Liberal dan Pluralisme Agama*, MHI Publication, Batu Caves, Selangor.
- Oesman, Oetojo & Alfian, (eds). 1993. *Pancasila sebagai Ideologi dalam Berbagai Bidang Kehidupan Bermasyarakat, Berbangsa, dan Bernegara*, Karya Anda, Surabaya.
- Osman, Mohamed Fathi. 1996. *The Children of Adam: An Islamic Perspective on Pluralism*, Center for Muslim-Christian Understanding, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C.
- Othman, Norani, Zainah Anwar & Zaitun Mohamed Kasim. 2005. 'Malaysia, Islamization, Muslim Politics, and State Authoritarianism', in Norani Othman (ed.), *Muslim Women and the Challenge of Islamic Extremism*, Sisters in Islam, Petaling Jaya.
- Panikkar, Ramundo. 1995. 'Philosophical Pluralism and the Plurality of Religions', in Thomas Dean (ed.), *Religious Pluralism and Truth: Essays on Cross-cultural Philosophy of Religion*, State University of New York Press, New York.
- Ping, Lee Poh. 1999. 'Stability in Racially Divided Societies: Malaysia', in Crawford Young (ed.), *The Accommodation of Cultural Diversity: Case Studies*, St. Martin's Press, New York.
- Rachman, Budhy Munawar. 2004. 'Pemikiran Pluralisme Keagamaan dan Teologi Agama-agama', *Islam Pluralis: Wacana Kesetaraan Kaum Beriman*, Srigunting, Jakarta.
- _____. 2005. 'Fundamentalisme Islam sebagai Reaksi terhadap Liberalisme Islam', in Einar M. Sitompul (ed.), *Agama-agama dan Problematika Sosial Keagamaan*, Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan (PGI) dan Mission 21, Jakarta.
- _____. 2007. *Islam dan pluralisme Nurcholish Madjid*, Pusat Studi Islam dan Kenegaraan (PSIK) Universitas Paramadina, Jakarta.
- Rahman, Haji Mat Saad Abd. 2006. *Pembalasan Kesalahan Jenayah dalam*

- Masyarakat Majmuk: dengan Penumpuan kepada Peruntukannya terhadap bukan Muslim*, Intel Multimedia and Publication, Petaling Jaya.
- Rakhmat, Jalaluddin. 2006. *Islam dan Pluralisme: Akhlak Quran Menyikapi Perbedaan*, Serambi, Jakarta.
- Riddell, Peter G. 2008, 'Varieties of Christian-Muslim Encounter in Malaysia', in Anthony O'Mahony and Emma Loosley (eds), *Christian Responses to Islam: Muslim-Christian Relations in the Modern World*, Manchester University Press, Manchester.
- Ridwan, Nur Khalik. 2002. *Pluralisme Borjuis: Kritik atas Nalar Pluralisme Cak Nur*, Galang Press, Yogyakarta.
- Ridwan, Roni Hidayat. 2006. *Masyarakat Majmuk dan Agama*, Pustaka Printis, Kuala Lumpur.
- Riyadi, M. Irfan. 2009. *Membangun Inklusivisme Faham Keagamaan*, STAIN Ponorogo Press, Ponorogo.
- Saad, Ibrahim. 1980. *Competing Identities in a Plural Society: the Case of Peninsular Malaysia*, Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore.
- Sachedina, Abdulaziz. 2001. *The Islamic Roots of Democratic Pluralism*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Saerazi, M. 2004. *Politik Pendidikan Agama dalam Era Pluralisme: Telaah Historis atas Kebijaksanaan Pendidikan Agama Konfesional di Indonesia*, Tiara Wacana, Yogyakarta.
- Sahib, Hikmatullah Babu. 2008. 'Constructing Religious Tolerance in a Pluralistic World: an Islamic View', in Thomas W. Simon & Azizan Baharuddin (eds), *Dialogue of Civilisations and the Construction of Peace*, Centre for Civilisational Dialogue, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, pp. 83-110.
- Salim HS, Hairus & Suhadi. 2007. *Membangun Pluralisme dari Bawah*, translated by Ahmad Shidqi, LkiS & Pelangi Aksara, Yogyakarta.
- Saunders, Graham. 1977. *The Development of a plural Society in Malaya*, Longman, Kuala Lumpur.
- Sazali. 2005. *Muhammadiyah dan Masyarakat Madani: Independensi, Rasionalitas, dan Pluralism*, Pusat Studi Agama dan Peradaban, Jakarta.
- Shafie, Muhammad Ghazali. 1985. *Rukunegara, a Testament of Hope: Selected Speeches*, Creative Enterprise Sdn. Bhd., Kuala Lumpur.
- Siong, Daniel Goh Pei. 2009. *Race and Multiculturalism in Malaysia and Singapore*, Routledge, Abingdon.
- Sirry, Mun'im A. 2003. *Membendung Militansi Agama: Iman dan Politik dalam Masyarakat Modern*, Erlangga, Jakarta.

