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A Hadhrami Scholar and Islamic Court in Aceh: The Political Biography of ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Ẓāhir (1864-1878)

Abstract: ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Ẓāhir (1833-1896) was an influential, dynamic Hadhrami. He was a Muslim reformer, as well as a savvy businessman and formidable diplomat. Arriving in Aceh in 1864, he embarked on both business and political endeavors, as religious and trade experiences helped develop diplomatic ties between the locals, the Ottomans, and the European powers. Although he surrendered in 1878 to the Dutch, his activities and influence were increasingly common subjects for different colonial newspapers until the middle of the 20th century. This article re-examines al-Ẓāhir’s political role and his various interactions with Acehnese royal contenders. It investigates his interactions with the Aceh court, the uleebalang, and the Dutch, as well as the socio-political environment that informed his surrender to the Dutch. Through investigations of numerous Ottoman, Dutch and indigenous sources, this article offers a glimpse into the reality of the indigenous responses to the decisions of this most trusted Hadhrami.
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ملخص: كان عبد الرحمن الظاهر (1833-1896) حضرميًا دينيًا ومؤثرًا، كما أنه مسلم إصلاحي، ورجل أعمال ذكي، ودبلوماسي محترم. ووصل إلى أديبته في عام 1864 بدأ أعماله التجارية والسياسية معتدلاً على خبراته الدينية والتجارية. عندما كان يساعد في تطوير العلاقات الدبلوماسية بين السكان المحليين والعثمانيين والقوى الأوروبية. وعلى الرغم من استسلامه للهولنديين عام 1878 إلا أن أنشطته ونفوذه أصبحت موضوعًا أساسًا في الصحف الاستعمارية المختلفة حتى منتصف القرن العشرين، وهذا المقال يعيد النظر في دور الظاهر السياسي وتفاعله المختلفة مع منافسيه في مملكة أديبه، ويوضح اتصاله بمحاميه محاكم أديبه، أوبيلانغ، والهولنديين، حيث كان له، سياسياً واجتماعياً، دور في تسليم نفسه للهولنديين. ومن خلال تحقيقات العديد من المصادر العثمانية والهولندية والمحلية، يقدم المقال نمطًا عن واقع ردود السكان المحليين على قرارات هذا الحضرمي الأكثر ثقة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: عبد الرحمن الظاهر، أديبته، الحرب الهولندية، الجهاد في سبيل الله، اسطنبول، العثمانيون.
A bd al-Raḥmān al-Zāhir (1833-1896) was one of the leading figures to aid the Acehnese during the war against the Dutch in Aceh, known as the Perang Sabil. It is important to analyse al-Zāhir’s political role and interactions within various circles, both in Aceh and abroad. By consulting his biographical data, I attempt to uncover crucial facts about al-Zāhir’s life that contribute to our understanding of Acehnese development during this period.

In addition, I analyse al-Zāhir’s stay in Aceh between 1864 and 1878, along with his intermittently aligned relationships within various palace circles. I also look at his response to the expanding Dutch threat. Al-Zāhir’s decisions have been much speculated about by numerous scholars who understand them as both complicated and controversial. I further discuss the ways in which the socio-political environment led him to act in contradictory ways.

Throughout this research, I advance the more general argument that biographical studies are illuminating not only with regard to considerations of the personal histories of respective individuals, but also with regard to larger segments of society and other related issues. As it has been rightly asserted, studying al-Zāhir’s biography would help contribute to “a comprehensive understanding of the history” (Wilkerson 1990). In this regard, I believe that reconsiderations of al-Zāhir’s biography are crucial for properly re-evaluating this important epoch of Acehnese history of which he was an important part.

Some of the questions that will be considered in the forthcoming sections are: 1) What triggered his move from Singapore to Aceh in 1864 (1866)? 2) How did he gain support from various elite circles? 3) How did he impress foreign politicians, including those in Istanbul, the Islands of Penang and Singapore? 4) Why did he strategically exercise new negotiative manoeuvres during the war? These questions have not been adequately answered.

This paper argues for a reconsideration of the role of ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zāhir through evaluation or reconstruction based on the available sources archived in the Dutch National Archive in Den Haag, Leiden University Library, the Ottoman Archives (BOA) in Istanbul, and libraries in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia and Jakarta and Banda Aceh in Indonesia.

In particular, the nine-page text in Leiden is thought to have been written by Teuku Payah (Paja), who was a prominent trader living in
Penang, a member of the Council of Eight (Dewan Delapan), and a major funder of al-Zāhir during his travel to Istanbul. This text is also assumed to have been translated into English by someone from Penang just before 1870 (Consulaat Penang 96, 9; Basiret, 25.04.1874, 1).

This text sheds light on the initial stage of al-Zāhir’s time in Banda Aceh and his relationships with various people, in particular the Sultan of Aceh and his court. Hence, the issue of his travel to Istanbul is not really explored in the text. And though the authenticity of this document might be questionable, it should not be dismissed outright as a useful historical record. No doubt, this corpus is different to some extent and does not include all developments in detail. Nonetheless, it is quite interesting to consider the body of information pertaining to al-Zāhir’s initial stay in Aceh. In addition, I believe that the documents found at the Ottoman archive are significant and resonate with some of the other more general points made about him in his biography by other scholars.

Al-Zāhir is primarily remembered in his crucial role as the head of the envoy of Aceh court that travelled to Istanbul just before the war. He sought to revive the former political relationship between the Ottomans and the rulers of Aceh (“The situations of the Kingdom of Acin”, Al-Jawaib, 14 May 1873, 2; Woltring 1962, 624). He was also actively involved in war affairs once he returned to Aceh from Singapore and Penang. Al-Zāhir and his companions, including Teuku Nya Abbas, the nephew of Teuku Payah, covered their own expenses during their travel and stay in Istanbul (“The situations of the Kingdom of Acin”, Al-Jawaib, 14 May 18, 2). As Reid notes (1972, 39), Teuku Payah transferred money twice, totalling $6,000, through colonial institutions to Constantinople. In fact, this view is supported by the abovementioned text of Teuku Payah.

