Terbit setiap Januari dan Juli

Buletin

A T T U T C S

MIMBAR SEJARAH, SASTRA, BUDAYA, DAN AGAMA

website: bit.ly/buletinalturas

Description of vowel in the first grammatical work of Tamil and Arabic: A contrastive analysis

D. Sundararaj¹

Abstract

Tolkāppiyam and Al-Kitāb, these two grammatical texts are belonging to two different languages Tamil and Arabic. Tamil is a Dravidian family and Arabic is a Semitic family with different writing systems (Tamil-left to right; Arabic-right to left). These two grammatical texts are written in different historical period, Tolkāppiyam written in BC 300 and Al-Kitāb written in AD 800. Both are describes the vowels of respective language through different phonetic features. The main aim of this paper is to evaluate and analyze the articulatory treatment of vowels in the perspective of first grammatical work of respective languages. The first section of the paper is evaluating the articulatory treatment, theory, classification and phonetic frameworks of vowels in respective grammars, and the second section analyzing in contrastive and describes the commonness and differences between these two texts. This section finds out the commonness in the following features: articulatory treatment, descriptive and use of technical terms etc. The differences are found in the following features: order of the description of speech sounds, method of classification of vowels etc.

Keywords: Al-Kitāb, Arabic vowels, Classification of vowels, Sībawayhi, Tamil vowels, Tolkāppiyam, Tolkāppiyar.

Abstrak

Tolkāppiyam dan Al-Kitab adalah dua teks gramatikal yang menggunakan dua bahasa yang berbeda, yakni Tamil dan Arab. Ditinjau dari aspek rumpun bahasa, Tamil merupakan keluarga bahasa Dravida, sedangkan Arab adalah keluarga bahasa Semit. Sistem penulisan kedua bahasa itu berbeda bahasa Tamil memiliki sistem penulisan dari arah kiri ke kanan; sedankgan bahasa Arab memakai sistem penulisan dari arah kanan ke kiri. Kedua teks tata bahasa itu ditulis dalam periode sejarah yang berbeda, Tolkāppiyam ditulis dalam SM 300 dan Al-Kitab yang ditulis dalam AD 800. Keduanya menunjukkan vokal bahasa masing-masing, melalui fitur fonetik yang berbeda. Tujuan utama dari artikel ini adalah untuk mengevaluasi dan menganalisis cara artikulasi vokal dalam perspektif tata bahasa, bahasa itu. Bagian pertama dari tulisan ini mengevaluasi cara artikulasi, teori, klasifikasi dan kerangka fonetik yang menunjukkan bunyi-bunyi vokal dalam tata bahasa masing-masing, dan bagian analisis kedua dilakukan tinjauan aspek kontrastif dan menggambarkan secara umum persamaan dan perbedaan antara dua teks tersebut. Pada kesempatan ini dipaparkan secara umum beberapa fitur antara lain: cara artikulasi, deskripsi dan penggunaan istilah teknis. Bertumpu kepeda beberapa fitur itu terdapat perbedaan yang ditemukan dalam fitur itu, yaknit: urutan deskripsi suara pidato, metode klasifikasi vokal dan beberapa fitur lainnya.

Kata kunci: Al-Kitāb, vokal-vokal Arabic, klasifikasi vokal, Sībawayhi, vokal-vokal Tamil, Tolkāppiyam, Tolkāppiyar.

¹Visiting Fellow at FAH, UIN, sundarasu@gmail.com

A.Introduction

Tolkāppiyam and other Indian descriptive grammars (Kātantra, AD.100; Kaccāyana, AD.700 etc.) generally describes the grammatical features with a treatment of phonology the beginning. Also western descriptive linguistics usually starts the description of language with phonology. It is only the *Kitāb* treats the phonology in the last (Syntax - Morphology -Morphophonemic - Phonology). The reason is that it considered necessary to examine the larger units such as words and phrases first and then to discuss the smaller segments of speech.² There is different in the arrangement of phonology also. *Tolkāppiyam* describes the primary sounds (phone) in the order of vowels and then consonants: but the Kitāb describes in the reverse order, consonants first and then vowels. Tolkāppiyar's (Author of *Tolkāppiyam*) observations of phonetics found in first three chapters Nūnmarapu (*Tolkāppiyam*-Eluttatikāram/ Tol. Elu.1-33), Molimarapu (Tol.Elu.34-82), **Pirappiyal** (Tol.Elu.83-102). Sībawayh's (Author of *Al-Kitāb*) observations of phonetics found in last seven chapters (ch.565-571). These two traditional grammarians describe the articulatory processes of the vowels and consonants in their respective grammars. Both are allotted separate chapters for the articulatory processes of the sounds. Tolkāppiyar describes the articulatory processes of vowels and consonants in the chapter of Pirappiyal. Sibawayh describes articulatory processes of vowels and consonants in the chapter of 565 (Hārūn Edition of Al-Kitāb/ HE., vol.4, pp.431-436).