- Sirry, Mun'im A, (ed.). 2006. *Interfaith Theology, Responses of Progressive Indonesian Muslims*, International Center for Islam and Pluralism, Jakarta.
- Smith, Wilfred Cantwell. 1981. *Towards a World Theology: Faith and the Comparative History of Religion*, Macmillan, London.
- Soroush, Abdulkarim. 2009. *The Expansion of Prophetic Experience: Essays on Historicity, Contingency and Plurality in Religion*, translated by Nilou Mobasser; edited with analytical introduction by Forough Jahanbakhsh, Brill, Boston.
- Tan, Nathaniel & John Lee, (eds). 2008. *Religion under Siege? Lina Joy, the Islamic State and Freedom of faith*, Kinibooks, Kuala Lumpur.
- Tibi, Bassam. 2009. *Islam's Predicament with Modernity: Religious Reform and Cultural Change*, Routledge, New York.
- Tobroni. 1994. *Islam, Pluralisme Budaya dan Politik: Refleksi Teologi untuk Aksi dalam Keberagamaan dan Pendidikan*, Sipress, Yogyakarta.
- Toll, Christian W. 1999. 'The Claims of Religious Truth and Socio-political Pluralism', in Andreas Bsteh (ed.), *One World for All: Foundations of a Socio-political and Cultural Pluralism from Christian and Muslim Perspectives*, Vikas Publishing House, New Delhi, p. 63.
- Trijono, Lambang, (ed.). 2004. *The Making of Ethnic and Religious Conflicts in Southeast Asia: Cases and Resolutions*, CSPA Books, Yogyakarta.
- Usman, Fathimah. 2002. *Wahdat al-Adyan: Dialog Pluralisme Agama*, LKIS Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta.
- Yaacob, Abdul Monir, (ed.). 1999. *Prinsip-prinsip Pemerintahan dalam Masyarakat Majmuk*, Institut Kefahaman Islam Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Young, Kevin. 1980. *Malaysia: Pertubuhan dan Keadilan dalam Masyarakat Majmuk*, Jabatan Penerbitan Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.
- Yunanto, S et.al.. 2003. *Militant Islamic Movements in Indonesia and Southeast Asia*, Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung; Ridep Institute, Jakarta.
- Zaid, Nasr Hamid Abu. 2004. *Hermeneutika Inklusif, mengatasi Problematika Bacaan dan Cara-cara Pentakwilan atas Diskursus Keagamaan*, International Center for Islam and Pluralism, Jakarta.
- Zamzam, Md. Ismail. 2007. (ed.), *Kontrak Sosial Masyarakat Majmuk di Malaysia*, Majlis Kebudayaan Negeri Johor, Johor Bahru.

Azhar Ibrahim, *National University of Singapore.*

| *Indexes*

| **Studia Islamika: 2012**

Volume 19, Number 1, 2012

1. Mohammad Hasan Ansori, *Linking Identity to Collective Action: Islam, History and Ethnicity in the Aceh Conflict.*
2. Oman Fathurahman, *The Roots of the Writing Tradition of Ḥadīth Works in Nusantara: Hidāyāt al-Ḥabīb by Nūr al-Dīn al-Rānirī.*
3. Noorhaidi Hasan, *Education, Young Islamists and Integrated Islamic Schools in Indonesia.*
4. M. Dien Madjid, *Tun Sri Lanang fī Masār al-Tārīkh wa 'Alāqatuhu bi Intishār al-Islām fī Aceh.*
5. M. Adib Misbachul Islam, *Al-Shaykh Aḥmad al-Rifā'ī wa Siyāqiyat al-Sharī'ah al-Islāmīyah: Dirāsah 'alā Kitab Takhyirah.*
6. Azyumardi Azra, *Intelektual Muslim Baru dan Kajian Islam.*
7. Muhammad Nida' Fadlan, *Digitalizing and Cataloging Islamic Manuscripts in Pesantren.*

