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Abstract

The synthesis of Fe(III) complexes with ligands N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-isonicotinohydrazide can be synthesized through mixing metal and ligand dissolved in ethanol by reflux at ± 75°C for 5 hours. The instruments for the characterization of the complex were used UV-Visible and Infrared Spectrophotometry. The aims of the study are: to determine the synthesis method, characterize of the complex, and to study the interaction of the complex with target receptors. The weight of the synthesized compound was obtained by 38.1 mg. The purity of the complex has been tested using the determination of melting point and got a melting point range was 196-198°C. The maximum wavelength of Fe(III)N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-isonicotinohydrazide complex was 261.0 nm and provide absorption of Fe-O vibrations at wavenumbers 530.42 cm⁻¹. The docking process was done using AutodockTools-1.5.6 software which shows that the Fe(III)N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide complex can interact with Enoyl-Acyl Carrier Protein Reductase from Mycobacterium Tuberculosis and it has better interaction than isoniazid or N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide compound with the acquisition of free energy binding (ΔG) -9.80 kcal/mol and inhibition constant (Ki ) 0.06529 µM.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, tuberculosis (TB) is still one of the world's public health problems that cause high morbidity and mortality. TB is a contagious infectious disease caused by the Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Kemenkes RI, 2016). Based on the World Health Organization (WHO), Indonesia is ranked 3rd with the highest number of sufferers in the world after India and China (WHO, 2018). One of the most commonly used tuberculosis drugs is isoniazid. Isoniazid must be activated by KatG, a bacterial catalase-peroxidase enzyme in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. KatG catalyzes the formation of the isonicotinic acyl radical, which spontaneously couples with NADH to form the nicotinoyl-NAD adduct. This complex binds tightly to the enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase InhA, thereby blocking the natural enoyl-AcpM substrate and the action of fatty acid synthase. This process inhibits the synthesis of mycolic acids, which are required components of the mycobacterial cell wall. Mycolic acid emptiness causes the structure of the cell wall to become weak and then broken so that mycobacteria die (Siswandono, 2016; Suarez et al., 2009; Timmins et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2008).

From the previous research that the N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide has a free energy binding (-6.644 kcal/mol) and inhibition constant (19.15 µM) better than isoniazid (-4.64 kcal/mol; 398.64 µM) (Ruswanto et al., 2019). In another research, we have synthesis and in vitro test, some of the isonicotinohydrazide derivatives and they had been antibacterial activity and antituberculosis
From another research, the compound N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide has anti-bacterial activity, with a MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) value of 6.25 ppm in Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Ruswanto et al., 2019). In comparison that the MIC for isoniazid (0.1 μg/mL), rifampicin (0.5 μg/mL) and ethambutol (4.0 μg/mL) (Suo et al., 1988).

In the study conducted by Mallikarjuna (2018) stated that the complex compound 2-(1H-indol-3-ylidiazeyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1,3-benzothiazole synthesized showed potential antimicrobial activity against the pathogen tested. Its antimycobacterial activity showed higher than the free ligand.

The complex of 3-aminoquinoxaline-2-carbonitrile N1, N4-dioxide with Fe (III) metal showed good results because this complex showed bacteriostatic or bactericidal activity in vitro in Mycobacterium tuberculosis as the causative agent of tuberculosis, the results of this synthesis show a higher inhibitory effect than therapeutic therapy drugs (Tarallo et al., 2010). The isoniazid complex with several metals shows that they can inhibit mycobacterial growth better than its free ligand (Ali et al., 2017).

Based on the above background, the development of antituberculosis drugs was performed by modifying the isoniazid derivative, namely the synthesis of the N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide with Fe (III) metal (Sousa et al., 2011).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instruments

The instruments used in this research were Melting point apparatus, UV-Vis spectrophotometer Genesys 10S, Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR Spectrometer. Hardware and software equipment. The device used is a Samsung Laptop with Intel (R) Celeron (R) CPU 847 @ 1.10GHz RAM 2.00 GB 64-bit RAM, Marvin Sketch 5.2, AutodockTools-1.5.6, Discovery Studio Visualizer, and PreADMET.