2Michael G Carter. Sībawayhi, 2004, p.120.

B. Discussion

1. The Articulatory treatment of vowels in *Tolkāppiyam* and *Al-Kitāb*

Tolkāppivar describes all cardinal vowels of Tamil. He followed traditional (standard) alphabetical order in his vowel description, and describes the points and manners of articulation systematically. Before, enter into the description of the points and manners of articulation of the sounds, he describes the general features (air chambers and articulators) of the speech production. In the beginning of third Chapter (pirappiyal), he explains the general features of the speech production in the first nūrpa/sūtrā (Tol.Elu.83) and defines the basic aspects of the manner of articulation of the vowels in second nūrpa (Tol. Elu. 84). Tolkāppiyar says that the general features of the articulation of the vowels following, "All the twelve vowels, without changing their quality, are uttered with the air passing through the throat" (Tol.Elu.84). Other Tamil grammarians never mentioned this (general) feature of the vowels. Then, Tolkāppiyar classifies the manner of articulation of vowels in three ways. They are:

- a. Oral (buccal) cavity / airy (Mid vowels)
- b. Front-back variation of tongue (Front vowels)
 - c. Lip position (Back vowels)
 - a. Oral (buccal) cavity / airy:

Tolkāppiyar defines this manner of articulation for [a,a:]

a ā āyiran tarikān tiyalum (அ ஆ ஆயிரண் டங்காந் தியலும்) - Tol.Elu.85

[a,a:] those two, are uttered by opening the mouth.

b. Front-back variation of tongue:

Tolkāppiyar lists the five vowels in this manner of articulation that [i, i:, e, e: and ai]

i ī e ē ai ena icaikkum appāl aintum avarrō ranna avaitām, anpal mutalnā vilimpuural utaiya

(**இஈஎஏஐ**யெனஇசைக்கும் அப்பால் ஐந்தும் அவற்றோ ரன்ன அவைதாம், அண்பல் முதல்நா விளிம்புறல் உடைய) - Tol.E<u>l</u>u.86

The five vowels [i, i:, e, e: and ai] pronounced in the same way (opening the mouth) as the edges of the front of the tongue touch the teeth ridge.

c. Lip position:

Tolkāppiyar's mention the manner of articulation of the five vowels [u,u:,o,o:,au] as following:

u ū o ō au e a icaikkum appāl aintum ita kuvin tiyalum -Tol.Elu.87

"**உஊ ஒ ஓ ஒள** என இசைக்கும் அப்பால் ஐந்தும் இதழ்குவிந் தியலும்"

The five sounds [u, u : , o, o : , au] are pronounced by rounding the lips.

All the later Tamil grammarians followed this classification of manner of aticulation. Pavananti munivar (Author of Nannūl, AD.1300), Vaittiyanāta tēcikar (Author of Ilakkaṇaviļakkam, AD.1700) and Muttuvīra upāttiyāyar (Author of Muttuvīriyam, AD.1900) are repeated Tolkāppiyar's definition (ankappu, aṇpal mutalnā vilimpuural, italkuvivu) of the vowels as following:

ankappu (opening the mouth) for [a, a:] (Tol.Elu.85).

Nannūl 76, Ilakkanaviļakkam 11, Muttuvīriyam 44.

aṇpal mutalnā viḷimpuural (the edges of the front of the tongue touch the teeth ridge) for [i, i : , e, e : ,ai] (Tol. Elu.86).

Nannūl
Nannūl
Nannul
15.

ita \underline{l} *kuvivu* (rounding the lips) for [u, u:, o, o:, au] (Tol.E \underline{l} u.87).

Nannūl 78, Ilakkanaviļakkam 11, Muttuvīriyam 46.

In the vowel description Tolkāppiyar does not define all manner of articulation of vowels. He only mentions the major features of the vowels. The manner of oral cavity (ankappu) is a main feature of the in the [a,a:], front-back variation of tongue (anpal mutalnā vilimpuural) is major feature in the pronunciation of [i,i:,e,e:,ai] and the lip position (italkuvivu) is major feature of [u,u:,o,o:,au]. Tolkāppiyar mentions only these three major features of the manner of articulation for vowels.

Sibawayh describes only the long

vowels of Arabic. He mentions the sounds in phonetic alphabetic order (basis points of articulation of sounds). In the chapter 565, first he mentions twenty nine primary sounds of Arabic and then describes the points of articulation and classification of consonants. At last, he mentions classification and articulatory processes of vowels briefly (only eight lines in HE., vol.4, p.435, 436). After the classification of 'tight' and 'slack' consonants, he describes articulatory processes of vowels. In the description of vowels, first he classifies the vowels (soft and prolongation) and then defines the manner of articulation.