Volume 19, Number 2, 2012

1. Hiroko Kushimoto, *Islam and Modern School Education in Journal Pengasuh: Review of the Kaum Muda - Kaum Tua Dichotomy.*
2. Ali Munhanif, *'Ties that would Divide': Explaining the NU's Exit from Masyumi in 1952.*
3. Peter G. Riddell, *From Kitab Malay to Literary Indonesian: A Case Study in Semantic Change.*
4. Ismawati, *Ikhtilāf al-Ṭabī'ah al-ʿIlmiyah al-Islāmīyah bayna Manāṭiq al-Sāhil al-Shimālī wa al-Manāṭiq al-Dākhiliyah li Jāwah al-Wuṣṭā fi al-Qarn 15-17 al-Milādī.*
5. Agus Iswanto, *Makhṭūṭat Butuhaning Manুষya Mungguhing Sarak: Mi'yārīyat al-Islām fi al-Manāṭiq al-Dākhiliyah bi Jawa.*
6. Dick van der Meij, *The End of Innocence?*
7. Dina Afrianty, *Religion in Public Spaces in Contemporary Southeast Asia.*

Volume 19, Number 3, 2012

1. Ann Kull, *Gender Awareness in Islamic Education: the Pioneering Case of Indonesia in a Comparison with Pakistan.*
2. Azhar Ibrahim, *Denial, Trivialization and Relegation of Pluralism: The Challenges of Managing Diversity in Multi-religious Malaysia and Indonesia.*
3. Bahtiar Effendy & Mutiara Pertiwi, *Indonesia's Democratic Venture: History, Practice and the Challenge Ahead.*
4. Ismail Marzuki, *Al-Siyāsah al-Qanūnīyah li Hizb al-'Adālah wa al-Rafāhīyah (Partai Keadilan Sejahtera/PKS) fi al-Fatrah 1998-2004 al-Milādī: Dirāsah li Qaḍīyat Qānūn Idārat al-Zakāh.*
5. Suprpto, [Kitab Rahasia Hari dan Bintang]: *ʿIlm al-Nujūm ʿinda al-Muslimīn min Qabīlah Sasak.*
6. Oman Fathurahman, *Memahami Pribumisasi Islam Melalui 'Kitab Seribu Masalah'.*
7. Ismatu Ropi, *Regulating Religion in Southeast Asia and the Pacific.*

| *Guidelines*

| Submission of Articles

S *tudia Islamika*, published three times a year since 1994, is a bilingual journal (English and Arabic) that specializes in Indonesian and Southeast Asian Islamic studies. The aim is to provide readers with a better understanding of Indonesia and Southeast Asia's Muslim history and present developments through the publication of articles, research reports, and book reviews from Indonesian and international scholars alike.

Submission is open to both Indonesian and non-Indonesian writers. Articles will be assessed for publication by the journal's Board of Editors and will be peer-reviewed by a blind reviewer. Only previously unpublished work should be submitted. Articles should be between approximately 10,000-15,000 words. All submission must include a 150-word abstract and 5 keywords.

Submitted papers must conform to the following guidelines: citation of references and bibliography use Harvard referencing system; references with detail and additional information could use footnotes or endnotes using MLA style; transliteration system for Arabic has to refer to Library Congress (LC) guideline. All submission should be sent to studia.islamika@uinjkt.ac.id.

حقوق الطبعة محفوظة
عنوان المراسلة:

Editorial Office:
STUDIA ISLAMIKA, Gedung Pusat Pengkajian
Islam dan Masyarakat (PPIM) UIN Jakarta,
Jl. Kertamukti No. 5, Pisangan Barat, Cirendeu,
Ciputat 15419, Jakarta, Indonesia.
Phone: (62-21) 7423543, 7499272, Fax: (62-21) 7408633;
E-mail: studia.islamika@uinjkt.ac.id
Website: www.ppim.or.id

قيمة الاشتراك السنوي خارج إندونيسيا:
لسنة واحدة ٧٥ دولارا أمريكا (للمؤسسة) ونسخة واحدة قيمتها ٢٥
دولارا أميركا، ٥٠ دولارا أمريكا (للفرد) ونسخة واحدة قيمتها ٢٠
دولارا أميركا. والقيمة لا تشمل على النفقة للإرسال بالبريد الجوى.