Al-Zāhir became the political representative of the Aceh court and organized war preparations with the support and contributions from various groups, including some individuals of the Hadhrami diaspora residing in Penang. He interacted with various circles and engaged in the smuggling of war equipment during his involvement in the war. This behavior continued for at least the first four years of the war (“Jawa Items”, The Straits Times, 16 December 1876, 2). As Reid (1972) writes, he took a leading position in compiling funding and contributing to the mobilization of tangible and intangible forces between 1868 and 1878.
until his voluntary surrender to the Dutch forces. He may have paid for these services for the Aceh court because of his personal attachment to Aceh. As Reid (1972, 37) writes, Aceh was a place where he felt at home.

Al-Zahir might also be regarded as the promoter of the resistance movement against the colonial Dutch forces (Lulofs 1954, 62). Hence, he also seems to have acted on the basis of his personal intention to acquire political and material wealth and may have changed his position later due to his own self interests (Reid 1972, 43). No doubt, he caused problems for the Dutch forces. He was described as a fanatical Arab within Dutch circles (“Java Items”, The Straits Times, 27 May 1876, 1; “Netherlands India News”, The Straits Times, 20 August 1885, 10) (Schütz 2010, 17).

Some Insights of the Biography of ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir (1833 (34)-1896)

Habib ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir was born in 1833 in Tarim, Hadhramaut, in the southern part of the Arabian Peninsula. His father, al-Zahir, moved to Malabar, on the southwest coast of India when he was a small child. He continued his early education in Egypt and the Hijaz, before returning to India where he received a degree under the tutelage of certain religious scholars (Reid 1972, 44–45).\(^2\)

His full name was Habib Abdurrahman bin Syed Zahir,\(^3\) or in another saying, el-Sayyid Abd-ulk-Rahman el-Zahir. Affectionately, he was called Sajjīd Abdoerrachman or Habib Abdoerrachman (Lulofs 1954, 43). On the other hand, one of the documents notes that his name is read as ‘Abdurahman ibn Muhammed ez-Zahir Ba-Alwi’.\(^4\) It is safe to say that, on the basis of the latter document, al-Zahir belongs to the Ba Alawi family, which was recognized as an influential Hadrami family throughout the archipelago.\(^5\)

Al-Zahir’s name emerged in Ottoman archival documents pertaining to his official visit to the governor of the Ottoman State in Mecca.\(^6\) According to these documents, his full name is as-Sayyid ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir and he was the deputy of the Sultan of Aceh, the primary representative of the Sultan, and referred to as al-Mutaṣarrīf al-Muṭlaq, Maharaja Mudabbir al-Malik al-Sayyid ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir.\(^7\) His name is also read as Raja Mudabbir al-Malik al-Sayyid ‘Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Muhammad Al-Zahir or as seen in the stamp with his
The latest document refers to him as Seyyid Abdurrahman ez-Zahir or ez-Zahidi. His father’s name, as understood from the stamps in some official letters, was Muhammad al-Zahir, read as Maharaja Mudabbir al-Malik al-Sayyid ‘Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Muhammad Al-Zahir in the single stamp dated 1287. In some other documents, al-Zahir is referred to by the title of ‘Pasha’ (Seyyid Abdurrahman Zahir Paşa). This is the first time I came across him being referred to as an Ottoman ‘Pasha’. It also seems that some of the latest documents discussing Aceh-Ottoman relations summarily refer to him, but with a different political affiliation such as ‘interior minister of the Aceh government’, and as the wazir and surrogate (wakil-i mutlak) Aceh Sultan, which differs from the early references defining him as seen above.

Al-Zahir was not only personally presenting himself to the Ottoman governor in Hijaz, but he also submitted official letters with his own signature and stamp on behalf of the Sultan of Aceh. In a letter, which is understood to have been dictated by the Sultan himself, he presents Abdurrahman as the Maharaja, a title which was often used in Malay ruling quarters, and which is similar to the position of prime ministership in contemporary political discourse. In the same text, al-Zahir was also referred to as ‘advisor to the Sultan of Aceh’ or ‘mudabbir al-malik’. In another text in the same file, he was referred to as wazir and only as a single representative of the Sultan of Aceh, by referring him as ‘wakil-i mutlak’. Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir was not alone in his task as an envoy to the Holy Land under the protection of the Ottoman state; he was accompanied by a group of political elites, who referred to him as “umera ve ayan” from Aceh.

Though al-Zahir’s visit got more attention in the İstanbul press (Reid 1972, 41; Basiret 05.06.1873, 1; Basiret 07.06.1873, 1; Basiret, 11.06.1873, 1), his name is not quoted in any books on İstanbul history or travellers’ books. For instance, a book entitled “Seyyahların Aynasında Şehirlerin Sultanı İstanbul” mentions various envoys and visitors in 19th century, but the name ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir is left out, unlike other Eastern visitors (Meriç et al. 2010).

It appears that al-Zahir himself preferred the title ‘ḥabīb’, as witnessed in a letter he wrote in which he referred to himself as Ḥabīb ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Zahir and to others as, for example, Ḥabīb Īsmā’ or Ḥabīb Abubakar. He also refers to some other individuals as ‘ḥabībs’
in this relevant paper.\textsuperscript{17} He followed almost the same life trajectory, being a trader and approaching traditional courts as an advisor, like the majority of Hadhramaut people who were scattered throughout the adjacent regions of the Indian Ocean.

After he completed his religious-based education, al-Ẓāhir sought to become involved in commercial life. He was an active merchant in various port cities, in particular in Penang and the Singapore Islands in the Malay Peninsula and Pidie (Pedir), which was historically renowned for being one of the best pepper production centers in northern Aceh. His being in the port cities of the Straits of Malacca led al-Ẓāhir to reach Singapore and become closer to Abubakar, then newly inaugurated Sultan of Johor in 1862. After serving almost one-and-half years as a senior administrative staffer, he left for Sumatra (Reid 1972, 45-46; \textit{Historical Notes of Singapore}, 8).