The early Arabic linguists seem to have not always organized their work systematically. They mingle different things together, and introduce the same points in more than one place. It is therefore normal to find a phenomenon being discussed in great detail, although no separate chapter is devoted to it.3 The vowel is one of these, especially the short vowel. Because they believe that a long vowel and its corresponding short vowel are the same except in duration, they appear to find it unnecessary to discuss the short vowels separately. And they does not discuss orderly, like Tolkāppiyar.

Arabic has six primary vowels, namely [a,i,u,a:,i:,u:] but Sibawayh does not discuss three short vowels. He gives status to their long counterparts only. He does not consider the short vowels as full sounds but as a part of long vowels. Sibawayh states that the short vowels, "all the short 3 Abdul Rahman Ibrahim Alfozan, Assimilation in Classical Arabic: A phonological study, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Glasgow, 1989, p.27.

vowels are from the long vowels" (HE.,vol.4,p.335), so he describes the articulatory processes only for long vowels.

Sībawayh's categorizes the manner of articulation of Arabic long vowels in three ways.

- a. Lip position (Back vowels)
- b. Tongue height (Front vowels)
- c. Oral (buccal) cavity / airy (Mid vowels)
- a. Lip position: You may round your lips in the Wāw /uː/.

b. Tongue height: Raise your tongue towards the palate in the Yā /i:/.

- c. Oral (buccal) cavity / airy: Sibawayh defines the points of articulation of middle vowel [a:] in airy, he calls the [a:] as al-hā-wi (الهاوى). It means airy sound.
- 1. Tolkāppiyar's theory in the treatment of vowels

Tolkāppiyar describes the phonetic features of vowels in three ways, a. Classification, b. List/order and c.Manner of articulation. After the list of primary and secondary sounds, mention

the classification of vowels as short and long on the basis of time duration. He explains the short and long vowels in following methods:

a. Classification of vowels (Tol.E<u>l</u>u.3,4)

Name of the sounds [a i u e o / a: i: u: e: ai o: au]

Total number of the sounds (aintum / \bar{e} <u>l</u>um)

Time duration of the sounds (ōralapu / īralapu)

Category of the sounds (kurreluttu / netteluttu)

Quotation (enpa)

Tolkāppiyar uses the same method and order in the description of both short and long vowels. First, he enumerate the name of sounds [a i u e o / a: i: u: e: ai o: au] and mention the total number (aintum / ēlum) and then gives the duration (mātra), (ōraļapu / īraļapu) and then classifies that as short and long (kurreluttu / neṭṭeluttu) based on the formulation of early allies (enpa).

b. List of vowels (Tol.Elu.8)

Last sound (*au*) of the vowels in standard alphabetical order (*aukāra*)

Total sounds in number (pannīreluttum)

Category of the sound (uyirena)

Quotation (Molipa)

First, he mentions the last sound of the vowel list *au* (aukāra iruvāyp), then

he counts all the sounds of this group in number as twelve (pannīreluttum), then he classifies the vowel (uyir) and last, he makes it a quote as "Molipa". He followed standard alphabetical order. Till au (last vowel), aukāra iruvāyp it means all the vowels (starting with a and ending with au), this method of description was found in only Tolkāppiyam. Tolkāppiyar used this method in both the order of enumeration of vowels (Tol.Elu.8) and consonants (Tol.Elu.9).

d. Manner of articulation of vowels (Tol. Elu.84-88)

Name of the sounds [a a: / i i: e e: ai / u u: o o: au]

Total number of the sounds (āyirantu / aintum)

Manner of articulation (aṅkappu / aṇpal mutalnā viḷimpuural / italkuvivu)

Tolkāppiyar uses the same method in the description of the manner of the all vowels. First, he enumerates the sounds, then sums them in number and last defines the manner of articulation.

Tolkāppiyar followed the standard method in the description of vowels. He deliberates the vowels in the following order: List of sounds, Numbering and explanation. Both of the classification and enumeration of the vowels are not his formulation, but it was followed already in Tamil linguistic tradition. The use of quetative particle "enpa", "Molipa" indicate it may be his own formulation.

2. Sibawayh's theory in the treatment of yowels

Sībawayh describes the phonetic features of the vowels in four ways. They:

- a. Common manner of articulation of vowels (HE.,vol.4,p.176)
- b. Genetic relationship between the short and long vowels (HE.,vol.4,p.242)
- c. Classification of vowels (HE.,vol.4,p.435)
- d. Exact manner of articulation of each vowel (HE.,vol.4,p.436)

Sibawayh does not mention the treatment systematically and also does not follow any standard order in the vowel description.