Materials

In this research, we used N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide, ethanol p.a, FeCl3.6H2O p.a, hydrochloric acid p.a, aquadest, DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide), free ligand structure, ligand structure N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide, the structure of the ligand complex Fe (III) (N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide, and Enoyl-Acyl Carrier Protein Reductase enzyme with PDB code 2X23.

Synthesis of Fe (III) N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide Complex

The N’-(4-chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide (30 mg; 0.1094 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (10 mL; solution A). The FeCl3.6H2O metal (14.61 mg; 0.0540 mmol) which has been dissolved in ethanol (20 mL; solution B), and then it was dripped slowly into solution A. The dropping process was performed constantly and at low heating. Furthermore, the reflux was performed for 5 hours at ± 75 °C and stirred using a magnetic stirrer then the reflux results were filtered and the residue obtained was dried (Zheng et al., 2008; Ruswanto et al., 2018; Ruswanto et al., 2019).

The Purity Test of The Complex

The purity test of the synthesis results was carried out by analysis of the determination of the melting point by using a modified Melting Point Apparatus. Direct observation of the melting point is done when the compound starts to melt until it melts completely (Ruswanto et al., 2019).

UV-Vis Spectrophotometry

Samples and standards were dissolved in DMSO with concentrations of 10⁻² M to 10⁻⁴ M, and then the electronic spectrum was measured with UV-Vis spectrophotometry. Electronic spectrum measurements were performed at 200-800 nm. Uptake was observed at absorbance which corresponds to maximum wavelengths using UV-Vis (Mardianingrum et al., 2020).

Infrared Spectrophotometry

The KBr powder was weighed as much as 15 mg, while for samples of about 5-10% of KBr, then crushed on a mortar until smooth and homogeneous, then put sufficiently into a pelletizer that has been cleaned and dried with chloroform. After finishing, the pelletizer is opened slowly, and the pellet that has been formed must be transparent. Each sample pellet that has been prepared is ready to be measured in the region of wave number 4400-400 cm⁻¹ (Ruswanto et al., 2018).
Molecular Docking

The docking process was performed using AutodockTools-1.5.6 software between the Fe(III) N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide ligand with the Enoyl-Acyl Carrier Protein Reductase enzyme in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis (PDB code 2X23) through several stages.

Ligand and Receptors Preparation

Free ligand and complex structures were drawn in a 2-dimensional form. Then, they were done geometry optimization using Marvin Sketch 5.2 software. The ligand was initially protonated at pH 7.4. The protonation was performed to adjust the pH condition of blood in the human body. Then, the conformation was performed to get the most stable molecular position to interact with the active site of the enzyme. The file was saved in .mrv and .pdb format for the docking process (Endah et al., 2018). The enzyme preparation was performed using the AutodockTools-1.5.6 software to remove water molecules and the addition of hydrogen atoms.

The Validation of Docking Method

Method validation was done by redocking native ligand (TCU) which was in 2X23. The parameter for method validation was the root mean square deviation (RMSD) value. The docking method was declared valid if the RMSD value ≤ 2. But if the RMSD value ≥ 2, it means the method used cannot be trusted (Agistia et al., 2013; Endah et al., 2018).

Analysis of Enzyme Target

Analysis of the enzyme target was performed by looking at the 2X23 GDP protein profile on the website https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbsum/. The results of the enzyme target analysis were used to see that the enzyme was good by seeing the Ramachandran plot (Ruswanto et al., 2018).

Docking Ligands Against Target Receptors

The docking process is done using AutodockTools-1.5.6 software. The ligand docking process is done using parameters used in the docking validation process. From the docking process can be obtained binding affinity (ΔG) of each docking ligand. To see the interaction between the ligand and the active site of the receptor from the docking results, the software Discovery Studio version 16.1 (Pal et al., 2019).

Visualizations of Docking Results

Visualization of docking results was performed using Discovery Studio version 16.1 software by seeing the interaction between ligands and amino acid residues on the enzyme target in 2D and 3D forms.