Al-Ẓāhir first arrived in Aceh in 1864, accompanied by Syed Omar from Singapore, through Pedir, on a vessel called \textit{Company}. He had come to the province principally for commercial purposes. With regard to his being in the region, it is thought that he moved from Kalikut, Madras (Malabar), to the port cities of the Malacca Straits around 1863 (\textit{Consulaat Penang} 96, 1).\textsuperscript{18} He presumably spent time exploring business opportunities in Penang and Singapore. This is relevant insofar as it demonstrates that he was not only involved in politics, but also commercial activities. Al-Ẓāhir was also active on behalf of the Sultan of Aceh in commercial activities between North Aceh (Ide region) and Penang. This can be regarded as further evidence that he was active beyond his official responsibilities to the Aceh government; he was also actively involved in business affairs.\textsuperscript{19}

In this regard, it can be safely argued that he was following the same prototype of the Hadhrami commercial activities (Mandal 2013, 242). During this time, port administration was dominated by the South Indian Muslim merchants with whom al-Ẓāhir had a close affinity.

He did not find any commercial opportunities in Pedir and so returned to Langkawi. After a brief period, he returned to Aceh and this time landed in Banda Aceh, most likely just prior to his 31st birthday. He settled in Kampung Java, which was a recognized metropolitan district that fell under the rule of the Sultan of Aceh, and in which there might also have been some Arab communities (\textit{Consulaat Penang} 96, 1).
This village is renowned for its shrine to Said Abubakar al-Bafaqih, an 18th century scholar who was better known as Teungku di Anjong, from Hadhramaut. A mosque that once functioned as a religious study center was founded next to his tomb (Hill 1955, 57; Hurgronje 1906, 156). In recent years, this place has been widely remembered as an Arab village. For instance, during my interviews with some of the elderly people of the region, one respondent observed that Kampung Java was considered an Arab village owing to the majority of its settlers being of Arab descent.

While Al-Ẓāhir gained recognition and popularity among the general public, he was particularly well-known among the political elite, such as the ulee balang and the Acehnese court. This was undoubtedly because of the respect and reverence of the Acehnese people to the ḥabīb (Hurgronje 1906, 155). On the other hand, al-Ẓāhir’s knowledge and skills in political and religious affairs should not be exaggerated. Though he was qualified in these fields, I believe that political decision making processes were held by the Acehnese themselves as a last resort. This was proved during the initial years of the Dutch War. After al-Ẓāhir left, his role and function was replaced by the Acehnese religious scholars themselves.

Furthermore, when the Acehnese court discussed the demands of the Dutch colonial rulers, specifically their desire to gain possessions of several islands, including Pulau Weh and Pulau Nasi (Weh and Nasi Islands), the Acehnese court rejected those demands, before charging the waiz or perdana menteri (prime minister) with the responsibility of announcing Aceh’s decision to declare its autonomy (Mutyara 1947, 19).

This fact is also observed in a text written by al-Ẓāhir himself in which he refers to the Advisory Council (Majlis Penasihat). His appointment as the head envoy to Istanbul was based on a decision made by the Acehnese court, as I note later. When considering the various sources on Al-Ẓāhir, it is clear that there are some controversial issues pertaining to the relationship between al-Ẓāhir and Sultan Mansur Syah. I further explore this relationship in the forthcoming sections.

His Family Based on the Ottoman and Other Sources

Before discussing Al-Ẓāhir’s role and place in Aceh during the turbulent 19th century – turbulent because of the Dutch invasion of Aceh – I offer some information about his family.
Al-Zāhir had six wives, some Turkish Circassians, and Habshi concubines. He married the daughters of other Sayyid families in the region, with one exception being his marriage to an Acehnese woman called Potjut, who, according to Snouck Hurgronje, might have been the widow of former Sultan Mansur Syah. His multiple marriages happened during his travels through Mocha, Penang, Singapore and Aceh. During his time in Penang and Kedah there was a Turkish Circassian, along with some servants and slaves among his retinue (Bake 1873, 69; Hurgronje 1906, 23; Reid 1972, 38).

It is also understood from Ottoman documents that al-Zāhir had a son named ‘Seyyid Ahmed Bey’ and a daughter named ‘Seyyide Ayşe Hanım’.22

The correspondence of the Dutch consul in Jeddah also indicate that al-Zāhir was married to the widow of the former Sultan, Raja Sulaiman (Bake 1873, 69). Reid writes that Teuku Muda Ba’et, one of the influential uleebalang of Mukim VII, married his then child daughter with Raja Sulaiman in the 1850s. Al-Zāhir acted against the rule of Teuku Muda Ba’et to get Tuanku Mahmud from his hand on the basis of the policy of Sultan Mansur Syah. He married Potjut in order to acquire an upper hand in Acehnese politics (Reid 1972, 49).

By virtue of this marriage, al-Zāhir became the rightful protector of Tuanku Mahmud, the newly appointed Sultan Mahmud, and he was able to establish an alliance with Teuku Muda Ba’et, a former foe (Bake 1873, 67).

Reid writes that Potjut (Reid 1972, 38),23 the Acehnese wife of al-Zāhir, was an exception, while the rest were members of Sayyid families. Al-Zāhir was, however, also married to several other women in Aceh. It is believed that al-Zāhir was married shortly after his arrival to Aceh Besar in 1864. He first lived in Kampung Jawa, before moving to other villages in Aceh Besar, including Kampong Lamboeh and Kampung Lamsimpang. It appears that he offered to marry a daughter of an Arab family in the latter village when he was 31 or 32 years of age (Consulaat Penang 96, 1).24

There exists another reference to his marriage with another Acehnese lady, the sister of Tuanku Loeng Batta, who is remembered as a fierce fighter and leader of the Acehnese army. Though Reid mentions that none of al-Zāhir’s wives accompanied him during his travels (Reid 1972, 38), there is a reference contained in the Teuku Paya text that
he was preparing to perform the hajj with one of his Acehnese wives (Consulaat Penang 96, 8). Interestingly, his wives did not accompany him on long travels, which is the main reason it appears that he had multiple marriages. Al-Zahir had a few “servants or slaves”, including “a Turkish Circassian” with whom he consorted during his time in Kedah and Penang (Reid 1972, 38; Schmidt 1992, 61). Hurgronje (1906, 23) also mentions that he brought several concubines from India during his travel to Aceh.