رقم الحساب:

خارج إندونيسيا (دولار أميركا):
PPIM, Bank Mandiri KCP Tangerang Graha Karnos, Indonesia
account No. 101-00-0514550-1 (USD).

داخل إندونيسيا (روبية):

PPIM, Bank Mandiri KCP Tangerang Graha Karnos, Indonesia
No Rek: 128-00-0105080-3 (Rp).

قيمة الاشتراك السنوي داخل إندونيسيا:
لسنة واحدة ١٥٠,٠٠٠ روبية (للمؤسسة) ونسخة واحدة قيمتها
٥٠,٠٠٠ روبية، ١٠٠,٠٠٠ روبية (للفرد) ونسخة واحدة قيمتها
٤٠,٠٠٠ روبية. والقيمة لا تشمل على النفقة للإرسال بالبريد الجوى.



ستوديا إسلاميكا

مجلة إندونيسيا للدراسات الإسلامية
السنة التاسعة عشر، العدد ٣، ٢٠١٢

هيئة التحرير:

- م. قريش شهاب (جامعة شريف هداية الله الإسلامية الحكومية جاكرتا)
توفيق عبد الله (المركز الإندونيسي للعلوم)
نور أ. فاضل لوبيس (الجامعة الإسلامية الحكومية سومطرة الشمالية)
م.ش. ريكليف (جامعة أستراليا الحكومية كانبرا)
مارتين فان برونيسين (جامعة أترينجة)
جوهن ر. بويون (جامعة واشنطن، سانتو لويس)
م. كمال حسن (الجامعة الإسلامية العالمية كوالا لومبور)
فركتيا م. هوكر (جامعة أستراليا الحكومية كانبرا)

رئيس التحرير:

أزويماردي أوزرا

المحررون:

- سيف المجاني
جمهاري
جاجات برهان الدين
عمان فتح الرحمن
فؤاد جبلي
علي منحرف
سيف الأمم
إسماتو رافي
دينا أفريينطي

مساعد هيئة التحرير:

- تسطينيونو
محمد نداء فضلان

مراجعة اللغة الإنجليزية:

- ميليسا كروش
سيمون غلدمان

مراجعة اللغة العربية:

نورصمد

تصميم الغلاف:

س. برنكا


ستوديا إسلاميكا (ISSN: 0215-0492) هي مجلة دورية يصدرها مركز البحوث الإسلامية والإجتماعية (PPIM) جامعة شريف هداية الله الإسلامية الحكومية جاكرتا (STT/DEPPEN NO 129/DITJEN/PPG/STT/1976)، وترتكز للدراسات الإسلامية في إندونيسيا خاصة وآسيا جانوبي شرقي إجمالاً. تقبل هذه المجلة على إرسال مقالات المثقفين والباحثين التي تتعلق بمنهج المجلة. والمقالات المنشورة على صفحات هذه المجلة لا تعبر عن هيئة التحرير أو أي جمعية التي تتعلق بها. لكنها مرتبطة ومنسوبة إلى آراء الكاتبين. والمقالات الختوية في هذه المجلة قد استعرضتها هيئة التحرير. وهذه المجلة قد أقرتها وزارة التعليم والثقافة أما مجلة علمية (SK Dirjen Dikti No. 56/DIKTI/ Kep/2012).

ستوديا اسراميا

سثوديا اسراميا

مجة إنءونيسية للءراساء الإسلامية

السنة التاسعة عشر، العءء ٣، ٢٠١٢



السياسة القانونية لءزبء العءالة والرءاهية
ففي القءرة ١٩٩٨-٢٠٠٤ الميلاءي:
ءراسة لقضية قانءون إءارة الزكاة
اسماعيل مرزوقي

:*[Kitab Rahasia Hari dan Bintang]*
علم النجوم عند المسلمين من قبيلة الساساك
سوبرابءو