Prediction of ADME and Toxicity

To find out about the ADME parameters and toxicity done through the PreADMET program, which was accessed at https://preadmet.bmdrc.org/. The first, the structure was converted in the molfile format (*.mol) and the PreADMET program will automatically calculate the predicted absorption for Caco-2 cells, HIA (Human Intestinal Absorption), plasma protein binding (PPB) and its toxicity parameters through the amest test (Ruswanto et al., 2017).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of the Fe(III)N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide Complex

Synthesis of the Fe (III) N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide complex has occurred through the reaction of coordinate covalent bonds between the metal ion Fe (III) and the N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide compound. The solvent used in the process of synthesis of complex compounds was ethanol. Ethanol was chosen because the compound N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide has been good solubility in ethanol.

The Complex formation was performed by the reflux method for 5 hours at ±75 °C while stirring using a magnetic stirrer. The N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide as much as 30 mg (0.1094 mmol) and FeCl₃·6H₂O as much as 14.61 mg (0.054 mmol). The method used in the reaction was the reflux method, where this method would be able to maintain stability so that complex synthesis reactions were performed even in hot conditions so that the reactant moles and products were maintained (Ningtyas, 2016). The use of ±75 °C temperature and stirring using a magnetic stirrer which aimed to accelerate and optimize the synthesis reaction process. Increasing the temperature and stirring would increase the kinetic energy to collide with each other, so the chances of a
reaction would be even greater and faster (Dharmayanti, 2015; Goeswin, 2012).

After the reflux was finished, the reflux results were evaporated in an electric water bath at 60 °C so that the remaining solvent resulting from the synthesis evaporates. After that, it was allowed to stand until the dry residue was weighed, and a complex compound of 38.1 mg was obtained. The synthesis results obtained the brown powder, odorless, and soluble in ethanol.

**Purity Test**

The purity test aimed to ensure that the compound produced was a new compound. Compounds were said to be pure when the melting distance was ≤ 2 °C (Ritmaleni, 2006). The melting distance data were listed in Table 1. The difference in the melting distance between the results of the synthesis with the comparison compound shows that the new compound has been successfully formed.

**Table 1.** The melting distance results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Melting Distance (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O</td>
<td>37.00-39.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fe(III)N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide)</td>
<td>199.00-201.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chlorobenzoylisonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>196.00-198.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characterization and Identification of The Complex**

Characterization and identification of the complex were performed using the UV-Vis Spectrophotometry and Infrared Spectrophotometry methods.

The first identification and characterization were using UV-Vis spectrophotometry which aims to determine the existence of a shift in the maximum wavelength of the synthesized compound and be compared with the maximum wavelength of the comparison compound. Based on the results of wavelength measurements using UV-Vis spectrophotometry, the maximum wavelengths obtained were shown in Table 2.

Based on Table 2, there was a difference in the maximum wavelength between the ligand with the complex compound Fe(III) N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide, where the maximum wavelengths were respectively 272.0 nm and 261.0 nm. The difference in maximum wavelength indicated that the complex compound Fe (III) N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide has been formed.

The maximum wavelength of the complex compound Fe (III) N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide undergoes a shift in the absorption band toward shorter wavelengths or the presence of blue shift or hypochromic was detected. The maximum wavelength shift was influenced by the transfer of charge from the metal to the ligand and was also influenced by the polarity of the solvent (Ningtyas, 2016; Hastuti, 2017).

The next step is to determine the electronic spectrum to find out the electronic transition that occurs in the synthesis complex and the amount of transition energy needed for complex division. The magnitude of the maximum wavelength shift (λmax), absorbance (A) of molar absorbptivity (ε) and the value of 10 Dq of the complex compound Fe(III)N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide can be seen in Table 3.

Based on Table 3, it could be seen that the electronic spectrum of the compound Fe (III) N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide showed only one absorption band at a wavelength of 261.0 nm (38314.18 cm⁻¹) and the molar absorbptivity value (molar) ε equal to 1282.57 L.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹. This allows a charge transfer transition to occur (Borde, 2015). While the value of 10 Dq in the Fe (III) N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide complex was 458.411 KJ.mol⁻¹. This 10 Dq value was the amount of transition energy needed for complex division (Ruswanto et al., 2018).