One of his wives (as Schmidt notes “the second wife”) was in Mecca during his visit to Istanbul in 1873. On the other hand, Schmidt again states that al-Zahir left Istanbul to visit his second wife in Mecca. Some Dutch officials speculated that al-Zahir had stated that he had been forced to pay a visit to Porte. Schmidt also refers to Potjut while referring to Reid’s work. Schmidt’s paper also indicates that al-Zahir had a 3-year old daughter in Aceh (1992, 61). Another of his wives was named Syarifa Fatimah, which suggests that she was a daughter of a Sayyid family (Reid 1972, 45). Potjut, the sister of Teuku Muda Ba’et, was previously married to Sultan Sulaiman Iskandar (1838-1857). Al-Zahir argues that his father-in-law and some other family members were killed during the initial stages of the war in Aceh (Reid 1972, 49; Schmidt 1992, 61).

Ottoman documents reveal that the name of one of al-Zahir’s children was ‘Seyyide Ayşe Hanım’, which indicates that she came from a Sayyid family. Hence, it is assumed that she was named like an Ottoman lady, as seen in the spelling of her name ‘Ayşe Hanım’ or ‘Şerife Ayşe Hanım’. The reason she is mentioned in the document is related to her being taken to prison by the Dutch authorities in Batavia. Based on the details of the same document, it appears that Ayşe Hanım returned to Kota Raja, Aceh, from Jeddah after al-Zahir passed away. The same account also suggests that Ayşe Hanım’s mother was in Aceh and that she wanted to be the protector of Teuku Ali, her uncle from her mother’s side in Aceh.

This is an important thing to consider because it sheds more light on the life of al-Zahir and his political relations in Aceh. It is said that Ayşe Hanım was imprisoned in Batavia. Though Ayşe Hanım was able to travel from Jeddah to Kota Raja, the capital city of Aceh, she was interrogated and imprisoned by Dutch authorities in 1897.
The Political Situation in Aceh

The political situation in Aceh prior to al-Ẓāhir’s arrival warrants a brief discussion. These were tumultuous years when it was clear that the Dutch were determined to invade Aceh in order to expand their colonial influence. The Dutch colonial administration in Batavia engaged in activities that appeared to be in preparation for a military invasion, in particular near the southern border of Aceh with Padang. The Dutch also had imperial ambitions with regard to less geostrategically important states in Eastern Sumatra (Chaniago 2002, 27). Beyond this external threat, the Acehnese appeared to have been divided between the Aceh court and the periphery, as well as the uleebalang of Sagis, who, historically, were powerful and wealthy political elites.

Once al-Ẓāhir reached Aceh, the common folk and particularly the more educated people known as religious scholars, hajis, and Lebbai (religious scribes), were attracted to him due to his perceived knowledge and charisma. The common folk saw him as someone who could protect them. Thus, his reputation increased among the Acehnese, who visited him when they needed his assistance. No doubt, his presence in Banda Aceh caused both envy and concern among many different circles (Consulaat Penang 96, 2).

In particular, his approach to the state and condition of mosques gained him favor with the Acehnese. His first initiation was to attempt to renovate the collapsing Masjid Raya Baiturrahman. Through this, he emphasized the importance of having a vigorous religious life and argued that, as good Muslims, the Acehnese community was obliged to take care of religious buildings such as the historical mosque of Baiturrahman. This symbolic act demonstrates that he had a scholarly approach to the socio-political conditions in the capital city of the Sultanate of Aceh. Al-Ẓāhir most probably felt superior to the locals and ordered the Acehnese to renovate their mosque. No doubt, this is why he was so distraught about the condition of the Baiturrahman mosque, even though the Acehnese were regarded as devout Muslims (Consulaat Penang 96, 1-2).

It was during this crucial period that al-Ẓāhir proved himself to be an authority on religious matters. His initiation of fundraising for the mosque renovation was appreciated by Sultan Mansur Syah, and after a while, his growing notoriety led to him being introduced to the Sultan in person. The Sultan acknowledged al-Ẓāhir’s enigmatic
persona and, by getting closer to the Sultan, Al-Zāhir contributed Aceh politics under the patronage of the the former who had to continuously navigate the turbulent relations with the ulee-balang (Bake 1873, 68). Here, a letter which was given by Sultan Abubakar of Johor to al-Zāhir pertaining to the latter’s service during the early years of 1860s in Johor, must have been effective for Sultan Mansur Syah to receive al-Zāhir in a positive manner. Despite the above-mentioned closer relationship, it is understood that the Sultan, after a while, sought to punish al-Zāhir owing to the latter’s extension of authority among Acehnese society (Consulaat Penang 96, 2-4). 29

Because of the involvement of some rajahs, al-Zāhir left for Arabia and, almost a year later, returned to Aceh. Seeing that the Sultan was ill, he apologized to the Sultan for his previous attitude. As accounted in the text, the Sultan Mansur Syah forgave him and appointed him as the head of one of the mukims (Mukim III) (Consulaat Penang 96, 6). Mukim III (Tjot Putu) would play a crucial role during the inauguration of Tuanku Mahmud, the son of Raja Sulaiman, as the heir of the palace on the decision of Sultan Mansur Syah. During this politically critical period, al-Zāhir was appointed to deal with this issue. He also mobilized the settlers in Mukim III, in addition to the palace military unit that he also mobilized (Reid 1972, 47).