3. Classification of vowels

Tolkāppiyar describes the all vowels of Tamil (Tol.Elu.8) and he classifies them into two categories as short and long on basis of time duration (Tol.Elu.3). He lists the short vowels as five [a,i,u,e,o] the long vowels as seven [ax,ix,ux,ex,ai,ox,au]respectively and he calls them kurreluttu and netteluttu. In the list of long sounds, ai and au are diphthongs.⁴ Tolkāppiyar describes the diphthong in the following sūtrā, "akra ikara m-aikāramākum" [a + i = ai] and "akara ukara maikāramākum" [a + u = au] (Tol.Elu.54, 55). Tolkāppiyar define the two secondary sounds (allophone) (Tol.Elu.2), shortened i (kurriyalikaram) and shortened u (kurriyalukaram) and he does not include it in the list of vowel.

Table 1. Tolkāppiyar's classification of vowels

Un-identifiable	Identifiable	Sounds	Total
classification	classification		
	Short	a, i, u, e, o	5
Primary vowels	Long	aː,iː,uː,eː,	5
1 Tilliary vowers		οΙ,	
	Diphthong	ai, au	2
Secondary vowels	kurriyal-ikaram	shortened i	2
(Allophones)	kur <u>r</u> iyal-ukaram	shortened ů	

⁴K.Murugaiyan, "Tolkāppiyari<u>n</u> oliyiyal ko<u>l</u>kai", *Tolkāppiya mo<u>l</u>iyiyal*, 1972, p.34.

Tolkāppiyar classifies the vowels as short and long on the basis of time duration. He define the time duration *ōraḷapu* (one māttirai) for short vowels and *īraḷapu* (two māttirai) for long vowels. First he explains the short vowels and then long vowels (Tol. Elu.3,4). He classifies the vowels based short vowels.

Sibawayh divides the long vowels into two groups: first, the open vowel and second the close vowel. The open vowel is formed with wide mouth opening, where the distance between the tongue and the roof of the mouth is great. This is the case with the long vowel [a:], and he considers it to short counterpart [a]. Second, the close vowel is formed with narrow mouth opening. This is the case with both [i:] and [u:] and their counterparts [i] and [u]. he realize more specifically that the sound [i:] is more open than [u:] and less than

[a:], but it is more close to [u:] than to [a:]. In the case of the shape of the lips, the only primary rounded vowel is [u:] and-its counterpart [u]. Other vowels are unrounded. Al-Khalil views that the short vowels is actually a part of long vowels. Sibawayh stresses this here.

Table 2. Sībawayh's classification of vowels

	Classification	aː	iː	uː
1	Softness (layyina)	-	+	+
2	Prolongation (madd)	+	-	-

4. Phonetics framework of vowels

Tolkāppiyar describes the vowels in three aspects, the manner of articulation (common manner; oral cavity, lip position, front-back variation of tongue), duration of vowels (Mātrā) (short and long) and the combination of vowels (diphthongs).

Table 3 Tamil vowels in Tolkāppiyar Phonetics Framework

			Articulatory fe					atures				
l no.	ymbols	/mbols valents		ymbols ivalents			Ma	nners		Leng (Māt		ıgs
Serial no.	Tamil symbols IPA equivalents	Phonetic values	Voiced	Oral cavity	Lip position	Front-back varia.of tongue	Short	Long	Diphthongs			
1	அ	a	a	+	+	-	-	+	-	-		
2	ஆ	ar	ā	+	+	-	-	-	+	-		
3	@	i	i	+	+	-	+	+	-	-		

⁵Carter .M.G. Sibawayhi.2004,127.

4	FF:	ix	i	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
5	<u>ഉ</u>	u	u	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
6	<u>ഉണ</u>	uː	ū	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
7	ឥ	e	e	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
8	ஏ	eï	ē	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
9	ஐ	ai	ai	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
10	જુ	О	0	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
11	စ္	O.	ō	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
12		au	au	+	+	+	-	-	+	+

Sibawayh describes the vowels in three aspects, manner of articulation (lip position, tongue height, oral cavity), classification of vowel (softness and prolongation) and genetic relationship between the short and long vowels.

Table 4 Arabic vowels in Sībawayh Phonetics Framework

		abols lents			Articulatory features						the short									
Serial no. Arabic symbols IPA equivalents			IPA equivalents Phonetic values		llents	llents	llents	llents	lents	llents	lents	alues	Manners				Length (Mātrā)		etweer	and long vowels
					Manners			(141atta)		ship b										
Se	Arab	IPA	IPA	IPA	IPA	IPA	IPA	Pho	Voiced	Lip position	Tongue height	Oral cavity	Softness	Prolongation	Genetic relationship between the short and long vowels					
1		a	a	+	-	+	-	-	-	-		_								
2		i	i	+	-	-	-	-	-		-									
3	g	u	u	+	-	-	-	_	-			g								
4	ſ	a ː	ā	+	-	-	+	-	+	ſ										
5	ی	iι	i	+	-	-	-	+	-		ی									
6	وُ	u ː	ū	+	+	-	-	+	-			وُ								

5. Commonness in the articulatory treatments

a. Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh both are categorized the manner of articulation of vowels in three ways.