The next characterization was using infrared spectrophotometry which aimed to determine the functional groups and types of bonds found in the complex compound Fe (III) N’-((4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide so that the bond structure of the complex compound could be predicted. FTIR characterization was performed at wavenumbers 4400-400 cm⁻¹. Distinctive spectra of complex compounds, especially in the fingerprint area that characterizes a complex so that it could be predicted whether complex compounds have been formed or not (Dharmayanti, 2015). The infrared spectrum data were shown in Figures 1 and 2, and Table 4.
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**Table 2.** The UV-Vis spectrums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>λ &lt;sub&gt;maks&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeCl₃.6H₂O</td>
<td>3.687</td>
<td>362.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.348</td>
<td>272.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>362.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>2.326</td>
<td>272.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.333</td>
<td>272.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>272.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>261.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.445</td>
<td>261.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.391</td>
<td>260.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>261.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Electronic spectrum results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mr (g/mol)</th>
<th>λ &lt;sub&gt;maks&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>v (cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>ε (L.mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>10 Dq (KJ.mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>FeCl₃.6H₂O</td>
<td>270.30</td>
<td>362.3</td>
<td>27601.43</td>
<td>3.687</td>
<td>2772.18</td>
<td>330.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Fe(III)N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)</td>
<td>330.15</td>
<td>261.0</td>
<td>38314.18</td>
<td>1.398</td>
<td>1282.57</td>
<td>458.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The infra red spectrum of N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide

**Figure 2.** The infra red spectrum of the complex
Table 4. The IR spectrum value of N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide and Fe(III)N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide Complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Functional group</th>
<th>(N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)) isonicotinohydrazide</th>
<th>Fe(III)(N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)) isonicotinohydrazide complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>N-H</td>
<td>3278.99</td>
<td>3431.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>C=O</td>
<td>1681.93</td>
<td>1651.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>C=C aromatic</td>
<td>1597.6</td>
<td>1483.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>C-N</td>
<td>1095.57</td>
<td>1276.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>C-Cl</td>
<td>745.35</td>
<td>746.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Fe-O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>530.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In infrared spectra, functional groups were identified such as a stretched NH group in the region of wave number 3431.36 cm\(^{-1}\), where NH groups could cause hydrogen bonds to form so that the wavenumber and absorption it produces is high (Coates, 2000; Ruswanto et al., 2018). Theoretically, the vibration absorption of C=O could occur in the region of wave numbers 1800-1650 cm\(^{-1}\) (Widata, 2018). Absorption of C=O complex compounds was in the region of 1651.07 cm\(^{-1}\), this wavenumber was slightly lower because of the mesomeric effect (delocalization of electrons \(\pi\) on bonds) so that the vibrational frequency decreases (Ruswanto et al., 2018). The aromatic group was strengthened by the absorption in the region of 1595.13 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1483.26 cm\(^{-1}\) which is the stretching vibration of the C=C bond. This was consistent with the literature, which states that the vibration absorption of C=C was in the area of 1600-1475 cm\(^{-1}\) (Kusyanto, 2017; Sastrohamidjojo, 2001). Vibration uptake in the region of 1276.88 cm\(^{-1}\) was stretching vibration absorption from C-N bonds and this was in accordance with the literature which states that the absorption of C-N bond vibrations was in the wavenumber 1340-1250 cm\(^{-1}\) (Coates, 2000). The C-Cl stretching vibration absorption is theoretically in the region of the wavenumber 800-700 cm\(^{-1}\) (Coates, 2000).

The docking process was performed using AutodockTools-1.5.6 software between Fe (III) N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide with enzyme target (PDB code 2X23) through several stages.
The Ligand and Receptors Preparation

Ligand preparation was performed using Marvin Sketch 5.2 software. Then geometry optimization was done by protonation at pH 7.4. This aims to adjust the pH condition of blood in the human body because the docking process mimics the reactions that occur in the body. Whereas the enzyme preparation was performed using the AutodockTools-1.5.6 software to remove water and add hydrogen atoms (Agistia, 2013; Rachmania, 2018).