It is important to note that even before his publically voiced concerns about the mosque, al-Zāhir was already recognized by at least some of the distinguished and influential Acehnese political elite. Al-Zāhir, like his clan members, adapted well to Aceh. He was particularly successful navigating palace circles and political elites. He eventually acquired one of the highest-ranking positions as an advisor to Sultan Mansur Syah and head of envoy to Istanbul to restructure relations between the two political entities, both of which were Muslim powers in previous periods, including the 16th and 17th centuries, as well as the mid-19th century (1850/51) (“Items from the Java Papers”, The Straits Times, 19 June 1875, 2; 7 Numarali Muhimme Defteri (I), No: 233-244, 118-126; Kepper 1874, 36–37; Vink 1985, 2). 30

Some other sources have indicated that al-Zāhir was appointed as kadi and chief imam of the mosque of Baiturrahman on the basis of his relationship with the court (Vink 1985, 2). Many believed al-Zāhir had impressive religious credentials. Indeed, he was considered by regional journals in the 1870s as a religious authority, partially due to his
influence among the Acehnese political elite. ("Java Items", The Straits Times, 24 June 1876, 1; “Java Items”, The Straits Times, 27 May 1876, 1; Roff 1985, 20). This appointment was not, however, for the position of Shaykh al-Islām, but an imāmship and as director of religious affairs (Reid 1972, 46).

Because of this, al-Ẓāhir appears to have developed a close affinity with the nobility, which provided him their support. This is also evident in documents that discuss his travel to Mecca to foster political collaboration between the Ottoman State and the Sultanate of Aceh Darussalam. Al-Ẓāhir also appears to have been accompanied by a small delegation of Acehnese nobility representatives. He acquired strong support from some 20 different religious groups and nobilities, all of which signed a petition to be submitted to the Ottoman governor in Hijaz.

This tradition is not only limited to the palace circle – it also applied in both noble and public circles. For instance, the official letters submitted by the Acehnese envoys to the Ottoman bureaucracy, in particular to the Hijaz, proved that the nobililites not only played a significant role in domestic politics, they also enjoyed influence over international relations. There were a total of 20 individuals aside from al-Ẓāhir that came to Mecca. Being the head of the envoy, al-Ẓāhir approached the Ottoman Wazir along with other officials to talk about getting military and political support from the Ottomans through the governor of Mecca.

After Sultan Mansur Syah passed away in 1870, al-Ẓāhir appeared as close aide to the Sultan’s wife and took a leading role in the inauguration of her 16 year-old son from the late Raja Sulaiman (Consulaat Penang 96, 7) (Vink 1985, 2). The same process is observed in the account of Szekely. Al-Ẓāhir, arguably, was appointed to a more significant position when he was appointed as Wali of the younger Sultan Mahmud Syah (Lulofs 1954, 45). This account, however, is somewhat dubious given that al-Ẓāhir was married to the wife of Mansur Syah or Raja Sulaiman.

**Al-Ẓāhir: A Controversial Figure?**

Al-Ẓāhir’s visit to İstanbul needs to be analysed in terms of his promoting a Pan-Islamist ideology within a larger geographical context; one that pre-dates Abdulhamid II, (Landau 1992, 10–11; Lee 1942, 249), who is generally regarded as the primary supporter of a
political union between Islamic lands that were under colonial rule. In this regard, as is often mentioned in the relevant literature, Aceh was referred to as “the bulwark of Islam in the Indies” (Simon 1912, 224). From the standpoint of the Acehnese, they were “defending their religion and their fatherland” during the war in Aceh (Chauvel 1990, 40–41).

My position, however, is that al-Zāhir’s policies were controversial. Acehnese writers typically emphasize the initial stage of the war, particularly the fights around the Baiturrahman mosque, the killing of J. H. R. Köhler, the commander of the Dutch forces, and the third stage, which was led by ulama forces and the Tiro family. In publications, including Sinar Darussalam, Acehnese scholars and researchers do not mention al-Zāhir’s name as often as other heroes, such as Tiro family members Teuku Omar, Cut Nyak Dhien, and others.33

From personal conversations and talks with academics and religious scholars, I noticed how little emphasis has been placed on the role of al-Zāhir reforming the Islamic practices of the Acehnese. Furthermore, little has been written about al-Zāhir’s thoughts and actions after his surrender or during the later period of the Dutch War. This is relevant because al-Zāhir was recognised both as a Sayyid and as a person who advanced his study of Islamic law; indeed, this is why he first acquired fame and recognition as a prominent religious scholar (“Java Items”, The Straits Times, 27 May 1876, 1).

Al-Zāhir was well received by the Acehnese when he was actively involved in political affairs during his time in Aceh. He did not, however, write any scholarly works, treatises or risalah, even though he was appointed as the principal imam to Baiturrahman Mosque and was regularly approached for advice on religious matters (Reid 1972, 48, 51). Hence, al-Zāhir found himself in somewhat desperate situations, either by his own doing or due to external factors, which led the Acehnese to gradually lose trust in him. This is evident in the archipelagic media from the time. As witnessed, the Acehnese already lost their trust in him because of his failed attempt in Istanbul (“Hindia-Nederland: Negri Atjeh”, 1). One would assume that this accounts for why the Achenese have kept relatively silent about his contributions. The Acehnese attitude towards al-Zāhir might also have been related to his controversial approaches and practices, such as taking sides with some elites while opposing others.
It is also true that he got frustrated after his unsuccessful efforts in Istanbul. His popularity declined after his surrender to the Dutch, and he retired to Hijaz in 1878. Al-Zahir’s decision to surrender to the Dutch seems to have been made during an early stage of his involvement in Acehnese politics just before the Dutch War. One source argues that he sought a peace agreement with the Dutch in order to derive some benefit from them during the war (“Jawa Items”, *The Straits Times*, 24 June 1876, 1).

Al-Zahir was involved in many different circles of politics throughout his time in Aceh, starting with his landing in Kampung Jawa where he successfully won the hearts of the common folk and established alliances with elite circles later on. He was widely acknowledged as being knowledgeable on political and religious matters, and was talented in debates.