Tolkāppiyar:	Sībawayh:			
i. Oral (buccal) cavity / airy (Mid vowels)	i. Lip position (Back vowels)			
ii. Front-back variation of tongue(Front vowels)	ii. Tongue height (Front vowels)			
iii. Lip position (Back vowels)	iii. Oral(buccal) cavity/airy (Mid vowels)			

Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh both are define the manner of articulation for mid vowels and back vowels similarly. In the manner of articulation of front vowels, Tolkāppiyar remarks front-back variation of tongue and Sībawayh remarks tongue height, also modern phoneticians considers these features of manner for the front vowels. They (Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh) describe these three categories in different order.

b. Tolkāppiyar describes only the major features of the manners of vowels and he considers all the other features additionally. Sībawayh also use same technique (he describes only the major features of manner of articulation of vowels) to describe the articulatory processes of vowels. It is displayed in the table 5.

Table 5. Major and Additional features of vowels by Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh

	Articulatory features of vowels	Tolkāppiyar		Vowels					
	Major features								
1	Tongue height	-	+	[i]					
2	Front-back variations of tongue	+	-	[i,i:,e,e:,ai]					
3	Lip position	+	+	[u,u:,o,o:,au]					
				[u:] (Sib.)					
4	Oral cavity / airy	+	+	[a,a:]					
				[a:] (Sib.)					
	Addition	nal features							
1	Nasalized	-	-	-					
2	Advanced Tongue root	-	-	-					
3	Tense /Lax	-	-	-					
4	Pharyngealized vowel	-	-	-					
5	Strident	-	-	-					
6	Rhotic vowels	-	-	-					
7	Fricative	-	-	-					
8	Phonation	+	+	All vowels					
9	Length	+	+	All vowels					
10	Diphthongs	+	-	[ai,au]					

Both are consider mainly the major features of the vowels, tongue height, front-back variations, lip position and the position of oral cavity. They consider also phonation, length and diphthong as additional features of vowels.

c. Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh both are consider the vowels are voiced. Tolkāppiyar stated for this sense as, avvalip / Pannī ruyirum tannilai tiriyā/Miṭarrup piranta valiyin icaikkum "All the twelve vowels, without changing their quality, are uttered with the air passing through the throat".

7. Commonness in techniques

a. Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh both are enumerate the sounds and mention total in numbers.

Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh not only describe the manner of articulation of the vowels, but also enumerate the sounds and their total number.

āyiraṇṭu [two] (Tol.Elu.85), appāl aintum [five](Tol.Elu.3, 86, 87), appāl ēlum [seven] (Tol.Elu.4) and paṇṇīreluttum [twelve sounds] (Tol. Elu.8). No other Tamil grammarian used this method of description. Sībawayh also enumerates the sounds and gives the total in number at all places of listing, for example, "قاصلُ حروف العربيّة" [Twenty-nine basic sounds of Arabic] (HE.,vol.4,p.431).

b. Both of them do not used particular term for speech sound.

Tolkāppiyar use the term <u>eluttu</u> (**何ழ்த்த**) for speech sound and he used the same term for the following senses phone, phoneme and grapheme. Most of the places he use this in the sense of phoneme. Sībawayh used the term harf(之) as a speech sound. This term is also used in the Kitāb for concepts such as, phone, phoneme, grapheme, syllable, particle, word and <u>hamza</u> (a glottal stop). In the Qur'an it is used to mean dialect. In modern times both of these two terms, <u>eluttu</u> and <u>harf</u> means the same <u>letter</u> in respective languages.

c. Using the technical terms in the classification of vowels:

Both of them used technical terms for short and long vowels. Tolkāppiyar classifies all short vowels are <code>Kurreluttu</code> and long vowels are <code>Netteluttu</code>. Sibawayh describes only three long vowels and he classifies them into two categories soft and prolongation. He termed <code>Līn</code> for soft vowels and <code>Madd/Hāwī</code> for prolongation. The technical term of prolongation (<code>Madd</code>) is similar to Tolkāppiyar's <code>Netteluttu</code>. The terms <code>Netteluttu</code> and <code>Madd</code> literally similar.

8. Differences in the order of description

a. Order of the treatment of language

Both of them describe the data in the target language in following order:

⁶ A.A.Al-Nassir, Sibawayhi the Phonologist: A critical study of the phonetics and phonological theory as presented in his treatise Al-Kitab, 1993, p.10.