The Validation of Docking Method

The parameter used for this validation was RMSD (Root Mean Square Deviation). The docking method was said to be valid if the RMSD value ≤ 2. The smaller the RMSD value indicates that the predicted ligand pose was getting better because it approached the native conformation (Hawkins, 2008; Agistia, 2013). The results of docking validation could be seen in Table 5.

Table 5. The docking validation results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Grid Box</th>
<th>RMSD (Å)</th>
<th>Binding Affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDB</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2X23</td>
<td>-19.024</td>
<td>-5.263</td>
<td>-29.471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 5, the RMSD value for GDP code 2X23 was 1.52, the value was < 2 so that this method could be trusted for use on test ligand.

Analysis of Enzyme Target

Enzyme target analysis was performed on validated receptors or proteins in the docking validation process. Analysis of the target receptor or protein aimed to determine the status of the 3D structure of a protein from X-ray crystallography or NMR, through the Ramachandran plot. The enzyme structure was stated to be good if the number of residual plots in most favored regions was more than 50% and in disallowed regions was less than 15% (Ruswanto et al., 2018; Amelia, 2013).

Based on observations (Figure 5 and Table 6) receptor or target protein (PDB code 2X23) has a good protein structure because the residual plots in most favored regions are more than 50%, namely 92.1% and in disallowed regions less than 15%, which is 1%.

The Docking of Complex compound

Figure 5. The Ramachandran plot of enzyme target (code PDB 2X23)

The next step was docking the compound Fe (III) N'-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide, and the comparison compound was N'-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide against enzyme with the code PDB 2X23. The docking results were shown in Table 6.

Figure 4. The overlay between native ligand (green) and re-docking (yellow)
### Table 6. Ramachandran plot statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No. of Residues</th>
<th>%-tage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most favoured regions [A,B,L]</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>92.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional allowed regions [a,b,l,p]</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generously allowed regions [-a,-b,-l,-p]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed regions [XX]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-glycine and non-proline residues</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-residues (excl. Gly and Pro)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine residues</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline residues</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of residues</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. The docking results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Binding Affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Inhibition Constant (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native ligand</td>
<td>-4.86</td>
<td>273.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N'$-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>-6.35</td>
<td>22.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)$N'$-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>-9.80</td>
<td>0.06529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the docking results (Table 7) obtained for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the value of Gibbs ($\Delta$G) / binding affinity (-9.80 kcal/mol) and inhibition constant values (0.06529 μM) of the complex compound Fe (III) $N'$-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide was lower than the comparative compounds. So it could be said that the complex compound Fe (III) $N'$-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydra- zide has a better and more stable interaction compared to the comparison compound.

### Visualization of Docking Results

The docking results were visualized to find out the interaction between the ligand and the amino acid residues of the enzyme. Enzyme-ligand interactions could be seen in Table 7. Based on Table 7, it could be seen that the interaction of the complex Fe (III) $N'$-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydra- zide with amino acid residues through hydrophobic bonds and hydrogen bonds. Hydrophobic bonds in complex compounds interact with 20 amino acids namely Gly96, Ser94, Ser123, Met98, Met103, Ile202, Val203, Met232, Ile194, Leu218, Thr196, Ile21, Met147, Asp148, Gly14, Ser20, Ile95, Ile202, Val203, Met232, Ile194, Leu218, Thr196, Ile21, Met147, Asp148, Gly14, Ser20, Ile95, Lys165, Gly192 and Ph97. Hydrogen bonds in complex compounds interact with only one amino acid, Tyr158.

ADME and toxicity tests were carried out to determine the pharmacokinetic profile to see the safety of complex compounds. The absorption parameters were predicted based on the ability of the drug absorbed in the intestine (Human Intestinal Absorption) and the ability of permeability in Caco-2 cells. Distribution parameters are predicted based on the attachment to plasma proteins. While the toxicity parameters can be seen from the Ames test. The results of ADME predictions and toxicity can be seen in Table 8.