That aside, as Reid notes, al-Zahir never achieved national hero (*pahlawan*) status in the history of modern Indonesia (1972, 37). *Pahlawan*ship has been attributed much significance since Indonesian independence. According to an article published in a military journal two years after independence, “Every independent country must have heroes and these heroes have a role and function of spiritual strength and dignity of the State” (Loethfy 1947, 15). In addition, some Acehnese that were considered to have played significant roles during the Dutch War were inaugurated as *pahlawan* by the national government in the relatively early decades of Indonesia.\(^3\)

Reid acknowledges certain issues that explain why al-Zahir was not made a *pahlawan*. That said, Reid does not appear to have acknowledged these issues intentionally when he traces al-Zahir’s movements during the war in Aceh. For instance, Reid writes, ‘… Al-Zahir acknowledged no loyalty to any particular country or people; ‘… He never had any illusions that Atjeh could win a war with the Dutch; ‘… When he finally returned to Penang three months later, he was clearly at the end of his tether. Reluctant as he was to return to fight a losing war; ‘He knew in the end the Achinese would be beaten…” (Reid 1972, 37, 38, 42).

When he failed to convince the Dutch of the need for a peaceful end to the war, he returned to Aceh to continue the struggle for a second time, “though being reluctant”. In fact, it seems that he was not particularly enthused about this whatsoever. Another narrative notes
that when al-Zāhir returned to Singapore and Aceh, he approached the Dutch officials about a peace agreement, while at the same time making efforts to mobilize the Acehnese against Dutch forces (Schmidt 1992, 62).

On the other hand, despite this renowned Islamist’s reluctance to continue the struggle, the Acehnese themselves maintained their resistance, which the Dutch authorities in Batavia and Den Haag recognised and argued that the Acehnese did not have any desire to end the war (“The Acehen War”, The Straits Times, 1885, 7). Similar accounts from the relevant years from the Dutch side exist as well: “… How vacillation which has characterized Dutch operations in Aceh affects the Dutch soldier. Desertion … has become common, and defeat disgracefully usual.” (“The Acehen War”, The Straits Times, 1885, 10).

Or “… Fanatical Acehnese who have successfully warred against us for 12 years, and whose courage, patriotism, and self sacrifice are worthy of a better cause are capable of anything” (“Netherlands Indian News”, The Straits Times, 1885, April 11, 4).

Needless to say, al-Zāhir contributed much towards the resistance movement against the Dutch (“Java Items”, The Straits Times, 30 December 1876, 2; “Acehen Affairs”, Straits Observer, 20 June 1876, 3). He was recognized for his cosmopolitan background, having studied in Egypt, Mecca and Western India. He had commercial ventures in port cities adjacent to the Malacca Straits, and had interacted with the Hadhrami diaspora, as well as Westerners and colonial rulers. In addition, as Reid (1972, 45) notes, al-Zāhir was a global figure during his time as a chief envoy between the Sultanate of Aceh and Istanbul. He regularly visited Europe during this time. At the same time, however, he was regarded as an outsider. The Acehnese did not adopt him as one of their own (Consulaat Penang 96, 4). He was considered impolite and aggressive in contrast to the “the traditional politeness” (Reid 1972, 41) of the Acehnese common folk and nobility. This was a major reason why he was never really accepted.

Al-Zāhir as a Voice of the Acehnese Resistance

Al-Zāhir was recognized as one of the leading figures of the pre-war era in Aceh. He was a distinguished figure not only in Aceh, but also in Malaya, particularly on the island of Penang. This point was noted in many different Dutch sources prior to the Dutch War, before
and during the rule of the colonial governor of Karel van der Heyden (Paulus 1917, 5). It is argued that he gained recognition as head of a political envoy to Istanbul, twice sent at the behest of the Acehnese court. His second visit was facilitated by Acehnese political elites representing the newly appointed young Mahmud Syah. During his time as leader of the envoy, he allegedly became the leader of the war in the second phase, which started toward the end of 1873 after his return from Istanbul (“The Situations of the Kingdom of Açin”, Al-Jawaib, 14 May 1873, 2) (Lulofs 1954, 61).

Al-Ẓāhir played a crucial role in mobilizing the wealthy Acehnese in Penang, including some members of the Arabian community, to support the war in Aceh. He did this through various means, including establishing and implementing policies, supplying armament, and mobilizing the nobilities in distinct regions of Aceh. He did this over four years via his network of Penangise and Acehnese nobility. Owing to his strong character and commitment to the cause, Szekely brought al-Ẓāhir into the politico-religious fold of leading people, including Teuku Omar, Teungku di Tiro, Teuku Neh Merassa and Tengku Loeng Bata (Bake 1873, 69; Moeis and Lulofs 1954, 5; “Jawa Items”, The Straits Times, 27 May 1876”, 1; “Jawa Items”, The Straits Times, 3 June 1876, 1). As contained in a short letter believed to have been written after his return from Istanbul, al-Ẓāhir also organized local leaders, including some Habibs in Aceh, encouraging them to take part in the war.35

Once Mansur Syah (1857-1870) and his successor Mahmud Syah (1870-1874) failed in their attempts to establish political relations with some of the leading Western powers, most specifically, with the British, the Acehnese political elite decided to send a diplomatic envoy led by ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Ẓāhir, who was regarded as Wazir of Aceh (Schmidt 1992, 58; Veer 1977, 130).36

While the Acehnese envoy was engaging in diplomacy in Istanbul, the Dutch Kingdom had intervened through its consul via a man named Henri Antoine Heldewier (1872-78) in the Ottoman capital, who benefited from his close ties with the Russian consul, George Ignatief (1864-1877). Other European allies of the Dutch, including the French, Germans, Austrians, Italians and, in particular, the British, also intervened to prevent any affirmative response from the Ottoman government by siding with the Acehnese through a declaration of support to the Acehnese
envoy. The Western powers regularly meddled and threatened Saffet Pasha and subsequently Reşid Pasha, both of whom were foreign affairs ministers of the Ottoman government. Midhat Pasha and Hidiv Pasha were also among the individuals from the Ottoman government who met al-Zāhir (Schmidt 1992, 58–59; Veer 1977, 130; Basiret, 07.06.1873; Basiret, 11.06.1873; Basiret, 13.06.1873).