⁷ Ibid.

Tolkāppiyar: Phonology — Phonetics — Morphophonemic — Morphology — Syntax — Semantics

Sîbawayh: Syntax – Morphology – Morphophonemic – Phonetics – Phonology

b. The order of the treatment of speech sounds

Tolkāppiyar: Vowel – Consonant – Allophone.

Sibawayh: Consonant – Allophone – Consonant – Vowel – Consonant.

Tolkāppiyar describes the points and manners of articulation systematically. He classifies the speech sounds clearly as primary and secondary. In the primary sounds, first he explains the manners of articulation of the twelve vowels and then he describes the details of points and manners of articulation of the eighteen consonants and the last he defines the points and manners of articulation of three secondary sounds. All the later Tamil grammarians follow the same method of description (vowel-consonant-allophone). They are describing the following order: vowels, consonants and secondary sounds. Tolkāppiyar followed this order in the enumeration of sounds (Tol.Elu.1), time duration (Tol.Elu.3,4,11), classification of sounds (Tol.Elu.8,9) and articulatory processes of the sounds (Tol.Elu.85-101).

Sībawayh describes the Arabic sounds in opposite order; he also classifies the speech sounds as primary and secondary, but he does not classify primary sounds clearly like Tolkāppiyar. Sībawayh does not follow any standard order. So, he

discusses the sounds in the following order: consonant (HE.,vol.4,p.431) – allophone (HE.vol.4, p.432) – consonant (HE.,vol.4,pp.433-436) – vowel (HE.,vol.4,p.436) – consonant (HE.,vol.4,p.436).

c. The order of vowel treatment

Tolkāppiyar:

Classification of the vowels on the basis of time duration (Tol. $E\underline{l}u.3,4$)

List of total vowels (Tol.Elu.8)

General manner of articulation of the vowels (Tol.Elu.84)

Manner of articulation of the vowels (Tol.Elu.85,86,87)

Sibawayh:

follow Sibawayh does not any standard method description of vowels. In the articulatory treatment of vowels (HE.,vol.4,p.435-436), Sibawayh intermingle with manner and classification. He mentions the vowel features in following order: general manner, exact manner of each vowel and the last, he repeats the general manner of three_vowels.____

9. Differences in theories and techniques

a. Theory of vowel description

Tolkāppiyar describes the vowels systematically in all the places of

vowel description.

In the list of vowels (Tol.E<u>l</u>u.8)

Last sound of the vowel in standard alphabet order (aukāra)

Total sounds in number (pannīreluttum)

Category of the sound (uyirena) First, he mentions the last vowel au in the standard alphabetical order; he mentions shortly aukāra iruvāy, which means end with au. Then he gives the total number. Indian grammarians are used sūtrā style description of language; also there are Meta grammatical conventions (like ukti) in the composition of grammatical works. But Arab grammarians follow prose style to describe the language. Sibawayh does not follow any standard method in vowel description. In the description of common manner of articulation of the vowels, first he mentions the vowels and then gives the general feature of manner of articulation.

In the description of exact manner of articulation of vowels, first he mentions the exact manner of articulation of each vowel and then gives the respective vowels. In repeated common manner of vowel description (last line of vowel description) (HE.,vol.4,p.436), first he mention the total number of vowels (as three),then gives common manner (as airy) and the last mention all (three) vowels (as a: i: u:).

b. Concepts of vowel classification

Tolkāppiyar: basis short vowels and time duration.

Sibawayh: basis long vowels and time duration.

Tolkāppiyar classifies the vowels short and long based on time duration. \bar{o} raļapu (one māttirai) for short vowels and \bar{i} raļapu (two māttirai) for long vowels. First he defines the short vowels and then long vowels (Tol. Elu.3,85,86,87).

Sibawayh gives only three long vowels and does not give the three correspondence short vowels. He does not consider short vowels as full sounds, but as part of the long vowels. Later Arab grammarian Ibn Jinni also calls the short vowels as aSwāt nāqiSah (incomplete sounds). A short vowel is a part of long vowel it's the vowel concept of Sibawayh. It is probable that this attitude has influenced by the alphabetical system of Arabic, which does not include characters for the short vowels.8 But, both are (Tolkappiyar and Sībawayh) indicated the time duration is main difference between short and vowels. Tolkāppiyar defines time duration (māttirai) of the vowels very clearly in nūrpas/sūtras 3, 4 and 5. Sibawayh does not mention time duration of the vowels openly.

3. Tolkāppiyar and Sībawayh both identify the vowels are voiced. Sībawayh calls voiced sounds as *Majhūr* and unvoiced as *Mahmūs*. Tolkāppiyar does not use any technical terms for voiced and unvoiced.