Based on ADME predictions (Table 8) isoniazid, compounds before the $N'$-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide complex and Fe (III) $N'$ - (4-Chlorobenzoyl)isonicotinohydrazide complex each had an HIA value of 87,106%, 93,058% and 93,058%, respectively. 100% The HIA value of all compounds were in the range of 70-100% which indicated that the compounds could be absorbed well, but it could be seen the acquisition of HIA values of complex compounds was greater than the compound before the complex and its free ligand (isoniazid), which means that the compound-complex could be said to have better absorption than the other compounds.

From Table 8, it could be seen that the Caco-2 value of isoniazid, the compound before the complex or complex compound was in the range of 4-70 nm/sec which indicated that the permeability of all compounds was moderate.
Table 7. The interaction between compound with amino acid residue on enzyme target

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Hydrophobic Bonds</th>
<th>Hydrogen Bond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native ligand</td>
<td>Asp158, Met47, Gln214, Ile215, Met155, Tyr158, Thr196 dan Gli192</td>
<td>Ile194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>Pro156, Met147, Asp148, Ala191,Gly192, Pro193, Lys165 dan Met199</td>
<td>Ile194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)(N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)) isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>Gly96, Ser94, Ser123, Met98, Met103,Ile202, Val203, Met232, Ile194, Leu218, Thr196, Ile21, Met147, Asp148, Gly14,Ser20, Ile95, Lys165, Gly192 dan Phe97</td>
<td>Tyr158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. (a) 2D visualization (b) 3D visualization of complex compound

The ADME and Toxicity Prediction

Table 8. The ADME and toxicity prediction results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Absorption</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Toxicity (Ames test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIA (%)</td>
<td>CaCo-2 (nm/sec)</td>
<td>PPB (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>87.106</td>
<td>19.496</td>
<td>1.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>93.058</td>
<td>19.760</td>
<td>82.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)(N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)) isonicotinohydrazide</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.590</td>
<td>52.159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification: HIA(%) 0-20= Poorly absorbed compounds, 20-70= Moderately absorbed compounds, 70-100= Well absorbed compounds; CaCo-2(nm/sec) <4= Low permeability, 4-70= Middle permeability, >70= High permeability; PPB(%) >90= Chemicals strongly bound, <90= Chemicals weakly bound (Nursamsiar, 2016).

The efficacy of a drug was influenced by the binding of plasma proteins, which were generally only drugs in an unbound form that could diffuse through the cell membrane and interact with the receptors. (Nursamsiar, 2016). In Table 8, the complex compounds and their comparative compounds have a weak attachment to plasma proteins, because
the value of plasma protein binding (PPB) of all compounds <90% so that it could be said that the all compounds could be well distributed. But the PPB value of complex compounds was lower (52.159%) than the compound before the complex so that it can be said that the distribution of complex compounds was better than the compounds before the complex.

The toxicity parameters could be seen from the mutagenic properties of the compounds being tested. Mutagen was a compound that could increase the rate of change or mutation in genes so that it could trigger the development of cancer (Wahyuningrum, 2011). From the prediction of toxicity using the PreADMET program showed that both complex and comparison compounds have mutagen properties. Although mutagenic, this compound could still be applied as a candidate drug with the appropriate dosage (Ruswanto et al., 2018).

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the research, it can be concluded that the complex FeII (N’ (4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide can be synthesized through the reaction between FeCl₃,6H₂O and N’ - (4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide.

The results of molecular docking showed that the complex Fe(III) N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide could interact with the enzyme Enoyl-Acyl Carrier Protein Reductase on Mycobacterium tuberculosis and were predicted to have a better interaction than isoniazid or N’-(4-Chlorobenzoyl) isonicotinohydrazide with the acquisition of free energy binding (ΔG) value of -9.80 kcal/mol and inhibition constant (Ki) of 0.06529 μM.

For further studies, molecular dynamics must observe the stability of interactions between complex compounds and target receptors.
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