The European nations did this through their various consuls in Istanbul to obstruct the Ottomans’ active involvement in the Dutch War in Aceh because of their fear of further Islamic expansion and consolidation of power. The Ottomans represented a distinct challenge to the colonial powers in certain regions (Schmidt 1992, 66). It is not possible to determine whether the issue of Ottoman expansion to include Aceh became a point of discussion, as it did in previous years among various members of the British government (Straits Government Gazette, Friday 17 April 1863, 164–76). The same issue was considered by the Ottoman press during the Acehnese envoy in 1873, which referred to an Acehnese vassal stateship under Ottoman protection and sovereignty (Basiret, 07.06.1873, 1).

Al-Zāhir and the Support of the Uleebalang

The reason al-Zāhir received the support of the nobles in Aceh, as can be ascertained from letters with stamps and the names of relevant nobles submitted to the Ottoman court, was largely due to ongoing political disputes in Aceh. In particular, during the earlier part of the 19th century, the Aceh court fell under the strong influence of the leaders of the Sagis, or autonomous regimes in three corners surrounding the capital city who held control of regional trade (Tarling 1957, 123). The Dutch were close observers of Aceh and Acehnese politics, and discovered that there were two factions fighting to get a foothold in central government (Bake 1873, 69).

Based on the availability of current data, I believe it necessary to briefly discuss al-Zāhir’s political expedition before he arrived in Istanbul in 1872. Al-Zāhir is assumed to have left Aceh towards the end of 1872 in order to submit a letter from the Sultan of Aceh to the Ottoman government (Al-Jawaib, 14 May 1873, 2) (Brugmans 1930, 309; Hasjmy 1978, 475).

Dutch sources, through their consul in Jeddah, noted that al-Zāhir landed in Jeddah in the middle of January 1873. He spent almost two
months in Jeddah, during which time he met with the consul on several occasions (Bake 1873, 55, 66).

After this phase in his travel, he most probably arrived in Istanbul at the beginning of April. According to Schmidt, al-Ẓāhir arrived in Istanbul on 27 April 1873 on an Egyptian ship, and he stayed for a few days at the Ḥüzukler Tekkesi in Üsküdar (Schmidt 1992, 59; Veer 1977, 127). According to another account, the Sultan of Aceh sent a letter with an envoy to Istanbul in October 1872 (Reid 1969, 81). Alternatively, he was supposed to continue his travel to some European capitals. But it seems it did not happen (Bake 1873, 70).

Although there were rumours of al-Ẓāhir attempting to visit Istanbul on behalf of the Acehnese, al-Ẓāhir was recognized as the last political agent of the Acehnese to visit Istanbul (Schmidt 1992, 62). Al-Ẓāhir was confident that his first visit to the Ottoman court would be positive. During meetings with various circles, he proudly delivered a speech on Aceh's relations with Turkey, England and France (Alof 1873, 66; Kepper 1874, 17; Al-Jawaib, 7 May 1873, 7).

Since he was a prominent politician within Aceh palace circles, it is likely that he was given permission by the Acehnese Sultan to represent and discuss all relevant issues on behalf of him and his authority. It appears that he was confident that he would be successful during his first visit (Alof 1873, 66).

Al-Ẓāhir’s confidence was primarily based on his meetings with Nuri Pasha, the Ottoman governor in Hijaz. It is widely assumed that al-Ẓāhir must have had talks with the Pasha during his time in Istanbul. As contained in the accounts of the Dutch consul in Jeddah, “the Pasha repeatedly indicated that Atchin belong to Turkey and that a Turkish genderal had transferred a Turkish flag to the ruler of that state” (Bake 1873, 28). It is important to note that al-Ẓāhir brought with him letters containing a significant number of historical references establishing previous Acehnese attempts to revive a political alliance with the Ottoman capital.37

Al-Ẓāhir as a Prominent Leader

Al-Ẓāhir’s active participation in the Dutch war made him a prominent figure among his Hadhrami clan, notwithstanding that he was never confident that the Acehnese would be victorious. Al-Ẓāhir used his political skill to garner support not only from the palace
circle, but from other prominent nobles, who traditionally dominated the political decision-making process. In fact, al-Zāhir was widely acknowledged as the most influential leader among the Arab contingent in the Acehnese palace (Bake 1873, 69).

There is consensus that al-Zāhir’s political approach was ‘ambitious and skillful’ (Hurgronje 1906, 147). Beyond his education at various elite educational institutions in Egypt, Mecca and India, he appears to have also acquired some political and administrative skills through his being employed as the head of a mid-sized army unit in India. It is also believed that he was indirectly involved in political life during his time living under British rule in Penang and Singapore. All these experiences made him skillful enough to become an important figure in Acehnese politics (Reid 1972, 45–46).

Al-Zāhir was appointed as wazir, or prime minister, in the Acehnese government, and led the Acehnese envoy to Hijaz and İstanbul just a short time before the Dutch War. Furthermore, he was able to organize a ‘liberation front’ to collect funds, military equipment, and human resources (i.e. mercenaries) to support Aceh’s struggle through his effective network in Malaya. His personal initiative to have a course of interactions with the British authorities in Penang and Singapore gave him a distinct role in the war in Aceh. According to records, al-Zāhir was involved with various consuls of countries, including Siam, in order to establish a political alliance on behalf of the Aceh court. In this regard, he visited Chow Phya Baduwongse, the Siam council in Singapore (“Java Items”, The Straits Times, 30 December 1876, 2; “Items From the Java Papers”, The Straits Times, 19 June 1875, 2; Basiret, 18 Mayıs 1873, 1).

Aside from his affinity with certain palace circles, al-Zāhir also attempted to mediate between the Dutch and the Sultanate of Aceh in the hopes of reaching a peace agreement just before the Dutch declared war (Reid 1972, 51). He was frustrated upon his return because of the loss in trust of the Council of Eight after he attempted to engage with Dutch authorities to establish peace talks through the Sultan of Johor. In the end, the Dutch did not sympathize with him, effectively compelling Al-Zāhir to continue the war in Aceh.