⁸ A.A.Al-Nassir, Sibawayhi the Phonologist: A critical study of the phonetics and phonological theory as presented in his treatise Al-Kitab,1993,p.29.

A. Conclusion

Tolkappiyar's description of Tamil phonetics is authentically as opined by Panampāranar, "Produced the phonemic features with no disorder" (Mayankā marapin eluttumurai kāṭṭi /மயங்கா மரபின் எழுத்துமுறை காட்டி). In the description of vowels, Tolkappiyar divides the vowels (Tol.Elu.3 and 4) as short and long and then he mention the list of vowels (Tol.Elu.8). He changes this order in the description of consonants. Here, first he mention the list of consonants (Tol.Elu.9) and then he divides the consonants (Tol. Elu.19,20 and 21) as stop (Valleluttu) nasal (Melleluttu) and others (fricative, lateral etc.) (Itaiveluttu). He gives the total number of sounds in the classification of vowels, does not follow this method in the classification of consonants. The list of sounds and the classifications are followed from Tamil linguistic tradition, but the points and manners of articulation of sounds are defined by Tolkappiyar from his own knowledge. Tolkāppiyar not only describes the points and manners of articulation, also gives the total numbers of sounds as, āyirantu, appāl aintum etc. This method is very useful to verify the sounds. Sibawayh does not speak about articulatory strength in his investigation of the vowels in context. Instead he deals with the ease of articulation of these vowels. He considers the open vowels are easiest to articulate, the front vowels less easy, and the back vowels least easy (HE.,vol.4,pp.119,167). This classification seems to be phonetically based, since the tongue is least involved in producing the open vowels, more involved in producing the front vowels and both the tongue and the lips are

involved in producing the back rounded vowels. The true semi-vowels are [w] and [y], with $[\bar{a}]$ dealt with separately and termed $h\bar{a}w\bar{\imath}$, because it is a completely open sound, unlike [w] and [y], which involve some construction by the lips and tongue respectively. Sībawayh discussed vowels in conjunction with consonants in respect of the place of articulation. He considers vowels are voiced

References

Abdullah Y.Samarah, 2011, 'Arabic Linguistics and Sibawayhi', *International Journal of Academic Research*, vol.3, No.2, pp.937-944.

Aryeh, Levin, 2000, 'Sībawayhi', *History* of the language sciences: An International Bakalla, Muhammad Hasan, 1979, 'Sibawayhi's contribution to the study of Arabic phonetics', Al-Arabiyya, 12:1-2, pp. 68-76. riographia Linguistica, 8:2-3, pp. 285-305.

_____.1981. 'The treatment of Nasal Elements by Early Arab and Muslim Phoneticience', *Histoire*, Épistémologie,

Al-Nassir A.A.1993. Sibawayhi the Phonologist: A critical study of the phonetics and phonological theory as presented in his treatise Al-Kitāb, Kegan Paul International, Londan & New York, pp.142.

asunramaniyam,K.1972.'Tolkāppiyarin oliyaniyal kolkai', *Tolkāppiya moliyiyal*, pp.51-82.

(٧٧٩١،

Carter, Michael G.2004. *Sibawayhi*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, pp159.

Ladefoged, Peter & Ian Maddieson.. 7991

The Sounds of the World's

Languages, Oxford: Blackwell,

pp.. ^ £ £

Coetzee, Andries W.1998.'The phonology of the two hamza's of Qur'anic Arabic', *Theoretical Linguistics*, vol.24, pp.219-244.

Ladefoged, Peter. 2005. Vowels and Consonants: An Introduction to the sounds of language, John Wiley & Sons. Pp.xvii+206.

Danecki, Janusz.1978. 'Early Arabic phonetical theory. Phonetics of Al-Khalil Ibn Ahmed and Sibawayhi', *Rocznik Orientalistyczny*-39. pp.51-56.

Leigh Lisker and S. Vaidyanathan. 1972. 'On Nasals and Nasalization in Modern Tamil', *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, Vol. 92, No. 1 (Jan. - Mar., 1972), pp. 96-100.

Danecki, Janusz.1978. 'Early Arabic phonetical theory. Phonetics of Al-Khalil Ibn Ahmed and Sibawayhi', *Rocznik Orientalistyczny*-39. pp.51-56.

Levin, A. 1994. 'Sibawayhi's Attitude to the Spoken Language', *Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam* 17:204–243.

Kalagam (ed.) 1955, Tolkāppiyameluttatikāram iļampūraṇar uraiyuṭaṇ, teṇṇintiya caivacittānta nūṛpatippuk kalakam, (12th ed.:2004) pp.12 +260)

_____. 1999. 'The First Book of Arabic Dialectology: Sibawayhi's al-Kitab', *Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam* 23:208–220.