This was, in large part, a result of the Ottoman government not being able to provide the Acehnese with military support, notwithstanding that it offered the Dutch government a peaceful resolution. The talks
between al-Zahir and the Ottoman leaders may have caused the former to act this way after they saw the Acehnese make no significant progress inroads against the Dutch. The distinctiveness of Acehnese diplomacy also seems to have fallen on deaf ears in Istanbul. Nevertheless, while the Acehnese envoys visiting on the eve and initial stage of the Dutch War presented well in vernacular widely used by the media in Istanbul, the same thing cannot be argued for the decision-making process. It was not handled well by the main political actors in the Ottoman government.

**Al-Zahir as an individual Hadhrami**

Al-Zahir was not a member of any particular group of Hadhrami immigrants who spent their lives in Aceh. It is therefore difficult to conclude that he developed a Hadhrami institutional base to help him move into Acehnese political and social life. He made his own political inroads primarily because of his own capabilities and the knowledge he acquired throughout his earlier life. His time in Islamic study centers in Egypt, Arabia and India proved beneficial to him. Beyond this, his political acumen was surely related to his business skills and ‘sayyid network’ in the region. This was especially the case in the various port cities in the adjacent regions of the Indian Ocean.

Al-Zahir was not interested in improving the scholarly tradition in Aceh by involving education centers. Instead, he tried to establish a political niche for himself and used his religious credentials to bolster his claims for power and political recognition. Throughout the war, both while in Istanbul accepting a nişan, and later on while trying to be a peacebroker for the Acehnese political elite and the Dutch colonial representatives in Singapore, al-Zahir arguably sought political glory above all else. Reid emphasizes that al-Zahir sought to surrender to Dutch colonial rule in exchange for material benefits and other perks. He lived out his final days in Jeddah with money that the Dutch provided him as a living stipend until his death (Hassan 2004, 405).

During his time in Jeddah, al-Zahir approached Osman Nuri Pasha, the governor of Hijaz, in order to get the recognition of the Ottoman government that he had always sought. He received a second class Order of the Medjidie for his efforts. He spent the rest of his life bouncing between Mecca and Jeddah. Al-Zahir ultimately accepted defeat in the war, surrendering to the Dutch in exchange for a monthly
salary of $1000 to stay in exile in Hijaz beginning on 13 October 1878. This was more than enough to afford him a comfortable life in his final days (Paulus 1917, 79; Schmidt 1992, 62). During his stay in Hijaz, particularly in Jeddah, it is unclear whether or not he maintained his close relationship with the Ottoman governor or if he ever sought more assistance for the Acehnese in their ongoing struggle against the Dutch.

Conclusion

The reasons behind al-Zāhir’s extensive involvement in Acehnese politics needs to be further analysed. Hassan argues that “… the main concern of 19th century Arab migrants was in accessing opportunities to get employed in relevant state’s administrative bureaucracy, undertake petty trading and expand Islamic education etc.” (2004, 411). It is clear that there was more behind his intentions in Acehnese politics than merely the establishment of political alliances between Muslim states against colonial occupiers. One can try and answer the question regarding his ultimate intentions by looking at some of the other Sayyids’ roles, particularly those who were a part of the Kedah court. It appears that al-Zāhir had political ambitions and sought to be appointed to a position in the state administration in order to acquire wealth.

What made his life unique were his extensive travels between India and Europe, and from Johor to Aceh. During his time in these places, he had many prominent roles, including that of serving as an advisor to the rulers and commanding a military unit, and involving himself in business transactions. Reid associates him essentially with the Acehnese political life, which is not untrue in the context of serving the palace and contributing to the Dutch War or, as the Acehnese know it, the Perang Sābīl (Holy War), both as envoy to İstanbul and leading the war in the field. In this regard, one cannot argue that he was any different from a typical Hadhramaut who conducted business or taught in a madrasah. He spent his early life in various religious education centers. He was also a Zamindar for a Hindu ruler, while the Sultan of Johor helped him to observe British colonial rule in the reigon. He was a cosmopolitan man who had an intimate understanding of both Eastern and Western cultures that can be readily attributed to his extensive travels.

Though he thought of himself as a ‘sayyid’, he abandoned the struggle for independence and eventually retired to Arabia as a politician on the basis of his agreement with the Dutch rulers. Just a few years later,
active struggle for independence was revived under the new leadership of the *ulama* in Aceh. These later struggles were far more detrimental to the financial and political resources of the Dutch colonial rule. They also caused difficulties in the Hague, the capital city of the Dutch Kingdom.

While it remains unclear what exactly forced him to surrender to the Dutch in 1878, it is well known that he often travelled between Penang and Aceh, and, towards the end of his life, he came to Aceh in 1878. After his friend and funder Teuku Payah was martyred, he surrendered to the Dutch authorities.42

Al-Zāhir appears to have been politically savvy, although not fully cognizant of the nature of the colonialism more generally, nor did he fully understand the true intentions of the Dutch, particularly in the archipelago. Following the London Treaty (1824), the Dutch sought to significantly expand its territories in Sumatra. During this time, the Dutch sought more direct control of the Straits of Malacca. This resulted in the Dutch paying more attention to the security of the sea ways, trade facilities, and agricultural production centers in these areas. This led Dutch colonial rulers in Batavia to act more aggressively, often against the will of the political elite in Hague.

In this condition, it appears that al-Zāhir’s decision to surrender was based on his ultimate lack of loyalty or integration to the state or people he supposedly served. That said, his desire to reach a peace agreement with the Dutch started in March 1874, just after his return from İstanbul and just after the beginning of the second stage of the war in Aceh. At this stage, despite al-Zāhir’s desire to end the conflict, the Acehnese did not wish to admit defeat in the war. Instead they sought to further continue their resistance against Dutch subjugation.
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