Kitāb Sībawayhi (كتاب سيبويه). Muhammad Abd al-Salam Harun (Ed.) ° vols. Cairo, ٨٦٩١–٦٧٩١; ۲nd ed. Cairo,٧٧٩١، سيبويه. ١٩١٩ إذ محماد عبد السلام هارون فول. ° سيره ، ١٩١١-٨٦٩١، أند إذ سيره Sara, Solomon I. 1991. 'Al-Khalil: The first Arabic phonologist'. International Journal of Islamic and Arabic Studies, vol.8,1-57.

of phonological terminology in Arabic'. *Proceedings of the*

Colloquium on Arabic Lexicology and Lexicography (C.A.L.L.). Budapest, 1-7 September, 1993, ed. By K. Dévényi, T. Iványi and A. Shivtiel, Budapest: Eötvös Loránd University Chair for Arabic Studies and Csoma de Kórös Society Section of Islamic Studies, pp.181-194.

_____.1973. 'Articulation as Described by Tamil Grammarians', Journal of Tamil Studies, vol.03,pp.44-55.

Muthushanmugan.1975. 'Tolkāppiyarin pirappiyal kōtpātu', *Proceedings of the 7thAITTA conference*,vol.2, pp.588-592.

Lutz, Etzard. 2000. 'Sibawayhi's Observations on Assimilatory Processes and Re-Syllabification in the Light of Optimality Theory', *Journal of Arabic and Islamic Studies*, vol. 3, pp. 48-65.

Natanasapabathy.S.1974. 'Vowel quality in Tamil' (An Experimental study), 6th AITTA conference, Annamalai University,vol.1, pp.807-812.

Lindau, Mona.1978. 'Vowel features', *Language*, vol.54. no.3, sep.1978, pp.541-563.

Pillai, Meenakshisundaram.T.P.1957. 'Nasal vowels in Tamil', *Indian Linguistics*, vol.16. pp.51-53.

Sankaran.C.R.1961.'On Aytam', *Tamil Culture*.vol.09,no.1,pp.27-32.

Meenakshi.K.1976.'Ai and Au in Tamil', *International Journal of Dravidian Linguistics*, vol.5. no.2, pp.343-353.

.1961. 'Tolkappiyar and the sceince of Phonetics', *Tamil Culture*.vol.09, no.2, pp.117-130.

Murugaiyan.K.1971.'Quantification and Predictability in Tamil phonetics', 3rd AITTA conference, Annamalai University,vol.1, pp.260-265.

Sara, Solomon I. 1991. 'Al-Khalil: The first Arabic phonologist'. International Journal of Islamic and Arabic Studies, vol.8,1-57.

_____.1972.'Tolkāppiyarin oliyiyal kolkai', *Tolkāppiya moliyiyal*. pp.01-50.

. 1993. 'The beginning of phonological terminology in Arabic'. *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Arabic Lexicology and Lexicography* (C.A.L.L.). Budapest, 1-7 September, 1993,

ed. By K. Dévényi, T. Iványi and A. Shivtiel, Budapest: Eötvös Loránd University Chair for Arabic Studies and Csoma de Kórös Society Section of Islamic Studies, pp.181-194.

and Vowels', *The Muslim World*, vol.25, Iss.3,pp.242–256.

Semaan, Khalil I.1968. *Linguistics in the middle Ages: Phonetic Studies in Early Islam*. E.J. Brill, Leiden.

Varma, Siddhswar. 1965. 'Tamil Vowels in connected Speech', *Indian Linguistics Comprising volume* 9-15(1944-1956), pp.490-494.

Shanmugam.S.V. 1962. 'Eluttatikāra ārāycciyum moli'iyalum', *Journal of the Annamalai University*, part A Humanities, vol.24,pp17-39.

Vollers.K.1892. 'The system of Arabic sounds as based upon Sibawayhi and Ibn Yacish', *Transcription of the 9th International Congress of Orientalists-II*, Londan, pp.130-151.

eluttu', *Proceedings of the* 7th AITTA conference, vol.2, pp.495-500.

Zvelebil, Kamil. 1972–1975, 'Tolkāppiyam E<u>l</u>uttatikāram -English translation', *Journal of Tamil Studies*, No.1-8.

Sivalinganar, A.,1972.'Tolkāppiyar kāla aļapeṭai', *Proceedings of the 4thAITTA conference*, vol.2, pp.379-384.

Sībawayhi's Kitāb Ch.565. This eighteenth century manuscript from Egypt is the base manuscript of the Derenbourg edition. Available in Paris National library. (Bibliothèque Nationale, arabe 3987, formerly suppl.ar.1155).

Temple, Gairdner W. H.1935, 'The Arab Phoneticians on the Consonants